

POLIM1006 SECURITY GOVERNANCE

Teaching Block: 1

Weeks: 1-12

Unit Owner:	Dr Columba Peoples	Level:	M/7
Phone:	0117 33 11075	Credit points:	20
Email:	c.peoples@bristol.ac.uk	Prerequisites:	None
Office:	M.01, number 4 Priory Road	Curriculum area:	International Security
Unit owner office hours:	Please check the SPAIS MSc Blackboard site for office hours. (Please note, there are no regular office hours during Reading Weeks)		

Timetabled classes: Please check your online timetable for day, time and venue of each seminar. You are also expected to attend ONE seminar each week. Your online personal timetable will inform you to which group you have been allocated. Seminar groups are fixed: you are not allowed to change seminar groups without permission from the office.

Weeks 6, 12, 18 and 24 are Reading Weeks; there is NO regular teaching in these weeks.

In addition to timetabled sessions there is a requirement for private study, reading, revision and assessments. Reading the required readings in advance of each seminar is the *minimum* expectation. The University Guidelines state that one credit point is broadly equivalent to 10 hours of total student input.

Learning Outcomes: Upon successful completion of this unit, students should be able to:

- ASSESS the fragmentation of security policy making among multiple actors
- EVALUATE the contributions of different actors to global security
- APPLY theoretical concepts to contemporary security policy making
- ANALYSE the capabilities and strategies of different security actors
- DEFINE the theoretical concepts of 'security' and 'security governance'
- DESCRIBE the decision-making structures of different security actors

Requirements for passing the unit:

- Satisfactory attendance at seminars
- Completion of all formative work to an acceptable standard
- Attainment of a composite mark of all summative work to a passing standard (50 or above)

Assessment:	Word count:	Weighting:	Deadline:	Day:	Week:
Formative assessment: Book Review assignment	1000	0%	09.30am 6th November	Monday	7
Summative assessment: Essay	4000	100%	9.30am 11th January 2018	Thursday	n/a

- Summative essay questions will be made available on the SPAIS MSc Admin Blackboard site.
- Instructions for the submission of coursework will be emailed prior to the submission deadline.
- Assessment in the school is subject to strict penalties regarding late submission, plagiarism and maximum word count. See Appendix.
- Marking criteria can be found in the Appendix.

Other: Make sure you check your Bristol email account regularly throughout the course as important information will be communicated to you. Any emails sent to your Bristol address are assumed to have been read. If you wish for emails to be forwarded to an alternative address then please go to <https://support.google.com/mail/answer/10957?hl=en>

POLIM1006 SECURITY GOVERNANCE

Unit Description

This unit assesses the nature of contemporary security governance and considers the extent to which it constitutes a shift away from or challenges the ideal of the nation-state as security provider. To do so the unit commences by asking what constitutes security governance, and how we can critically study the subject. It then turns to an analysis of a range of different actors that now claim a security role within global governance. We will discuss how different types of actors conceive of and practice contemporary security governance, and critically assess their status as security providers. Specifically, we will examine the roles, capabilities and strategies of: global organizations (the United Nations) and regimes (the nuclear non-proliferation regime); regional alliances and actors (NATO, the European Union, and the African Union); states as potential providers of global security governance (with a specific focus on the United States); and non-state actors (Non-Governmental Organizations and Private Military Companies) as 'private' providers of security.

Unit Aims

- To familiarize students with the range of security actors, and to understand how each actor privileges particular types of security concerns
- To provide an overview of the ways in which new regimes of security can be critically studied
- To develop and consolidate research and writing skills as an independent researcher

Learning Outcomes

Upon successful completion of this unit, students should be able to:

- **ASSESS** the fragmentation of security policy making among multiple actors
- **EVALUATE** the contributions of different actors to global security
- **APPLY** theoretical concepts to contemporary security policy making
- **ANALYSE** the capabilities and strategies of different security actors
- **DEFINE** the theoretical concepts of 'security' and 'security governance'
- **DESCRIBE** the decision-making structures of different security actors

Teaching Arrangements

The course is centered on a weekly 2-hour seminar.

The following methods will be used to convey the course material:

- Critical evaluation of relevant literature (this requires a careful reading of the course material)
- Discussion and group-work
- Note-taking
- Book review assignment (formative assessment)

Formative Assessment

Formative assessment is assessment that is intended to aid your development via feedback, but which does not count towards the overall unit mark.

The formative assessment for the unit is a 1000 word Book Review. The formative assessment is aimed at, among other things, assisting you in preparing for the summative assessment by providing assessment of: your ability to engage critically with existing work related to the topic of security governance; and your

general writing abilities.

Full details of the formative assessment, including the list of book titles that students can choose from for their review, will be circulated in Week 1. The submission deadline details are listed on the cover page above.

Summative Assessment

The essay for this unit is a summative essay that decides the final mark (out of 100%) for this unit. Students will choose one question from a list of ten set questions. Essay questions will be distributed around the middle of the teaching block only after they have been reviewed and approved by an external examiner. Students will be notified directly once they are available.

Essays must be written and correctly referenced according to the SPAIS guidelines. These are detailed in the SPAIS 'Study Guide'. Full details about all requirements and rules regarding assessed essays – including length, formatting, submission, pass marks, extensions, feedback, resubmissions, and so on – are in the appendix to this unit guide and in the Postgraduate taught handbook. The submission deadline details are listed on the cover page above.

Basic Expectations

As this is an introduction to the study of Security Governance, the unit will provide an overview of the prevalent approaches and critical debates within the subject area. The shaded boxes indicate the essential readings for that week's seminar. For a more detailed study of the themes refer to the further readings. This unit is designed as a reading seminar where students lead the conversations and debates. As such it is essential that students participate effectively which requires that readings be conducted prior to arrival in class.

Regular attendance is expected. Students should strive to arrive prior to the commencement of class in order to be on time.

Cell-phones: Few things are as disruptive as cell-phones (mobiles) ringing during a seminar. To respect your class mates, please turn off all cell phones prior to the start of class.

UNIT READINGS

Essential Readings: Each week's seminar will proceed on the assumption that you have completed **ALL** of that week's **essential** reading. The essential readings can be found online through electronic journals, or electronically via the unit Blackboard site where appropriate. You may also read from the further reading list, but which items you choose is at your discretion.

Other reading: Further reading should be consulted in preparation for your essay in particular. Note that the supplementary reading merely serves as an initial starting point. The readings lists contained here are not exhaustive, and new work in this field is always being published. So you should always try to go beyond the essential and further readings in your research. To find additional readings check the library catalogue and other online sources such as 'Google Scholar'.

Introductory reading: There is no set or required textbook for this unit, however, students new to the subject areas of International Relations/International Organizations/Global Governance may consider consulting and/or purchasing (optional – available from multiple online sellers) a copy of Thomas G. Weiss and Rorden Wilkinson (eds.) *International Organizations and Global Governance* (Oxon: Routledge, 2014). This textbook contains multiple short introductory chapters on variety of topics and organizations relevant to the unit. Relevant chapters are marked with an asterisk* in the reading lists below. Where applicable useful

chapters from other textbooks are also indicated with an asterisk. NB: Please keep in mind that these are *recommended as introductory/background readings only* – they are not a substitute for doing the essential and further reading. The latter are required for developing an advanced and critical awareness of the unit themes and content.

Electronic Journals: Most journals listed in this syllabus are easily accessible through the University of Bristol Library Catalogue. Google Scholar <http://scholar.google.co.uk/> is also very useful, both for searching for specific journal articles and for wider thematic searches; it also usually allows you to link the library holding if applicable.

Useful Websites:

Several of the organizations covered by the unit have useful background information on their purposes, structures, history etc. Try not to rely on these too heavily, but they can be useful for the purposes of general familiarization.

The United Nations
<http://www.un.org/en/>

NATO
<http://www.nato.int/cps/en/natolive/index.htm>

The EU
http://europa.eu/index_en.htm

The African Union
<http://www.au.int/en/>

Beware Wikipedia! Wikipedia http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Main_Page is an online free encyclopedia with entries that seem to appear high in Google (but not Google Scholar) searches. Anyone may log on and contribute to this (including interested parties who do so anonymously) and so you should not rely on this – only to a very minor extent at most for initial familiarisation – as it is not subject to any scholarly review, (although Wikipedia has some controls that ensure that at least some entries are reviewed).

SEMINAR SCHEDULE

There are ten 100-minute seminars in the unit (weeks 1-5 and weeks 7-11 inclusive; week 6 and week 12 are 'Readings Week'). The objective of seminars is to provide you with an opportunity to ask questions, stimulate debates and to engage in the discussion of key questions in security governance related to the week's essential readings (see below for further details).

Seminar Schedule

- Week 1. Introduction to the Unit
- Week 2. Theorising Security Governance
- Week 3. Global Security Providers: The United Nations
- Week 4. Global Security Providers: International Regimes
- Week 5. Regional Security Providers: NATO
- Week 6. *[Reading Week – no seminar]*
- Week 7. Regional Security Providers: The European Union
- Week 8. Regional Security Providers: The African Union
- Week 9. National Security Providers: The United States
- Week 10. Private Security Providers: NGOs
- Week 11. Private Security Providers: Private Military Companies
- Week 12. *[Reading Week – no seminar]*

SEMINAR READINGS

Week 1. Introduction to the Unit

In this session we will discuss teaching and learning on the unit, the content of the unit, and go through this unit guide to ensure students' familiarity with its contents.

As well as this practical introduction the seminar will engage with questions of what is 'new' about security governance concerns within the current global environment. Specifically the seminar will introduce students to key themes and key questions covered on the unit – questions that we will revisit in different ways throughout the duration of the unit. We will discuss and reflect on the agenda articulated in the UN's 'A More Secure World: Our Shared Responsibility' as set out over a decade ago and question the extent to which that agenda remains relevant and achievable. We will also begin to engage some more conceptual questions and issue related to the role of the state and the nature of the international system relative to (global) security governance: is contemporary global security governance inherently prone to crisis and 'gridlock'? The seminar will also get students thinking about the 'real world' dimensions of key debates and questions in the study of security governance.

Learning Outcomes:

At the end of this session students should have an initial awareness of: the key debates covered by the unit; what constitutes the 'new security governance concerns' today; and the range of actors that claim to provide security governance in contemporary global politics. Via the seminar discussions, students should also be beginning to critically reflect on key questions, challenges and debates in contemporary security governance.

Essential Reading

The United Nations (2004) *A More Secure World: Our Shared Responsibility* [READ PAGES 1-19 ONLY]

Available via Unit Blackboard Site

Thomas Hale, David Held and Kevin Young (2013) 'Gridlock: The growing breakdown of global cooperation', pp.1-4 **Available via Unit Blackboard Site**

Edward Kolodziej (2005) 'The Foundations of security studies: Hobbes, Clausewitz and Thucydides' in *Security and International Relations* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) [READ PAGES 3-8 of PDF file ONLY] **Available via Unit Blackboard Site**

Joseph Camilleri (2017) 'Insecurity and Governance in an Age of Transition', Chapter 2/pp.23-42 in Anthony Burke and Rita Parker (eds.) *Global Insecurity: Futures of Global Chaos and Governance* (London: Palgrave Macmillan) **available via library e-books at http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/44BU_VU1:default_scope:44BU_LMS_DS001029472 or search library catalogue**

Further Reading:

Adebajo, Adekeye and Chandra Lekha Sriram, eds. (2001) *Managing Armed Conflicts in the 21st Century* (London: Frank Cass) **JZ5595**

Avant, Deborah D. Martha Finnemore and Susan K. Sell, eds. (2010), *Who Governs the Globe?* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

Anthony Burke and Rita Parker (eds.) (2017) *Global Insecurity: Futures of Global Chaos and Governance* (London: Palgrave Macmillan) **available via library e-books at http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/44BU_VU1:default_scope:44BU_LMS_DS001029472 or search library catalogue**

- Buzan, Barry and Ole Wæver (2003) *Regions and Powers: The Structure of International Security* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) **JZ5588 BUZ**
- Bunker, Robert J. (2002) *Non-state Threats and Future Wars* (London: Frank Cass) **UA10.5 NON**
- Cox, Robert W., with Sinclair, Timothy J. (1996). *Approaches to World Order*. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) **JZ1308 COX**
- Duffield, Mark (2014) *Global Governance and the New Wars: The Merging of Development and Security 2nd edition* (London: Zed) **JZ1318 DUF**
- Duffield, Mark (2007). *Development, Security, and Unending War: Governing the World of Peoples*. (Cambridge: Polity Press) **HC60 DUF**
- Hale, Thomas, David Held and Kevin Young (2013) *Gridlock: Why Global Cooperation Is Failing When we Need it Most* (Cambridge: Polity Press) **JZ1318 HAL**
- Kaldor, Mary (1999) *New and Old Wars: Organized Violence in a Global Era* (Cambridge: Polity). **JX5001 KAL**
- Larner, Wendy & Walters, William, eds. (2006) *Global Governmentality: Governing International Spaces* (London/New York: Routledge) **JZ1318 GLO**
- Mazower, Mark (2012) *Governing the World: The History of an Idea* (London: Penguin Books) **JZ1318 MAZ**
- Weiss, Thomas G. (2013). *Global Governance: Why? What? Whither?* (Cambridge: Polity) **JZ1318 WEI**
- *Weiss, Thomas G. and Rorden Wilkinson (eds.) *International Organizations and Global Governance* (Oxon: Routledge, 2014) Chapters 1, 3, 4, 5 and 31 **JZ5566**

Week 2. Theorising Security Governance

Key questions: What is 'security governance'? How should we approach, theorise and understand it?

Learning outcomes:

By the end of this seminar you should have gained knowledge of the key characteristics and arguments of contemporary security governance debates; and of how security threats and practices of security governance have been conceptualised.

Essential reading:

- Elke Krahnemann (2003) 'Conceptualizing Security Governance', *Cooperation and Conflict*, 38 (1): 5-26
Electronic journals
- Anthony Burke (2017) 'Introduction: From Collective to Global Security', Chapter 1/pp.1-19 in Anthony Burke and Rita Parker (eds.) *Global Insecurity: Futures of Global Chaos and Governance* (London: Palgrave Macmillan) **available via library e-books at http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/44BU_VU1:default_scope:44BU_LMS_DS001029472 or search library catalogue**
- Hans-Georg Ehrhart, Hendrik Hegemann, and Martin Kahl (2014) 'Towards Security Governance as a Critical Tool: A Conceptual Outline', *European Security* 23(2): 145–162 **Electronic Journals**

Further reading:

- Adebajo, Adekeye, and Chandra Lekha Sriram, eds. (2001) *Managing Armed Conflicts in the 21st Century* (London: Frank Cass) **JZ5595 MAN**
- Adler, Emanuel and Patricia Greve (2009) 'When security community meets balance of power: overlapping regional mechanisms of security governance', *Review of International Studies*, 35 (S1): pp.59-84
Electronic journals
- Axworthy, Lloyd (2001) 'Human Security and Global Governance' in *Global Governance*, 7 (1): 19-23.
Electronic journals

- Bevir, Mark and Hall, Ian (2014) 'The rise of security governance', in Mark Bevir, Oliver Daddow and Ian Hall (eds.) *Interpreting Global Security* (Abingdon and New York: Routledge) **UA10.5 BEV**
- Bhatia, Michael V. (2003) *War and Intervention: Issues for Contemporary Peace Operations* (Bloomfield, CT: Kumarian Press). **JZ6368 BHA**
- Breslin, Shaun and Croft, Stuart (eds.) (2012). *Comparative Regional Security Governance* (Abingdon: Routledge) **JZ5588 COM**
- Bunker, Robert J. (2003) *Non-state Threats and Future Wars* (London: Frank Cass). **UA10.5 NON**
- Buzan, Barry (2006) 'Will the 'global war on terrorism' be the new cold war?' in *International Affairs*, 82 (6):1101-1118. **Electronic journals**
- Buzan, Barry, and Ole Waever (2003) *Regions and Powers. The Structure of International Security* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press). **JZ5588 BUZ**
- Carment, David, and Patrick James (1998) *Peace in the Midst of Wars: Preventing and Managing International Ethnic Conflicts* (Columbia: University of South Carolina Press). **GN496 PEA**
- Commission on Global Governance (1995) *Our Global Neighbourhood* (Oxford: Oxford University Press). **JX1391 COM; Wills: KC300 COM**
- Cooper, Neil (2006) 'Chimeric governance and the extension of resource regulation', *Conflict, Security and Development*, 6 (3): pp.315-335 **Electronic journals**
- Daase, Christopher and Friesendorf, Cornelius (eds.) (2010) *Rethinking Security Governance: The Problem of Unintended Consequences* (London: Routledge) **JZ5588 RET**
- Dalby, Simon (2005) 'Political Space: Autonomy, Liberalism, and Empire' in *Alternatives*, 30: 415-441. **Electronic journals**
- Dannreuther, Roland (2007) 'War and Insecurity: Legacies of Northern and Southern state formation', *Review of International Studies*, 33 (2): pp.307-326 **Electronic journals**
- Diehl, Paul F. and Brian Frederking (eds.) (2010) *The Politics of Global Governance: International Organizations in an Interdependent World*, 4th ed. (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner). **JZ5566 POL**
- Dillon, Michael (2004) 'The Security of Governance' in Lerner, Wendy & Walters, William, eds. *Global Governmentality: Governing International Spaces* (London/New York: Routledge): 76-94. **JZ1318 GLO**
- Duffield, Mark (2001), especially Chapter 3, 'Strategic Complexes and Global Governance', *Global Governance and the New Wars: The Merging of Development and Security* (London: Zed). **JZ1318 DUF**
- Ehrhart, Hans-Georg, Hegemann, Hendrik and Kahl, Martin 2014a. 'Editorial: Putting Security Governance to the Test: Conceptual, Empirical, and Normative Challenges', *European Security* 23(2): 119–125 **Electronic journals**
- Finkelstein, Lawrence S. (1995) 'What Is Global Governance?' *Global Governance* 1 (3): 367-372. **I**
- Gordenker, Leon, and Thomas G. Weiss (eds.) (1996) *NGOs, the UN, and Global Governance* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner). **JX1995 NGO**
- Gordenker, Leon, and Thomas G. Weiss (1995) 'Pluralising Global Governance: Analytical Approaches and Dimensions', *Third World Quarterly* 16 (3): 357-387. **Electronic journals**
- Hameiri, Shahar and Lee Jones (2015) *Governing Borderless Threats: Non-Traditional Security and the Politics of State Transformation*. Chapters 1 and 2. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) **E-version available via the Library website**
- Hardt, Michael & Negri, Antonio. 2000. *Empire* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press). **JC359 HAR**
- Held, David, and Anthony McGrew (eds.) (2002) *Governing Globalization. Power, Authority and Global Governance* (Cambridge: Polity Press). **JZ1318 GOV**
- Herod, Andrew, Gearoid O Tuathail, and Susan M. Roberts (eds.) (1998) *An Unruly World? Globalization, Governance and Geography* (London: Routledge). **JC319 HER**
- Hewson, Martin, and Timothy Sinclair (1999) *Approaches to Global Governance Theory* (Albany, NY: SUNY Press) **JZ1318 APP**
- Kavalski, Emilia (2008) 'The Complexity of Global Security Governance: An analytical overview', *Global Society*, 22 (4): 423-443 **Electronic Journals**
- Kirchner, Emil J. (2007) 'Regional and Global Security: changing threats and institutional responses', in

- Emil J. Kirchner and James Sperling (eds.) *Global Security Governance: Competing perceptions of security in the 21st century* (Abingdon and New York: Routledge) **JZ5588 KIR**
- Kirchner, Emil J. and Domínguez, Roberto R. (eds.) (2011) *The Security Governance of Regional Organizations* (Abingdon: Routledge) **JZ5330 KIR**
- Krahmann, Elke (ed.) (2005) *New Threats and New Actors in International Security* (New York: Palgrave). **JZ5595.5 NEW**
- Krahmann, Elke (2005) 'Security Governance and Networks: New Theoretical Perspectives in Transatlantic Security', *Cambridge Review of International Affairs* 18 (1): pp.15-30 **Electronic journals**
- Krahmann, Elke (2003) 'National, Regional and Global Governance: One Phenomenon or Many?', *Global Governance*, 9 (3): 323-346. **Electronic journals**
- Murphy, Craig N., (2000) 'Global Governance: Poorly Done and Poorly Understood', *International Affairs* 76 (4): 789-804. **Serial JX1.I5**
- Nye, S. Joseph, and John D. Donahue, (eds.) (2000) *Governance in a Globalizing World* (Washington, D. C.: Brookings Institution Press). **JX1318 GOV**
- O'Brien, Robert, et al. (2000) *Contesting Global Governance: Multilateral Economic Institutions and Global Social Movements* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press). **JZ1252 CON**
- Orakhelashvili, Alexander (2011) *Collective Security* (Oxford: Oxford University Press) **KC1200 ORA**
- Rosenau, James N. (1997) *Along the Domestic-Foreign Frontier: Exploring Governance in a Turbulent World* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press). **JX1395 ROS**
- Rosenau, James N., and Ernst-Otto Czempiel (eds.) (1992) *Governance without Government: Order and Change in World Politics* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press). **JX1954 GOV**
- Schneider, Gerald, Katherine Barbieri and Nils Petter Gleditsch, eds. (2003) *Globalization and Armed Conflict* (Oxford: Rowman&Littlefield). **JZ5588 GLO**
- Webber, Mark, Stuart Croft, Jolyon Howorht, Terry Terriff and Elke Krahmann (2004) 'The governance of European security', *Review of International Studies*, 30 (1): pp.3-26
- *Weiss, Thomas G. and Rorden Wilkinson, eds. *International Organizations and Global Governance* (Oxon: Routledge, 2014) Chapters 7 and 11 **JZ5566**
- Zangl, Bernhard, and Michael Zürn (1999) 'The Effects of Denationalisation on Security in the OECD World', *Global Society*, 13 (2): 139-161 **Electronic journals**

Week 3. Global Security Providers: The United Nations

Key questions: What role does the UN play in the provision of (global) security governance? How has this role changed and evolved over time? In what ways does the institutional structure of the UN enable or constrain its ability to provide global security governance? What might the historical experience of UN peace operations have to tell us in this regard?

Learning outcomes:

By the end of this class, you should be able to explain and assess the structure and contribution of the United Nations to global security, with specific reference to UN peace operations in particular.

Essential reading:

- Rita Parker and Anthony Burke (2017) 'The United Nations and Global Security', Chapter 19/pp.347-368 in Anthony Burke and Rita Parker (eds.) *Global Insecurity: Futures of Global Chaos and Governance* (London: Palgrave Macmillan) **available via library e-books at http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/44BU_VU1:default_scope:44BU_LMS_DS001029472 or search library catalogue**
- International Commission on Intervention and State Sovereignty (ICISS) (2001) *The Responsibility to Protect*, Chapter 1 (pp.1-10), Chapter 2 (pp.11-18) and Chapter 6 (pp.47-56) **Available via Unit Blackboard Site**
- Alex Bellamy and Paul Williams (2010) 'Peace Operations in Global Politics' in Alex Bellamy and Paul

Further reading:

- Annan, Kofi A. (2002) *Prevention of Armed Conflict: Report of the Secretary-General* (New York: United Nations). **JZ6368 ANN**
- Bellamy, Alex J. (2008) 'The Responsibility to Protect and the problem of military intervention', *International Affairs*, 84 (4): 615-639 **Electronic journals**
- Bellamy, Alex J. (2017) 'The UN Security Council and the Problem of Mass Atrocities: Toward a Grand Bargain' in Anthony Burke and Rita Parker (eds.) *Global Insecurity: Futures of Global Chaos and Governance* (London: Palgrave Macmillan) **available via library e-books at http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/44BU_VU1:default_scope:44BU_LMS_DS001029472 or search library catalogue**
- Bellamy, Alex J., Paul Williams and Stuart Griffin (2004) *Understanding Peacekeeping* (Cambridge: Polity Press). **JZ6374 BEL**
- Bhatia, Michael V. (2003) *War and Intervention: Issues for Contemporary Peace Operations* (Bloomfield, CT: Kumarian Press). **JZ6368 BHA**
- Bourantonis, Dimitris, and Marios L. Evriviades (1996) *A United Nations for the Twenty-first Century: Peace, Security, and Development* (The Hague: Kluwer Law International). **KC405 UNI**
- Boutros-Ghali, Boutros (1995) *An Agenda for Peace, 1995: with the new supplement and related UN documents*, 2nd ed. (New York: United Nations). **Wills: KC1281 BOW**
- Brunnee, Jutta and Stephen Toope (2005) 'Norms, Institutions and UN Reform: The Responsibility to Protect', *Journal of International Relations and International Law*, 2 (Winter): 121-140 **Electronic Journals**
- Carpenter, Ted Galen (1997) *Delusions of Grandeur: The United Nations and Global Intervention* (Washington, D.C.: Cato Institute). **JZ4971 DEL**
- Chopra, Jarat (2000) 'The UN's Kingdom of East Timor', *Survival* 42 (3): 27-39. **Electronic journals**
- Cortright, David, George A. Lopez, and Linda Gerber (2002) *Sanctions and the Search for Security: Challenges to UN Action* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner). **JZ6373 CAR**
- Cortright, David, and George A. Lopez (2000) *The Sanctions Decade: Assessing UN Strategies in the 1990s* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner). **HF1413.5 COR**
- Diehl, Paul F. (ed.) (2001) *The Politics of Global Governance: International Organizations in an Interdependent World*, 2nd ed. (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner). **JX1954 POL**
- Doyle, Michael W. and Nicholas Sambanis (2006) *Making War and Building Peace: United Nations Peace Operations* (Princeton: Princeton University Press) **JZ6374**
- Gareau, Frederick H. (2002) *The United Nations and other International Institutions: A Critical Analysis* (Chicago: Burnham). **JZ4995 GAR**
- Gibbs, D. N. (2000) 'The United Nations, International Peacekeeping and the Question of 'Impartiality': Revisiting the Congo Operation of 1960', *Journal of Modern African Studies* 38 (3): 359-382. **Serial DT1.J65**
- Ginifer, Jeremy (1997) *Beyond the Emergency: Development within UN Peace Missions* (London: Frank Cass). **JZ6374 BEY**
- Griffin, Michele (1999) 'Blue Helmet Blues: Assessing the Trend towards 'Subcontracting' UN Peace Operations', *Security Dialogue* 30 (1): 43-60. **Electronic journals**
- Hampson, Fen Osler, and David M. Malone (eds.) (2002) *From Reaction to Conflict Prevention: Opportunities for the UN System* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner). **JZ6010 FRO**
- Hettne, Bjorn and Frederik Soderbaum (2006) 'The UN and Regional Organizations in Global Security: Competing or Complementary Logics?', *Global Governance*, 12 (3): 227-232 **Electronic journals**
- Hill, Stephen M., and Shahin P. Malik (1996) *Peacekeeping and the United Nations* (Aldershot: Dartmouth). **JX1977 HIL**
- Jakobsen, Peter Viggo (2000) 'Overload, Not Marginalization Threatens Peacekeeping', *Security Dialogue*

- 31 (2): 167-178. **Electronic journals**
- Knight, W. Andy (2000) *A Changing United Nations: Multilateral Evolution and the Quest for Global Governance* (New York: Palgrave). **JZ4984.5 KNI**
- Kratochwil, Friedrich, and Edward D. Mansfield (1994) *International Organization: A Reader* (NY: Harpers/Collins). **JX1954 INT**
- Leurdijk, Dick A. (1997) 'Before and After Dayton: the UN and NATO in the former Yugoslavia', *Third World Quarterly* 18 (3): 457-470. **Electronic journals**
- Makinda, Samuel M. (1996) 'Sovereignty and International Security: Challenges for the United Nations', *Global Governance*, 2 (2): 149-168 **Electronic journals**
- Malone, David and Karin Wermester (2001) 'Boom or Bust? The Changing Nature of UN Peacekeeping', in: Adekeye Adebajo and Chandra Lekha Sriram (eds.) *Managing Armed Conflicts in the 21st Century* (London: Frank Cass), pp.37-54. **E-reserve available via Blackboard**
- Mazower, Mark (2012) *Governing the World: The History of an Idea* (London: Penguin Books) **JZ1318 MAZ**
- Mingst, Karen A., and Margaret P. Karns (2000) Chapter 4 'Peace and Security' in *The United Nations in the Post-Cold War Era*, 2nd ed. (Boulder, CO: Westview), pp.74-116. **E-reserve available via Blackboard**
- Newman, Edward, and Oliver P. Richmond, eds. (2001) *The United Nations and Human Security* (Basingstoke: Palgrave). **JZ4971 UNI**
- Pease, Kelly-Kate (2003) *International Organizations: Perspectives on Governance in the Twenty-First Century*, 2nd ed.(Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall). **JZ4839 PEA**
- Piiparinen, Tuoko (2009) 'Related powers of the United Nations: reconsidering conflict management of international organisations in ontological light', *Review of International Studies* 675-699. **Electronic journals**
- Pugh, Michael, and Waheguru Pal Singh Sidhu (2003) *The United Nations and Regional Security: Europe and Beyond* (London: Lynne Rienner). **JZ4984 UNI**
- Oliver P. Richmond (2006) 'The problem of peace: Understanding the "liberal peace"', *Conflict, Security and Development*, 6 (3): 291-314 **Electronic journals**
- Roberts, Adam, and Benedict Kingsbury (1989, 2nd ed.1993) *United Nations, Divided World* (Oxford: Clarendon). **JX1977 UNI**
- Schnabel, Albrecht, and Ramesh Chandra Thakur (2000) *Kosovo and the Challenge of Humanitarian Intervention: Selective Indignation, Collective Action, and International Citizenship* (New York: United Nations University Press). **DR2087 KOS**
- Simons, G. L. (1996) *The Scourging of Iraq: Sanctions, Law and Natural Justice* (Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: Macmillan). **DS79.719 SIM**
- Sorensen, David S., and Pia Christina Wood, eds. (2005) *The Politics of Peacekeeping in the Post-Cold War Era* (London: Frank Cass). **JZ6374 POL**
- Sutterlin, James S. (2003) *The United Nations and the Maintenance of International Security: A Challenge To Be Met*, 2nd ed. (Westport, Con.: Praeger). **JZ5588 SUT**
- Weiss, Thomas G. and Leon Gordenker (1996) *NGOs, the UN, and Global Governance* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner). **JX1995 NGO**
- Weiss, Thomas G. and Ramesh Thakur (2010) *Global Governance and the United Nations: An Unfinished Journey* (Bloomington: Indiana University Press) **JZ1318WEI**
- *Weiss, Thomas G. and Rorden Wilkinson (eds.) *International Organizations and Global Governance* (Oxon: Routledge, 2014) Chapters 15, 16, 30, 36, 38 **JZ5566**
- White, Nigel D. (1997) *Keeping the Peace: The United Nations and the Maintenance of International Peace and Security*, 2nd ed. (Manchester: Manchester University Press). **Store 182844**
- Williams, Michael C. (2013) '(Review Article) The United Nations: past and present', *International Affairs*, 89(5): 1297-1301 **Electronic Journals**
- Zifcak, Spencer (2009) *United Nations reform: Heading North or South?* (London: Routledge) **KC401 ZIF**

Week 4. Global Security Providers: International Regimes

What constitutes an international regime? To what extent can international regimes provide security governance? How and why do such 'security regimes' arise, and what are the conditions for their perpetuation? What are the strengths and weaknesses of regimes in providing security? What does the historical record of and current debates over the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Regime (the NPT in particular as its key component) have to tell us in this regard?

Learning outcomes:

By the end of this class, you should be able to demonstrate a critical understanding of international regimes, and assess the role of regimes in security governance with specific reference to the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Regime.

Essential reading:

Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) text **Available via Unit Blackboard Site**

Robert Jervis (1982) 'Security Regimes', *International Organization*, 36(2): 357-378 **Electronic journals**

Tanya Ogilvia-White (2017) 'Challenges Facing the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty', pp.195-214/Chapter 11 in Anthony Burke and Rita Parker (eds.) *Global Insecurity: Futures of Global Chaos and Governance* (London: Palgrave Macmillan) **available via library e-books at http://pmt.eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/44BU_VU1:default_scope:44BU_LMS_DS001029472 or search library catalogue**

William Walker (2007) 'Nuclear Enlightenment and Counter-Enlightenment', *International Affairs*, 83 (3): 431-453 **Electronic journals**

Further reading:

Adler, Emmanuel (1992) 'The Emergence of Cooperation - National Epistemic Communities and the International Evolution of the Idea of Nuclear Arms- Control', *International Organization* 46 (1): 101-145. **Electronic journals**

Bahgat, Gawdat (2005) 'Nuclear Proliferation in the Middle East: Iran and Israel,' *Contemporary Security Policy* 26 (1): 25-43

Brown, Robert L. and Jeffrey M. Kaplow (2014) 'Talking Peace, Making Weapons: IAEA Technical Cooperation and Nuclear Proliferation', *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 58 (3): 402-428 **Electronic journals**

Brzoska, Michael (1992) 'Is the Nuclear Nonproliferation System a Regime - a Comment', *Journal of Peace Research* 29 (2): 215-220. **Electronic journals**

Chafetz, G. (1995) 'The Political Psychology of the Nuclear Nonproliferation Regime', *Journal of Politics* 57 (3): 743-775. **Electronic journals**

Chyba, Christopher F. (2004) 'Biotechnology and Bioterrorism: An Unprecedented World', *Survival* 46 (2): 143-161. **Electronic journals**

Cortell, A. P., and J. W. Davis (1996) 'How Do International Institutions Matter? The Domestic Impact of International Rules and Norms', *International Studies Quarterly* 40 (4):451-478. **Electronic journals**

Cronin, Bruce (2003) *Institutions for the Common Good. International Protection Regimes in International Society* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press). **JF1061 CRO**

Cupitt, R. T., and S. R. Grillot (1997) 'COCOM Is Dead, Long Live COCOM: Persistence and Change in Multilateral Security Institutions', *British Journal of Political Science* 27: 361-389. **Serial JA1.B7**

- Davis, Z. S. (1995) 'China Nonproliferation and Export Control Policies - Boom or Bust for the NPT Regime', *Asian Survey* 35 (6): 587-603. **Serial DS1.A85**
- Huntley, Wade L. (2006) 'Rebels Without a Cause: North Korea, Iran and the NPT,' *International Affairs* 82 (4): 723-742. **Electronic journals**
- Keohane, Robert O. (1982) 'The Demand for International Regimes', *International Organization* 36 (2): 325-355. **Serial JX1.I55**
- Krause, Keith (1990) 'Constructing Regional Security Regimes and the Control of Arms Transfers', *International Journal* 45 (2): 386-423. **Serial D839.I5**
- Larkin, Bruce D. (1996) *Nuclear Designs: Great Britain, France, and China in the Global Governance of Nuclear Arms* (New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers). **U264.5.G7 LAR**
- Levine, P., and R. Smith (1995) 'The Arms Trade and Arms-Control', *Economic Journal* 105 (429): 471-484. **Serial HB1.E44**
- *Little, Richard (2011) 'International Regimes' in John baylis, Steve Smith and Patricia Owens (eds.) *The Globalization of World Politics*, 5th edition **JZ1305 GLO**
- Mearsheimer, John J. (1995) 'The false promise of institutions,' in Michael E. Brown, Sean M. Lynn-Jones, and Steven E. Miller, eds., *The Perils of Anarchy: Contemporary Realism and International Security*, (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press) **JX1391 PER**
- Mistry, Dinsha (2002) 'Technological Containment: The MTCR and Missile Proliferation', *Security Studies* 11 (3): 91-122. **Electronic journals**
- Paul, T.V. (2003) 'Systemic Conditions and Security Cooperation: Explaining the Persistence of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Regime', *Cambridge Review of International Affairs* 16 (1): 135-154 **Electronic journals**
- Pearson, Graham S. (1999) *The UNSCOM Saga: Chemical and Biological Weapons Non-Proliferation* (Basingstoke: Macmillan). **UG447 PEA**
- Rajkumar, Miriam, and Jon B. Wolfsthal (2002) *Deadly Arsenals: Tracking Weapons of Mass Destruction* (Washington, D.C.: Carnegie Endowment for International Peace). **U793 CIR**
- Rittberger, Volker (ed.) (1993) *Regime Theory and International Relations* (Oxford: Clarendon Press). **JX1395 REG**
- Shaikh, F. (2002) 'Pakistan's Nuclear Bomb: Beyond the Non-proliferation Regime', *International Affairs* 78 (1): 29-48. **Electronic journals**
- Barletta, Michael, and Amy Sands (eds.) (1999) *Nonproliferation Regimes at Risk*, Occasional Paper No.3 (Monterey, CA: Center for Nonproliferation Studies). **At: <http://cns.miis.edu/pubs/opapers/op3/op3.pdf>**
- Solingen, E. (1994) 'The Domestic Sources of Regional Regimes - the Evolution of Nuclear Ambiguity in the Middle-East', *International Studies Quarterly* 38 (2) :305-337. **Electronic journals**
- Stein, J. G. (1985) 'Detection and Defection - Security Regimes and the Management of International Conflict', *International Journal* 40 (4): 599-627. **Serial D839.I5**
- Tanner, F. (1993) 'Postwar Arms-Control', *Journal of Peace Research* 30 (1): 29-43. **Electronic journals**
- Takeyh, Ray (2004) 'Iran Builds the Bomb,' *Survival* 46 (4): 51-63. **Electronic journals**
- Ungerer, Carl, and Marianne Hanson (eds.) (2002) *The Politics of Nuclear Non-Proliferation* (St. Leonards: Allen & Unwin). **JZ5675 POL**
- Young, Oran R. (1982) 'Regime Dynamics - the Rise and Fall of International Regimes', *International Organization* 36 (2): 277-297. **Electronic journals**
- Yuan, Jing-Dong (2002) 'The Future of Export Controls: Developing New Strategies for Nonproliferation', *International Politics* 39: 131-151. **Electronic journals**

Week 5. Regional Security Providers: NATO

Key Questions: Should NATO today be regarded as a 'regional' or 'global' security provider? How does NATO as an institution conceive of its own purposes as a security provider? How do we account for the continuation and expansion of NATO after the end of the Cold War era? In what ways have NATO's functions and capabilities evolved and changed over the past two decades?

Learning outcomes:

By the end of this seminar you should be able to discuss and critically evaluate the roles and evolution of NATO as an actor in contemporary global security governance.

Essential reading:

NATO (2010) *Strategic Concept*, text **available via unit Blackboard site**

Felix Ciuta (2002) 'The End(s) of NATO: Security, Strategic Action and Narrative Transformation', *Contemporary Security Policy*, 23 (1): 35-62 **Electronic journals**

Tobias Bunde and Timo Noetzel (2010) 'Unavoidable Tensions: The Liberal Path to Global NATO', *Contemporary Security Policy*, 31 (2): 295-318 **Electronic journals**

Michael E. Brown (2014) 'NATO's Biggest Mistake', *Foreign Affairs*, May, **available via unit Blackboard site**

Further reading:

Allin, Dana H. (2002) *NATO's Balkan Interventions*, Adelphi Paper (London: Oxford University Press for The International Institute for Strategic Studies). **Pamphlet UA646.82 ALL**

Barany, Zoltan (2003) *The Future of NATO Expansion: Four Case Studies* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press). **UA646.8 BAR**

Cottey, Andrew (2004) 'NATO: Globalization or Redundancy?', *Contemporary Security Policy*, 25 (3): 391-408 **Electronic journals**

Crawford, Beverley (2000) 'The Bosnian Road to NATO Enlargement', *Contemporary Security Policy* 21 (2): 39-59. /

Croft, Stuart (2002) 'Guaranteeing European Security? Enlarging NATO Again', *International Affairs* 78: 97-114. **Electronic journals**

Carment, David, and Patrick James (1998) *Peace in the Midst of Wars: Preventing and Managing International Ethnic Conflicts* (Columbia: University of South Carolina Press). **GN496 PEA**

Carpenter, Ted Galen (ed.) (2001) *NATO Enters the 21st Century* (London: Frank Cass). **UA 646.3 NAT**

Carpenter, Ted Galen (2000) 'NATO'S new Strategic Concept: Coherent Blueprint or Conceptual Muddle?', *Journal of Strategic Studies* 23 (3): 7-28. **Serial U162.S8**

Daalder, Ivo and James Goldgeier (2006) 'Global NATO', *Foreign Affairs*, 85 (5): 105-113 **Electronic journals**

David, Charles-Phillipe, and Jacques Lévesque (ed.) (1999) *The Future of NATO: Enlargement, Russia, and European Security* (Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press). **JZ5930 FUT**

Diehl, Paul F., and Joseph Lepgold (eds.) (2003) *Regional Conflict Management* (Lanham, Md.: Rowman&Littlefield). **JZ5330 REG**

Gaddis, John Lewis (1998) 'History, Grand Strategy and NATO Enlargement', *Survival* 40 (1): 145-151. **Electronic journals**

Gardner, Hall (ed.) (2004) *NATO and the European Union: New World, New Europe, New Threats* (Aldershot: Ashgate). **JZ1570.A9 NAT**

Howorth, Jolyon, and John T. S. Keeler (2003) *Defending Europe: The EU, NATO and the Quest for European Autonomy* (New York: Palgrave Macmillan). **JZ5930 DEF**

Kamp, Karl-Heinz (1998) 'NATO Entrapped: Debating the Next Enlargement Round', *Survival* 40 (3): 170-186. **Electronic journals**

Kay, Sean (2005) 'What Went Wrong with NATO?' *Cambridge Review of International Affairs* 18 (1): 69-83. **Electronic journals**

Lahneman, William (ed.) (2004) *Military Intervention. Cases in Context for the Twenty-first Century* (Oxford: Rowman & Littlefield). **JZ6369 MIL**

Mandelbaum, Michael (1999) 'A Perfect Failure: NATO's War Against Yugoslavia', *Foreign Affairs* 78 (5): 2-

8. Serial D410.F7

- Peterson, John, and Helen Sjursen (eds.) (1998) *A Common Foreign Policy for Europe?* (London: Routledge). **D1050 COM**
- Posen, B.R. (2000) 'The War for Kosovo: Serbia's Political-Military Strategy', *International Security* 24 (4): 39-84. **Electronic journals**
- Rauchhaus, Robert W. (2001) *Explaining NATO Enlargement* (London: Frank Cass). **UA646 EXP**
- Roberts, Adam (1999) 'NATO's 'Humanitarian War' Over Kosovo', *Survival* 41 (3): 102-123. **Electronic journals**
- Schulte, Gregory L. (1997) 'Former Yugoslavia and the New NATO', *Survival* 39 (1): 19-42. **Serial JX1.S9**
- Sloan, Stanley R. (2005) *NATO, the European Union, and the Atlantic Community. The Transatlantic Bargain Challenged, 2nd ed.* (Lanham, Md.: Rowman & Littlefield). **UA646.3 SLO**
- Smith, Martin A., and Graham Timmins (2000) *Building a Bigger Europe: EU and NATO Enlargement in Comparative Perspective* (Aldershot: Ashgate). **UA646.8 SMI**
- Steinhausler, Friedrich, and Frances Edwards (eds.) (2005) *NATO and terrorism: catastrophic terrorism and first responders* (Dordrecht: Springer). **HV6431 NAT**
- Wallander, Celeste A. (2000) 'Institutional assets and adaptability: NATO after the cold war,' *International Organization*, 54 (4): 705-735. **Electronic journals**
- Whitman, Richard G. (2004) 'NATO, the EU and ESDP: An Emerging Division of Labour?' *Contemporary Security Policy* 25 (3): 430-451 **Electronic Journals**
- Yost, David (2000-1) 'The NATO Capabilities Gap and the European Union', *Survival* 42 (4): 97– 129. **Electronic journals**
- Yost, David S. (1998) *NATO Transformed: The Alliance's New Roles in International Security* (Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace Press). **UA646.3 YOS**

Week 6. [Reading Week – No Seminar]

Week 7. Regional Security Providers: The EU

Key questions: What kind of security provider is the EU? To what extent does the EU provide security governance within and/or beyond the boundaries of the EU? Has the EU successfully developed an autonomous European Security identity and/or capability? Should the EU or NATO now be considered as the foremost provider of security in Europe?

Learning Outcomes:

By the end of this seminar you should be able to discuss and critically evaluate the security competences of the European Union.

Essential reading:

- European Union Security Strategy (2003) 'A Secure Europe in a Better World' + The European Union Global Strategy (2016) 'A Global Strategy for the European Union's Foreign and Security Policy' [READ UP TO PAGE 23], both texts **available via unit Blackboard site**
- George Christou, Stuart Croft, Michela Ceccorulli and Sonia Lucarelli (2010) 'European Union Security Governance: Putting the "Security" back in', *European Security*, 19 (3): 341-359 **Electronic journals**
- Sarah Léonard (2010) 'EU Border Security and Migration into the European Union: FRONTEX and securitisation through practice', *European Security*, 19(2): 231-254 **Electronic journals**

Further reading:

- Bagayoko, Nigale and Marie V. Gibert, 'The Linkage Between Security, Governance and Development: the European Union in Africa', 45 (5): 789-814
- Deighton, Anne (2002) 'The European Security and Defence Policy', *Journal of Common Market Studies* 40 (4): 719-741. **Electronic journals**
- Eliassen, Kjell A. (1998) *Foreign and Security Policy in the European Union* (London: Sage). **D1060 FOR**
- Gardner, Hall (ed.) (2004) *NATO and the European Union: New World, New Europe, New Threats* (Aldershot: Ashgate). **JZ1570.A9 NAT**
- Hagman, Hans-Christian (2002) *European Crisis Management and Defence: The Search for Capabilities*, Adelphi Paper (London: Oxford University Press for the International Institute for Strategic Studies). **UA646.3 HAG**
- Hassan, O. (2010). 'Constructing crises, (In)securitising terror: the punctuated evolution of EU counter-terror strategy', *European Security*, 19(3), 445-466 **Electronic journals**
- Holland, Martin (ed.) (1997) *Common Foreign and Security Policy: The Record and Reforms* (London: Pinter). **UA646.3 COM**
- Hout, Wil (2010) 'Between Development and Security: the European Union, governance and fragile states', *Third World Quarterly*, 31 (1): 141-157 **Electronic journals**
- Howorth, Jolyon, and John T. S. Keeler (2003) *Defending Europe: The EU, NATO and the Quest for European Autonomy* (New York: Palgrave Macmillan). **JZ5930 DEF**
- Howorth, Jolyon (2014) *Security and Defence Policy in the European Union* (Basingstoke: Palgrave) **UA646**
- Howorth, Jolyon (2000) 'Britain, France and the European Defence Initiative', *Survival* 42 (2): 33-55. **Electronic journals**
- Hunter, Robert (2002) *The European Security and Defense Policy: NATO's Companion or Competitor?* (Santa Monica, CA: RAND). **UA646 HUN**
- Jorgensen, Knud E. (ed.) (1997) *European Approaches to Crisis Management* (The Hague: Kluwer Law International). **JZ1570 EUR**
- Kirchner, Emil J. (2006) 'The Challenge of European Security Governance', *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 44 (5) 947-968 **Electronic journals**
- Knodth, Michele, and Sebastiaan Princen (eds.) (2003) *Understanding the European Union's External Relations* (London: Routledge). **JZ1570 UND**
- Krause, Joachim, Andreas Wenger, and Lisa Watanabe (2003) *Unraveling the European Security and Defense Policy Conundrum* (Bern: Peter Lang). **JZ5930 UNR**
- Manners, Ian (2013) 'European [Security] Union: Bordering and Governing a Secure Europe in a Better World', *Global Society*, 27 (3): 398-416 **Electronic journals**
- Mérand, F., Hofmann, S. C., & Irondelle, B. (2011). 'Governance and State Power: A Network Analysis of European Security', *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 49(1), 121-147 **Electronic Journals**
- Nordheim-Martinsen, Per (2010) 'Beyond Intergovernmentalism: European Security and Defence Policy and the Governance Approach', *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 48 (5): 1351-1365 **Electronic Journals**
- Peterson, John, and Helen Sjursen (eds.) (1998) *A Common Foreign Policy for Europe?* (London: Routledge). **D1050 COM**
- Schake, Kori, Amaya-Bloch-Lainé and Charles Grant (1999) 'Building a European Defence Capability', *Survival* 41 (1): 20-40. **Electronic journals**
- Sloan, Stanley R. (2005) *NATO, the European Union, and the Atlantic Community. The Transatlantic Bargain Challenged*, 2nd ed. (Lanham, Md.: Rowman & Littlefield). **UA646.3 SLO**
- Smith, Martin A., and Graham Timmins (2000) *Building a Bigger Europe: EU and NATO Enlargement in Comparative Perspective* (Aldershot: Ashgate). **UA646.8 SMI**
- Smith, Michael (2004) *Europe's Foreign and Security Policy: The Institutionalization of Cooperation* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press). **D860 SMI**
- Webber, Mark, Stuart Croft, Jolyon Howorth, Terry Terriff and Elke Krahnemann (2004) 'The governance of European security', *Review of International Studies*, 30 (1): pp.3-26
- *Weiss, Thomas G. and Rorden Wilkinson (eds.) *International Organizations and Global Governance*

(Oxon: Routledge, 2014) Chapter 18 **JZ5566**

Whitman, Richard G. (2004) 'NATO, the EU and ESDP: An Emerging Division of Labour?' *Contemporary Security Policy* 25 (3): 430-451 **Electronic Journals**

Winn, Neil, and Christopher Lord (2001) *EU Foreign Policy Beyond the Nation-State: Joint Actions and Institutional Analysis of the Common Foreign and Security Policy* (Basingstoke: Palgrave). **JZ1570.A5 WIN**

Yost, David (2000-1) 'The NATO Capabilities Gap and the European Union', *Survival* 42 (4): 97- 129. **Electronic journals**

Zielonka, Jan (1998) *Explaining Euro-paralysis: Why Europe Is Unable to Act in International Politics* (Basingstoke: Macmillan). **D2009 ZIE**

Week 8. Regional Security Providers: The African Union

Key questions: What are the security competences of the African Union? Does the development and evolution of the AU represent the advent of a distinctive 'African' security identity and capability? To what extent is the provision of security governance in Africa dependent on the intervention of non-African security providers?

Learning outcomes:

By the end of this seminar, you should be able to describe and critically evaluate the development of the African Union as a security provider in Africa.

Essential reading:

For those new to the study of the AU, it may be useful to start by browsing the website of the AU <https://au.int/en/> before moving on to the readings below

Benedict F. Franke (2007) 'Competing Regionalisms in Africa and the Continent's Emerging Security Architecture', *African Studies Quarterly* 9 (3): 31-64 **Electronic journals**

Alex Vines (2013) 'A decade of African Peace and Security Architecture', *International Affairs*, 89(1): 89-109 **Electronic journals**

Thomas G. Weiss and Martin Welz (2014) 'The UN and the African Union in Mali: a shotgun wedding?', *International Affairs*, 90(4): 889-905 **Electronic journals**

Further reading:

Abass, Ademola (2000) 'The New Collective Security Mechanism of ECOWAS: Innovation and Problems', *Journal of Conflict and Security Law* 5 (2): 211-229. **Electronic journals**

Adebajo, Adekeye (2002) *Building Peace in West Africa: Liberia, Sierra Leone, and Guinea-Bissau* (Boulder CO: Lynne Rienner) **Electronic journals**

Adeleke, Ademola (1995) 'The Politics and Diplomacy of Peacekeeping in West Africa: The ECOWAS Operation in Liberia', *Journal of Modern African Studies* 33 (4):569-593. **Electronic journals**

Adibe, Clement E. (1997) 'The Liberian Conflict and the ECOWAS-UN Partnership', *Third World Quarterly*, 18 (3): 471-488. **Electronic journals**

Alden, C. (2000) 'Security and the State in Southern Africa', *International Journal of African Historical Studies* 33 (2): 493-494. **Electronic journals**

Aning, Emmanuel Kwesi (2004) 'Investing in Peace and Security in Africa: The case of Ecowas', *Conflict, Security and Development*, 4 (3): 533-542 **Electronic journals**

Baimu, Evarist and Kathryn Sturman (2003) 'Amendment to the African Union's Right to Intervene', *African Security Review*, 12 (2): 37-45 **Electronic journals**

Burgess, Stephen F. (1998) 'African Security in the 21st Century: The Challenges of Indigenization and Multilateralism', *African Studies Review*, 41 (2): 37-61 **Electronic journals**

- Cilliers, Jakkie and Kathryn Sturman (2002) 'The Right Intervention: Enforcement Challenges for the African Union', *African Security Review*, 11 (3): 28-39 **Electronic journals**
- Cliffe, Lionel (1999) 'Regional Dimensions of Conflict in the Horn of Africa', *Third World Quarterly* 20 (1): 89-111. **Electronic journals**
- Diehl, Paul F., and Joseph Leggold (eds.) (2003) *Regional Conflict Management* (Lanham, Md.: Rowman & Littlefield). **JZ5330 REG**
- Flint, J. (1998) 'Peacekeeping in Africa - ECOMOG in Liberia', *International Journal* 54 (1):187-187. /
- Francis, David J. (2006) *Uniting Africa: Building Regional Peace and Security* (Aldershot: Ashgate) **JZ5334.5.A35 FRA**
- Francis, David J. (2009) 'Peacekeeping in a bad neighbourhood: The Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) in peace and security in West Africa', *African Journal on Conflict Resolution* Available online, <http://www.ajol.info/index.php/ajcr/article/view/52180>
- Gberie, Lansana (2003) 'ECOMOG: The Story of a Heroic Failure', *African Affairs* 102 (406): 147-154 **Electronic journals**
- Hirsch, J. L. (2001) 'War in Sierra Leone', *Survival* 43 (3): 145-162. **Electronic journals**
- Howe, Herbert M. (1997) 'Lessons of Liberia - ECOMOG and Regional Peacekeeping', *International Security* 21 (3): 145-176 **Electronic Journals**
- Keller, Edmond J., and Donald S. Rothchild (1996) *Africa in the New International Order: Rethinking State Sovereignty and Regional Security* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner). **JX1582 AFR**
- Knight, W. Andy (1996) 'Towards a Subsidiarity Model for Peacemaking and Preventive Diplomacy: Making Chapter VIII of the UN Charter Operational', *Third World Quarterly* 17 (1): 31-52 **Electronic journals**
- Leonard, David W. (ed.) (2009). 'Special Issue: Piecing it Together: Post-conflict Security in an Africa of Networked, Multilevel Governance', *IDS Bulletin*, 44(1): iii-x, 1-99 available from <https://www.ids.ac.uk/publication/piecing-it-together-post-conflict-security-in-an-africa-of-networked-multilevel-governance>
- MacLean, Sandra J. (1999) 'Peacebuilding and the New Regionalism in Southern Africa', *Third World Quarterly* 20 (5): 943-956. **Electronic journals**
- Makinda, Samuel M. (1992) *Security in the Horn of Africa* (London: Brassey's for The International Institute for Strategic Studies). **UA855.5 MAK**
- Mortimer, R. A. (1996) 'Senegal's Role in Ecomog: The Francophone Dimension in the Liberian Crisis', *Journal of Modern African Studies* 34 (2): 293-306. **Serial DT1.J65**
- Murithi, Tim (2008) 'The African Union's evolving role in peacekeeping: the African Union mission in Burundi, the African Union mission in Sudan, and the African Union mission in Somalia', *African Security Review*, 17 (1): 69-82 **Electronic journals**
- Okolo, J. E. (1983) 'Securing West-Africa - the ECOWAS Defense Pact', *World Today* 39 (5): 177-184. **Electronic journals**
- Olonisakin, F. (1997) 'African "Homemade" Peacekeeping Initiatives', *Armed Forces & Society* 23 (3): 349-372. **Electronic journals**
- Sawyer, Amos (2004) 'Violent Conflicts and Governance Challenges in West Africa: The Case of the Mano River Basin Area', *Journal of Modern African Studies*, 42 (3): 437-463 **Electronic journals**
- Sesay, M. A. (1995) 'Collective Security or Collective Disaster - Regional Peace- Keeping in West-Africa', *Security Dialogue* 26 (2): 205-222. **Electronic journals**
- Solomon, H., and J. Cilliers (1997) 'Southern Africa and the Quest for Collective Security', *Security Dialogue* 28 (2): 191-205. **Electronic journals**
- Taylor, Ian (2008) Political culture, state elites and Regional Security in West Africa', *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*, 26 (2): 137-149 **Electronic journals**
- Van Niewkwerk, Anthoni (2001) 'Regionalism into Globalism? War into Peace? SADC and ECOWAS compared', *African Security Review* 10 (2): 6-18 **Electronic journals**
- Williams, Paul D. (2009) 'The Peace and Security Council of the African Union: evaluating an embryonic international institution', *Journal of Modern African Studies*, 47 (4): 603-626 **Electronic journals**
- Williams, Paul D. (2007) 'From non-intervention to non-indifference: the origins and development of the

- African Union's security culture', *African Affairs* 106 (423): 253-279 **Electronic journals**
- Williams, Paul D. (2006) 'Military Responses to Mass Killing: The African Union Mission in Sudan', *International Peacekeeping*, 13 (2): 168-183 **Electronic journals**
- Williams, Paul D. (2001) 'Security and Development in Southern Africa', *International Affairs* 77 (4): 1021-1021. **Electronic journals**

Week 9. National Security Providers: The United States

Key questions: Should the US be regarded as a key provider of global security or a threat to it? To what extent does the current architecture of global security governance serve US interests or hinder it? What impact has post-9/11 foreign and security policy had on global security governance? To what extent is contemporary global security governance a product of 'American Hegemony', and/or dependent on it?

Learning outcomes:

By the end of this seminar you should be able to critically assess the ability of states (with specific reference to the US) to provide global security governance.

Essential reading:

- W. Andy Knight (2014) 'US Hegemony' in Weiss, Thomas G. Weiss and Rorden Wilkinson (eds.) *International Organizations and Global Governance* (Oxon: Routledge, 2014) **Available via unit Blackboard site**
- Elke Krahnemann (2005) 'American Hegemony or Global Governance? Competing Visions of International Security', *International Studies Review*, 7 (4): 531-545 **Electronic journals**
- Allan Behm (2017) 'The Future of National Security and the Role of States', pp.327-326/Chapter 18 in Anthony Burke and Rita Parker (eds.) *Global Insecurity: Futures of Global Chaos and Governance* (London: Palgrave Macmillan) **available via library e-books at http://pmt.eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/44BU_VU1:default_scope:44BU_LMS_DS001029472 or search library catalogue**

Further reading:

- Bacevich, Andrew J. (2003) *The Imperial Tense. Prospects and Problems of American Empire* (Oxford: Rowman & Littlefield). **E902 IMP**
- Booth, Ken (2008) 'The human faces of terror: reflections in a cracked looking-glass' in *Critical Studies on Terrorism*, 1 (1): 65-79. **Electronic journals**
- Byers, Michael, and Georg Nolte (eds.) (2003) *United States Hegemony and the Foundations of International Law* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), Chapters 7 + 8. **Wills: KC100 UNI**
- Byman, Daniel L., and Matthew C. Waxman (2002) *The Dynamics of Coercion. American Foreign Policy and the Limits of Military Might* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press). **UA23 BYM**
- Carter, R. G. (2003) 'Leadership at Risk: The Perils of Unilateralism', *PS-Political Science & Politics* 36 (1): 17-22. **Serial JA1.P63**
- Colás, Alejandro (2008). 'Open Doors and Closed Frontiers: The Limits of American Empire', *European Journal of International Relations*, 14(4): 619-43 **Electronic journals**
- Cox, Robert W. (1983) 'Gramsci, Hegemony and International Relations: An Essay in Method', *Millennium*, 12 (2): pp.162-175
- Desch, Michael C. (2001) 'Humanitarian Intervention: Liberals, Neocons, and Realcons', *Orbis*, (Fall): 519-533
- Desch, M. C. (1996) 'War and Strong States, Peace and Weak States?' *International Organization* 50 (2):

237-268. **Serial JX1.I55**

- Dobson, Alan P. (2002) 'The Dangers of U.S. Interventionism', *Review of International Studies* 28 (3): 577-597 **Electronic journals**
- Dumbrell, J. (2002) 'Unilateralism and 'America first'? President George W. Bush's Foreign Policy', *Political Quarterly* 73 (3): 279-287. **Serial JA1.P59**
- Evans, Brad. 2007. 'The State of Violence' in *The International Journal of Human Rights*, 11 (3): 349-363. **Electronic journals**
- Haas, Richard (1994) *Intervention: The Use of American Military Force in the Post-Cold War World* (Carnegie Endowment). **JZ6368 HAA**
- Hippel, Karin von (2000) *Democracy by Force: U.S. Military Interventions in the Post-Cold War World* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press). **E840 VON**
- Holloway, Steven (2000) 'U.S. Unilateralism at the UN: Why Great Powers Do Not Make Great Multilateralists', *Global Governance* 6 (3): 361-382. **Electronic journals**
- Ikenberry, G. John (2006) 'Liberalism and Empire in the American Unipolar Age', *Review of International Studies*, 30 (4): 609-630 **Electronic journals**
- Jordan, Amos A., William J. Taylor, Jr., and Lawrence J. Korb (eds.) (1993) *American National Security: Policy and Process* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press), Chapter 2 (also recommended: 1, 3 + 4). **UA23 JOR**
- Kanter, Arnold, and Lytton Brooks (eds.) (1994) *U.S. Intervention Policy for the Post-Cold War World: New Challenges and New Responses* (New York: Norton). **E840 USI**
- Malone, David, and Yueng Foong Khong (eds.) (2003) *Unilateralism and U.S. Foreign Policy: International Perspectives* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner). **JZ1480 UNI**
- Newman, Andrew (2004) 'Arms Control, Proliferation and Terrorism: The Bush Administration's Post-September 11 Security Strategy', *The Journal of Strategic Studies* 27 (1): 59-88 **Electronic journals**
- Skidmore, David (2012) 'The Obama Presidency and US Foreign Policy: Where's the Multilateralism?', *International Studies Perspectives*, 13(1): 43-64 **Electronic journals**
- Slocombe, W. B. (2003) 'Force, Pre-emption and Legitimacy', *Survival* 45 (1): 117-130. **Electronic journals**
- Tiefer, C. (2002) 'Limits of Law, Prerogatives of Power: Interventionism after Kosovo', *American Journal of International Law* 96 (2): 489-493. **Electronic journals**

Week 10. Private Security Providers: NGOs

Key questions: What role do (or should) Non-Governmental Organizations play in the provision of security governance? Do NGOs contribute greater legitimacy to security governance? Are NGOs effective providers of security? Under which circumstances, and on what definition of 'security'?

Learning outcomes:

By the end of this class, you should be able to discuss and critically evaluate the potential roles of 'NGOs' within contemporary security governance, describe the ways in which they impact upon global security, and assess the advantages and problems associated with the growing role of NGOs in security governance.

Essential reading:

- Ole Jacob and Neumann, Iver B. (2006) 'Governance to Governmentality: Analyzing NGOs, States, and Power', *International Studies Quarterly* 50(3): 651-672 **Electronic journals**
- Jonathan Goodhand (2013) 'Contested boundaries: NGOs and civil-military relations in Afghanistan', *Central Asian Survey*, 32 (3): 287-305 **Electronic journals**
- Mark Duffield (2012) 'Challenging environments: Danger, resilience and the aid industry', *Security Dialogue*, 44 (4): 475-492 **Electronic journals**

Further reading:

- Arts, Bass, Noortmann, Math, and Bob Reinalda (eds.) (2001) *Non-state Actors in International Relations* (Aldershot: Ashgate). **JZ4839 NON**
- Daniel L. Byman (2001) 'Uncertain Partners: NGOs and the Military', *Survival* 43 (2): 97-114 **Electronic journals**
- Carey, Henry F., and Oliver P. Richmond (eds.) (2003) *Mitigating Conflict: The Role of NGOs* (London: Frank Cass). **JZ6368 MIT**
- Cooley, Alexander, and James Ron (2002) 'The NGO Scramble: Organizational Insecurity and the Political Economy of Transnational Action', *International Security* 27 (1): 5-39 **Electronic journals**
- Edwards, Michael, and David Hulme (1996) *Beyond the Magic Bullet: NGO Performance and Accountability in the Post-cold War World* (West Hartford, Conn.: Kumarian Press). **HD2769.15 BEY**
- Fitzduff, Mari, and Cheyanne Church (eds.) (2004) *NGOs at the Table: Strategies for Influencing Policy in Areas of Conflict* (Oxford: Rowman&Littlefield).
JZ6010 NGO
- Gordenker, Leon, and Thomas G. Weiss (1995) 'Pluralising Global Governance: Analytical Approaches and Dimensions', *Third World Quarterly* 16 (3): 357-387 **Electronic journals**
- Gordenker, Leon, and Thomas G. Weiss (eds.) (1996) *NGOs, the UN, and Global Governance* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner). **JX1995 NGO**
- Hulme, David, and Michael Edwards (1997) *NGOs, States and Donors: Too Close for Comfort?* (Basingstoke: Macmillan). **HC60 NGO**
- Janz, Mark, and Joann Sleat (2000) *Complex Humanitarian Emergencies: Lessons from Practitioners* (Monrovia, Calif.: World Vision). **HV553 COM**
- Kenyon Lischer, Sarah (2003) 'Collateral Damage: Humanitarian Assistance as a Cause of Conflict', *International Security* 28 (1): 79-109. **Electronic journals**
- Lepgold, Joseph, and Thomas G. Weiss (eds.) (1998) *Collective Conflict Management and Changing World Politics* (Albany: State University of New York Press). **JZ5588 COL**
- Lindenberg, Marc, and Coralie Bryant (2001) *Going Global: Transforming Relief and Development NGOs* (Bloomfield, CT: Kumarian Press). **HV544.5 LIN**
- Minear, Larry, Ted van Baarda, and Marc Sommers (2000) *NATO and Humanitarian Action in the Kosovo Crisis*, Occasional Papers (Providence: Thomas J. Watson Jr. Institute for International Studies).
At: <http://www.watsoninstitute.org/pub/OP36.pdf>
- Minear, Larry, and Thomas G. Weiss (eds.) (1995) *Mercy under Fire: War and the Global Humanitarian Community* (Boulder, CO: Westview Press). **HV639 MIN**
- Monshipouri, Mahmood (2003) 'NGOs and Peacebuilding in Afghanistan', *International Peacekeeping* 10 (1): 138-155. **Electronic journals**
- Natsios, Andrew (1995) 'NGOs and the UN System in Complex Humanitarian Emergencies: Conflict or Cooperation?' *Third World Quarterly* 16(3): 405-420. **Electronic journals**
- Richmond, Oliver P. (2003) 'Introduction: NGOs, Peace and Human Security', *International Peacekeeping*, 10 (12): 2-11 [See also the other contributions to this special issue] **Electronic journals**
- Rigby, Andrew (2001) 'Humanitarian Assistance and Conflict Management: The View from the Non-governmental Sector', *International Affairs* 77 (4): 957-966. **Electronic journals**
- Rotberg, Robert I. (1996) *Vigilance and Vengeance: NGOs Preventing Ethnic Conflict in Divided Societies* (Washington, D.C.: Brooking Institution Press). **HV544.5 VIG**
- Rutherford, Kenneth, Stefan Brem, Stefan Bremicker, and Richard Matthew (2003) *Reframing the Agenda: The Impact of NGO and Middle Power Cooperation in International Security Policy* (Westport, Conn.: Praeger). **JZ5588 REF**
- Tan, See Seng (2005) 'NGOs in Conflict Management in Southeast Asia', *International Peacekeeping* 10 (1): 49-66. **Electronic journals**
- Weiss, Thomas G. (1999) *Military-Civilian Interactions: Intervening in Humanitarian Crises* (Lanham, Md.:

- Rowman&Littfield). **JZ6369 WEI**
- Weiss, Thomas G. (1996) *NGOs, the UN, and Global Governance* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner). **JX1995 NGO**
- Weiss, Thomas G. (ed.) (1998) *Beyond UN Subcontracting: Task Sharing with Regional Security Arrangements and Service-Providing NGOs* (Basingstoke: Macmillan). **JX1977 BEY**
- Weiss, Thomas (2013) *G. Humanitarian Business* (Oxford: Polity Press) **HV553 WEI**
- *Weiss, Thomas G. and Rorden Wilkinson (eds.) *International Organizations and Global Governance* (Oxon: Routledge, 2014) Chapter 23 **JZ5566**
- Weissman, Fabrice (ed.) (2004) *In the Shadow of 'Just Wars': Violence, Politics and Humanitarian Action* (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press). **JZ6530 INT**
- Welch, Claude Emerson (2001) *NGOs and Human Rights: Promise and Performance* (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press). **JC571 NGO**
- West, Katarina (2001) *Agents of Altruism: The Expansion of Humanitarian NGOs in Rwanda and Afghanistan* (Aldershot: Ashgate). **HV555.A3 WES**
- Willetts, Peter (2000) 'From 'Consultative Arrangements' to 'Partnership': The Changing Status of NGOs in Diplomacy at the UN', *Global Governance* 6 (2): 191-212. **Electronic journals**

Week 11. Private Security Providers: Private Military Companies

Key questions: What does the rise of PMCs/PMSCs (Private Military Companies/Private Military and Security Companies) tell us about the nature of contemporary security governance? Should PMCs/PMSCs be regarded as a welcome supplement to state security or as a threat to it? What political and ethical questions does the existence and operations of PMCs/PMSCs raise for the theory and practice of security governance?

Learning outcomes:

By the end of this class, you should be familiar with key debates surrounding PMCs/PMSCs, and able to critically evaluate their significance within contemporary security governance.

Essential reading:

- Peter W. Singer, (2001/2002) 'Corporate Warriors: The Rise and Ramification of the Privatized Military Industry', *International Security* 26 (3): 186-220 **Electronic journals**
- Christopher Spearin (2008) 'Private, Armed and Humanitarian? States, NGOs, International Private Security Companies and Shifting Humanitarianism', *Security Dialogue*, 39 (4): 363-382 **Electronic Journals**
- Rita Abrahmsen and Michael C. Williams (2009) 'Security beyond the State: Global Security Assemblages in International Politics', *International Political Sociology* 3 (1): 1-17 **Electronic journals**

Further reading:

- Abrahamsen, Rita and Michael C. Williams (2011) *Security Beyond the State: Private Security in International Politics* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) **E-book available via library catalogue**
- Abrahamsen, Rita and Michael C. Williams (2008) 'Public/Private, Global/Local: The Changing Contours of Africa's Security Governance', *Review of African Political Economy*, 35 (118): 539-553 **Electronic journals**
- Abrahamsen, Rita and Michael C. Williams (2006) 'Security Sector reform: Bringing the Private in', *Conflict, Security and Development*, 6 (1): 1-23 **Electronic journals**
- Aning, Emmanuel Kwesi (2001) 'Whither Africa's Security in the New Millennium: State- or Mercenary-

- induced Stability?' *Global Society* 15 (2): 149-171. **Electronic journals**
- Arnold, Guy (1999) *Mercenaries: The Scourge of the Third World* (Basingstoke, New York: MacMillan; St. Martin's Press). **UA42 ARN**
- Avant, Deborah (2005) *The Market for Force. The Consequences of Privatizing Security* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press). **HV8290 AVA**
- Avant, Deborah (2000) 'From Mercenaries to Citizen Armies: Explaining Change in the Practice of War', *International Organization* 54 (1): 41-72. **Serial JX1.I55**
- Avant, Deborah D. (2016) 'Pragmatic Networks and Transnational Governance of Private Military and Security Services', *International Studies Quarterly* 60 (2): 330-342 **Electronic journals**
- Beyani, Chaloka, and Damian Lilly (2001) *Regulating Private Military Companies. Options for the UK Government* (London: International Alert). **At: http://www.international-alert.org/pdf/pubsec/reg_pmc.PDF**
- Brooks, Doug (2002) *Supporting the MONUC Mandate with Private Services in the Republic of Congo*, IPOA Operational Concept Paper (Alexandria: International Peace Operations Association). **At: <http://www.hoosier84.com/030201ipoa-drc.pdf>**
- Brooks, Doug (2000) 'Messiahs or Mercenaries? The Future of International Private Military Services', *International Peacekeeping* 7 (4): 129-144 **Electronic journals**
- Cilliers, Jakkie, and Peggy Mason (eds.) (1999) *Peace, Profit or Plunder?: The Privatisation of Security in War-torn African Societies* (Pretoria, South Africa: Institute for Security Studies). **UA855 PEA**
- Cleaver, Garry (2000) 'Subcontracting Military Power: The Privatisation of Security in Contemporary Sub-Saharan Africa', *Crime Law and Social Change* 33 (1-2): 131-149. **Electronic journals**
- Duffield, Mark (1998) 'Post-modern conflict: Warlords, post-adjustment states and private protection' in *Civil Wars*, 1 (1): 65-102 **Electronic journals**
- Francis, D. J. (1999) 'Mercenary Intervention in Sierra Leone: Providing National Security or International Exploitation?', *Third World Quarterly* 20 (2): 319-338. **Electronic journals**
- [United States] General Accounting Office (2000) 'Contingency Operations. Army Should Do More to Control Contract Cost in the Balkans', *Report to the Chairman, Subcommittee on Readiness and Management Support, Committee on Armed Services, U.S. Senate*. (Washington: GAO). **At: <http://www.gao.gov/archive/2000/ns00225.pdf>**
- Grant, Bruce D (1998) *U.S. Military Expertise for Sale: Private Military Consultants as a Tool of Foreign Policy* (Institute for National Strategic Studies). **At: <http://www.ndu.edu/inss/books/essaysch4.html>**
- Howe, Herbert M. (1998) 'Private Security Forces and African Stability: The Case of Executive Outcomes', *Journal of Modern African Studies* 36 (2): 307-331. **Serial DT1.J65**
- Isenberg, David (2004) *A Fistful of Contractors: The Case for a Pragmatic Assessment of Private Military Companies in Iraq*, BASIC Research Report 2004.2. **At: <http://www.basicint.org/pubs/Research/2004PMC.htm>**
- Krahmann, Elke (2010) *States, Citizens and the Privatization of Security* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) **e-book available via library**
- Krahmann, Elke (2005) 'Security Governance and the private military industry in Europe and North America', *Conflict, Security and Development* 5 (2): 247-268 **Electronic journals**
- Krahmann, Elke (2005) 'Controlling Private Military Services in the UK and Germany: Between Partnership and Regulation,' *European Security* 14 (2): 277-295. **Electronic journals**
- Krahmann, Elke (2004) 'Regulating Private Military Companies: What Role for the EU?', *Contemporary Security Policy* 26 (1): 1-23. **Electronic journals**
- Leander, Anna (2002) *Global Ungovernance: Mercenaries, States and the Control over Violence*, COPRI Working Paper (Copenhagen: COPRI). **At: <http://www.copri.dk/publications/workingpapers.htm>**
- Leander, Anna and Rens van Munster (2013) 'Private Security Contractors in the Debate about Darfur: Reflecting and Reinforcing Neo-Liberal Governmentality', *International Relations*, 27 (2): 201-216
- Lilly, Damian (2000) *The Privatization of Security and Peacebuilding. A Framework for Action* (London: International Alert). **At: <http://www.international-alert.org/pdf/pubsec/privsec.pdf>**

- Mandel, Robert (2002) *Armies Without States: The Privatization of Security* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner). **UA10.5 MAN**
- Mandel, Robert (2001) 'The Privatization of Security', *Armed Forces & Society* 28 (1): 129-151. For an earlier version see: <http://www.ciaonet.org/isa/mar01/>
- Mileham, P. (2001) 'But will they fight and will they die?' *International Affairs* 77 (3): 621-630. **Electronic journals**
- Musah, Abdel-Fatau, and Kayode Fayemi (2000) *Mercenaries: An African Security Dilemma* (London: Pluto Press). **UA855 MER**
- Nossal, Kim Richard (2001) 'Global Governance and National Interests: Regulating Transnational Security Corporations in the Post-Cold War Era', *Melbourne Journal of International Law* 2 (2):459-476. **Electronic journals**
- Serewicz, Lawrence W. (2002) 'Globalization, Sovereignty and the Military Revolution: From Mercenaries to Private International Security Companies', *International Politics* 39 (1):75-89. **Electronic journals**
- Silverstein, Ken (1997) 'Privatizing War. How Affairs of State Are Outsourced to Corporations Beyond Public Control', *The Nation*. **At: <http://past.thenation.com/issue/970728/0728silv.htm>**
- Shearer, David (1998) *Private Armies and Military Intervention*, Adelphi Paper (Oxford: Oxford University Press for the International Institute for Strategic Studies). **U104 SHE**
- Singer, Peter (2003) *Corporate Warriors: The Rise of the Privatized Military Industry* (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press). **HD9743.A2 SIN**
- Spearin, Christopher (2003) 'American Hegemony Incorporated: The Importance and Implications of Military Contractors in Iraq', *Contemporary Security Policy* 24 (3): 26-47 **Electronic journals**
- Spearin, Christopher (2009) 'Back to the Future? International Private Security Companies in Darfur and the Limits of the Executive Outcomes Example', *International Journal* 64 (4): 1095-1108. **Electronic journals**
- Taulbee, James Larry (2000) 'Mercenaries, Private Armies and Security Companies in Contemporary Policy', *International Politics* 37 (4): 433-456. **Electronic journals**
- Vaux, Tony, Chris Seiple, Greg Nakano, and Koenraad Van Brabant (2002) *Humanitarian Action and Private Security Companies* (London: International Alert). **At: <http://www.international-alert.org/pdf/pubsec/humanitarianaction.PDF>**
- *Weiss, Thomas G. and Rorden Wilkinson (eds.) *International Organizations and Global Governance* (Oxon: Routledge, 2014) Chapter 28
- Zalar, B. (1999) 'Privatization of State Coercive Authority: From Compact Back to Combat?' *International Journal of the Sociology of Law* 27 (3):317-334. **Electronic journals**

Appendix A

Instructions on how to submit essays electronically

1. Log in to Blackboard (<https://www.ole.bris.ac.uk/>) and select the Blackboard course for the unit you are submitting work for. If you cannot see it, please e-mail f.cooper@bristol.ac.uk with your username and ask to be added.
2. Click on the "Submit Work Here" option on the left hand menu and then find the correct assessment from the list.
3. Select 'view/complete' for the appropriate piece of work. It is your responsibility to ensure that you have selected both the correct unit and the correct piece of work.
4. The screen will display 'single file upload' and your name. Enter your candidate number as a submission title, and then select the file that you wish to upload by clicking the 'browse' button. Click on the 'upload' button at the bottom.
5. You will then be shown the essay to be submitted. Check that you have selected the correct essay and click the 'Submit' button. This step must be completed or the submission is not complete.
6. You will be informed of a successful submission. A digital receipt is displayed on screen and a copy sent to your email address for your records.

Important notes

- You are only allowed to submit one file to Blackboard (single file upload), so ensure that all parts of your work – references, bibliography etc. – are included in one single document and that you upload the correct version. You will not be able to change the file once you have uploaded.
- Blackboard will accept a variety of file formats, but the School can only accept work submitted in .rtf (Rich Text Format) or .doc/.docx (Word Document) format. If you use another word processing package, please ensure you save in a compatible format.
- By submitting your essay, you are confirming that you have read the regulations on plagiarism and confirm that the submission is not plagiarised. You also confirm that the word count stated on the essay is an accurate statement of essay length.
- If Blackboard is not working email your assessment to f.cooper@bristol.ac.uk with the unit code and title in the subject line.

How to confirm that your essay has been submitted

You will have received a digital receipt by email and if you click on the assessment again (steps 1-4), you will see the title and submission date of the essay you have submitted. If you click on submit, you will not be able to submit again. This table also displays the date of submission. If you click on the title of the essay, it will open in a new window and you can also see what time the essay was submitted.

Appendix B

Summary of Relevant School Regulations

(Further details are in the Postgraduate taught handbook, which takes precedence)

Attendance of Seminars

SPAIS takes attendance of and participation in seminars very seriously. Seminars form an essential part of your learning and you need to make sure you arrive on time, have done the required reading and participate fully. Attendance at all seminars is monitored, with absence only condoned in cases of illness or for other exceptional reasons.

If you are unable to attend a seminar you must inform your seminar tutor. You should also provide evidence to explain your absence, such as a self-certification and/or medical note, counselling letter or other official document. If you are ill or are experiencing some other kind of difficulty which is preventing you from attending seminars for a prolonged period, please contact the Postgraduate Office or the Graduate Administration Manager who can advise on how to proceed.

Requirements for credit points

To be awarded credit points for a taught unit, students must:

- Have a satisfactory attendance record.
- Pass the summative assessment

Where there are multiple summative assessments in a unit, students must achieve the pass mark for the weighted average of the assessments (i.e. in the mark for the unit overall). They do not need to pass each individual piece of assessment.

If any of these conditions are not met, then your ability to progress through your degree may be affected.

Presentation of written work

Coursework must be word-processed. As a guide, use a clear, easy-to-read font such as Arial or Times New Roman, in at least 11pt. You may double-space or single-space your essays as you prefer. Your tutor will let you know if they have a preference.

All pages should be numbered. Ensure that the essay question appears on the first page.

Students are required to complete and include a cover page for essay/summative submissions – the template cover page will be available via the online submission point for students to complete.

Candidate numbers are required on summative work in order to ensure that marking is anonymous. Note that your candidate number is not the same as your student number. This number can be viewed in StudentInfo (<https://www.bris.ac.uk/studentinfo>). You should regard this number as personal to you and not share it with anyone else. The number is used to ensure that the marking of a student's work is done anonymously. Please ensure that you memorise your candidate number as you will need to write it on every assessment.

Assessment Length

Each piece of coursework must not exceed the stipulated maximum length for the assignment (the 'word count') listed in the unit guide. Summative work that exceeds the maximum length will be subject to penalties. **The word count is absolute (there is no 10% leeway, as commonly rumoured).** Five marks will be deducted for every 100 words or part thereof over the word limit. Thus, an essay that is 1 word over the word limit will be penalised 5 marks; an essay that is 101 words over the word limit will be penalised 10 marks, and so on.

The word count includes all text, tables, numbers, footnotes/endnotes, Harvard referencing in the body of the text and direct quotes. It excludes the cover page, bibliography, headers and appendices. However, appendices should only be used for reproducing documents, not additional text written by you.

Students are advised that any attempt to circumvent word count limits by changing essay format, e.g. by removing spaces in references, will be investigated. In these cases, penalties will be applied if the actual word count is exceeded and further disciplinary action may be taken.

Students should be aware that word count penalties will incur a mark reduction that may in turn impact their progress and/or overall qualification.

Referencing and Plagiarism

Students are required to reference the source materials used to inform their submitted work. Students are expected to use the Harvard referencing system as set out in the relevant section of the SPAIS *Study Guide*. Unless otherwise stated, essays must contain a bibliography. Students should consult the SPAIS *Study Guide* for full details (students can access this via the SPAIS MSc-Dip Admin Blackboard site).

Inadequate referencing in submitted work can run the risk of plagiarism. Plagiarism is the unacknowledged inclusion in a student's work of material derived from the published or unpublished work of another. This constitutes plagiarism whether it is intentional or unintentional. "Work" includes internet sources as well as printed material.

Plagiarism is a serious academic offence and penalties will be applied in such cases, as is set out in the SPAIS *Postgraduate taught handbook*. See also the relevant section of the School *Study Skills Guide* for more information.

Please note that plagiarism may lead to penalties that may prevent students found guilty of such an offence from progressing in their programme of study.

Extensions

Extensions to coursework deadlines will only be granted in exceptional circumstances. If you want to request an extension, complete a Coursework Extension Request Form (available at Blackboard/SPAIS MSc/Dip Administration/forms) and submit the form with your evidence (e.g. medical certificate, death certificate, or hospital letter) to Faye Cooper in the Postgraduate Office, 11 Priory Road or f.cooper@bristol.ac.uk.

Extension requests will not be considered if there is no supporting evidence.

All extension requests should be submitted at least 72 hours prior to the assessment deadline. If the circumstance occurs after this point, then please either telephone or see the Graduate Administration Manager in person. In their absence you can contact Faye Cooper in the PG Office, again in person or by telephone.

Extensions can only be granted by the Graduate Administration Office. They cannot be granted by unit convenors or seminar tutors.

You will receive an email to confirm whether your extension request has been granted or not.

Submitting Essays/Work for assessment

Formative work: Where applicable, details on how to submit formative work for assessment will be contained in this unit guide.

Summative work: All summative submissions ***must be submitted electronically via Blackboard.***

Electronic copies enable an efficient system of receipting, providing the student and the School with a record of exactly when an essay was submitted. It also enables the School to systematically check the length of submitted essays and to safeguard against plagiarism.

Late Submissions

Penalties are imposed for work submitted late without an approved extension. Any kind of computer/electronic failure is **not** accepted as a valid reason for an extension, so make sure you back up your work on another computer, memory stick or in the cloud (e.g. One Drive, Dropbox or another equivalent system). Also ensure that the clock on your computer is correct. The following schema of marks deduction for late/non-submission is applied to both formative work and summative work:

- Up to 24 hours late, or part thereof *Penalty of 10 marks*
- For each additional 24 hours late, or part thereof *A further 5 marks deduction for each 24 hours, or part thereof*
- Assessment submitted over one week late *Treated as a non-submission: fail and mark of zero recorded. This will be noted on your transcript.*

- The 24 hour period runs from the deadline for submission, and includes Saturdays, Sundays, bank holidays and university closure days.
- If an essay submitted less than one week late fails solely due to the imposition of a late penalty, then the mark will be capped at 50 and recorded as a second attempt.

Students should be aware that late penalties will incur a mark reduction that may in turn impact their progress and/or overall qualification.

Marks and Feedback

In addition to an overall mark, students will receive written feedback on their assessed work.

In accordance with the Faculty's Regulations and Code of Practice for Taught programmes, marks and feedback are typically returned within fifteen working days of the relevant submission deadline, unless exceptional circumstances arise in which case students will be informed of the deadline for return. The process of marking and providing detailed feedback is a labour-intensive one, with most 4000 word essays taking at least 45 minutes to assess and comment upon. Summative work also needs to be

checked for plagiarism and length and moderated by a second member of staff to ensure marking is fair and consistent.

If work is submitted late, then it may not be possible to return feedback within fifteen working days.

Fails and Resits

If you fail the unit overall, you will normally be required to resubmit or resit unless you do not meet the progression requirements for your programme. Your School Office will contact you if this is the case.

Appendix C

Level 7 Marking and Assessment Criteria (Postgraduate)

All MSc/Diploma marking in the School is out of 100 on the following scale and with the following classifications:

70 and above Distinction
60-69 Merit
50-59 Pass
Below 50 Fail

Work within each of the classifications is expected to demonstrate the following attributes. Please note that markers do not weight each of these attributes equally when finalising the mark. Markers may also take into account the extent to which achievement of unit-specific intended learning outcomes (see unit guide) has been demonstrated when assessing work and arriving at the mark.

70-100: DISTINCTION

Addresses the Question Set

The work is a highly appropriate response to the question or assignment task that has been set. Coverage and selection of content is exemplary. There is clear analysis of the question or topic. Clear ability to analyse and synthesize ideas is demonstrated. At the upper end of the distinction range (marks of 80+), work will be outstanding in (where appropriate) its incorporation and use of empirical evidence/theoretical frameworks/methodological approaches in addressing the question.

Contains coherent structure and argument

The assignment is internally consistent, extremely coherent, concise and well-structured. The introduction is well focused and provides a clear indication of the rationale, key literature base used and organisation of the work. The central argument/structure of the work has a clear and logical sequence of progression. The conclusion draws insights which are logically developed from the analysis. At the upper end of the distinction range (marks of 80+), work will be outstanding in the extent to which the structure facilitates the answer to the question.

Demonstrates understanding of key concepts and/or data

A detailed understanding of key concepts and/or data will be demonstrated. At the upper end of the distinction range (marks of 80+) sustained evidence of critical understanding of concepts and/or critical analysis of data will be demonstrated.

Supports argument with appropriate evidence

The assignment demonstrates that an excellent knowledge of the topic has been gained from careful research and wide ranging reading that goes well beyond the prescribed reading list. The selection, interpretation, comparison, evaluation, and integration of evidence and source material to support the argument is extremely effective. At the upper end of the distinction range (marks of 80+), work will indicate outstanding ability to deal with complexity, contradictions or gaps in the existing academic literature.

Demonstrates critical evaluation

Work at this level will indicate a detailed and critical understanding of central theoretical and/or methodological issues as appropriate. At the upper end of the distinction range (marks of 80+) work will show extensive evidence of coherence, creativity, originality and imagination in addressing the question or topic.

Writing, Background Reading, Referencing and Bibliographic format

The work is extremely well presented, with minimal grammatical or spelling errors. It is written in a fluent and engaging style that demonstrates sufficient background reading, with exemplary referencing and bibliographic formatting in accordance with the required conventions. At the upper end of the distinction range (marks of 80+), the work will be virtually error-free in these respects.

60-69: MERIT

Addresses the Question Set

The work is a very appropriate response to the set question or assignment task. The question is addressed comprehensively, and a convincing and coherent argument is maintained in doing so. There is very good coverage of content and some evidence of an ability to think critically in relation to the question or topic will be shown. Where appropriate, the work will illustrate good comprehension of the theoretical implications of the set question or assignment.

Contains coherent structure and argument

The structure of the assignment is sound. The introduction is relevant and provides the reader with a clear guide to the central argument and the overall structure of the work. The conclusion will highlight and reflect upon the key points of argument developed within the main body of the essay and relative to the set question or assignment.

Demonstrates understanding of key concepts and/or data

A sound understanding of relevant key concepts and/or data has been developed and demonstrated, with key related issues and debates identified and discussed.

Supports argument with appropriate evidence

Overall there is a very good selection and use of sources which are well integrated, interpreted and evaluated. The work will demonstrate the ability to be selective in the range of material used. Some independent reading and research that goes beyond the prescribed reading list will be demonstrated, although the range of evidence used will be more restricted in comparison with an assignment awarded a higher grade.

Demonstrates critical evaluation

The work will clearly demonstrate a capacity to synthesise and critically evaluate source materials and/or debates in relation to the set question or assignment rather than simply describe or summarise them.

Writing, Background Reading, Referencing and Bibliographic format

The work is clear and fluent and largely conforms to referencing and bibliographic conventions. It has been well edited and demonstrates sufficient background reading. Proof-reading has resulted in there being few grammatical or spelling errors.

50-59: PASS

Addresses the Question Set

The work is a reasonably appropriate response to the set question or assignment task. All aspects of the set question or topic have been addressed. The work will show some comprehension of the underlying theoretical/methodological implications of the question where appropriate, but there may be limitations in the understanding of how these issues relate to the question.

Contains coherent structure and argument

The assignment has been effectively structured, although more careful editing may have improved the overall coherence of argument. The introduction is well focused and provides a sense of the central argument and overall organisation. The conclusion provides a summary of the discussion, although may be primarily descriptive in nature and may fail to reflect upon or support the argument fully.

Demonstrates understanding of key concepts and/or data

A basic level of understanding of relevant key concepts and/or data has been demonstrated, though there may be some errors and/or gaps in the knowledge and understanding. Key related issues have been identified and discussed but without many significant insights being developed.

Supports argument with appropriate evidence

The argument will be supported by reference to and incorporation of some relevant evidence, but with scope for greater range and depth of evidence. The work will indicate a generally clear understanding of appropriate evidence, but this may be presented in an uncritical/descriptive manner and/or insufficiently incorporated into the overall argument in response to the set question or assignment task.

Demonstrates critical evaluation

A good range of relevant content has been covered, and there is some attempt at analysis but a tendency to be descriptive rather than critical. Work in this classification may also exhibit a tendency to assert/state points of argument rather than argue on the basis of reasoning and evidence.

Writing, Background Reading, Referencing and Bibliographic format

The style of writing is appropriate and presents few comprehension difficulties for the reader. The assignment is not as fluently written as it might have been, and there may be scope for improvement in spelling and grammar. There is evidence of sufficient background reading. Referencing and bibliographic formatting generally conform to the conventions, but there may be scope for further improvement in accuracy and consistency in accordance with the required conventions.

0-49 FAIL

Addresses the Question Set

Although some attempt will have been made, the work largely fails to address and/or significantly misunderstands the set question or assignment task. At the lower end of the fail range (marks of 0-40) there is little or no understanding of the set question or assignment task in evidence.

Contains coherent structure and argument

The work does not contain a sufficiently structured argument, and may be ineffectively organised. The introduction may lack a clear rationale or statement of argument, and/or may lack a clear outline of the overall structure of the assignment. The conclusion may lack any indication of insights in relation to the set question or assignment task. At the lower end of the fail range (marks of 0-40) the structure of the work may be incoherent or illogical, and/or the work may lack a clearly developed argument.

Demonstrates understanding of key concepts and/or data

There is limited knowledge and understanding of key concepts and/or data, with significant errors and/or omissions in this respect. At the lower end of the fail range (marks of 0-40) there may be significant lack of awareness or understanding of key concepts and/or data.

Supports argument with appropriate evidence

Few key points of appropriate evidence are identified and/or there may be very little attempt at analysis of evidence, with the work tending towards excessive description. At the lower end of the fail range (marks of 0-40) evidence referred to and included in the work may not be relevant to the addressing the set question or assignment task.

Demonstrates critical evaluation

The approach is typified by a general lack of critical evaluation in relation to relevant literature and issues. Work that simply asserts rather than argues a case may also fall into this classification. The use of sources may be excessively derivative of existing work, with little or no indication of an ability to independently analyse relevant material. At the lower end of the fail range (marks of 0-40) there is little or no evidence of critical evaluation.

Writing, Background Reading, Referencing and Bibliographic format

The standard of writing presents difficulties for the reader, with frequent grammatical and spelling errors to a degree that inhibits communication. The range and depth of background reading may be insufficient. The approach to referencing and bibliographic formatting does not follow the required conventions to a sufficiently consistent level. At the lower end of the fail range (marks of 0-40) the use of language may present considerable comprehension difficulties for the reader. The assignment may not meet stipulations in terms of layout and/or length, and the approach to referencing may not meet expected conventions