
Key routes to commercialisation

Various commercialisation options

- Direct sale of products and services
- Research collaboration
- Open source route
- Assignment / sale
 - To existing company
 - To start up / spin out company
- Licensing
 - To existing company
 - To start up / spin out company

Direct sale of products and services

- Production of a product or offering of a service directly from the institution/laboratory e.g.
 - Specialised chemicals
 - Material analysis
- Use of institution's equipment
 - Institution must benefit
- Need to be careful
 - Unfair competition with private sector: depends on market
 - Product liability
 - Accreditation issues (e.g. diagnostic service, chemical analysis)

Research collaboration

- Swop IP for a research grant
- Institutions can get more money through this route than through conventional licensing
- Usually involves a royalty-free license
- Inventors should be able to provide input on their needs and whether they agree with this approach
- Be aware of non-monetary benefit-sharing provisions in IPR Act / IP policy

Open source route

- Software can be provided through an open source model
 - Source code provided
 - Can include license but usually royalty-free
 - Can include clauses to share income if sold commercially
- Can also apply to
 - Dissemination of information on new technologies or improvements to existing technologies
 - Manuals
 - Practical training programmes

Assignment / sale

- Give up all rights to the IP, usually for a fee or for shares / equity
- Problem:
 - You lose control over what happens to the IP in the future
 - You lose your use of the IP for further development
 - No real involvement by inventors
- Not generally used by public research institutions

Licensing

- Most common route for commercialisation
- Start up / spin out
 - Need to raise investment to advance research
 - Barriers to entry for new company are low
 - Fits within value / supply chain
 - Inventors may join and/or may hire new staff
 - Need access to expertise to fulfil company roles
- Existing company
 - Licensee is dominant market player
 - Few licensees
 - Technology capable of being developed by a licensing partner
 - Barriers to entry are high

Licensing to an existing company

- Advantages

- Infrastructure in place, including management
- Funds to develop the invention
- Distribution channels
- Brand name and market access
- License agreement is much easier than spin out agreement
- Potential conflicts of interest far less likely

- Disadvantages

- Difficult to get the attention of an existing company with new but unproven inventions
- Existing companies have their own research agenda and priorities
- Difficult to find a “champion” who will support a new technology that is not his or her own
- Risk that the company will lose interest in the technology

Licensing to a spin out company

- Advantages

- Spin out will be dedicated to developing the invention as its first priority
- Will work closely with one or more of the inventors
- Research institution knows the people involved
- Financial arrangements may include shares so upside even if technology doesn't work

- Disadvantages

- Substantial risk of conflict of interest
 - Concessions on future IP
 - Misuse of institutional resources or staff time
- Must find management talent and raise investment money
- Difficulty in marketing and developing distribution channels

How to decide

- Spin out
 - Need to raise investment to advance research
 - Technology is basis for new industry
 - Barriers to entry for new company is low
 - Fits within value / supply chain
 - Reward is high
 - Access to expertise to fulfil
- Existing company
 - Creating a company will add no value to commercialisation process
 - Licensee is dominant market player
 - Few licensees
 - Technology capable of being developed by a licensing partner
 - Barriers to entry are high

Spin out preferred if

- Invention is a platform technology that may have many products
 - Spin out company more likely to exploit all potential applications of the technology, while an established company will more likely focus on a single addition to its existing product line
- No existing industry making similar products
 - difficult for a new company to compete in an established market unless the technology is overwhelmingly superior
- The market is large enough to justify the risk, particularly if require substantial investment in development
- Strong IP protection exists in the country in which the spin out exists and/or in the major markets to which it intends to export
- At least one credible inventor will join the company