A close-up of a logo

Description automatically generated

REGULATIONS AND CODE OF PRACTICE FOR TAUGHT PROGRAMMES

Assessment, Progression and the Award of a Qualification

**2024-25**

**CONTENTS**

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| 1. Introduction |
| 1. [Purpose and application of these regulations](#Introduction) 2. [Changes for 2024-25](#Changes) |
| 1. All programmes |
| 1. [Academic integrity](#AcademicIntegrity) 2. [Academic awards and programme structures](#ProgrammeStructure)   *Admission and study*   1. [Recognition of prior learning](#RPL) 2. [Student attendance and engagement](#StudentAttendance) 3. [Academic student support](#StudentSupport) 4. [Suspension of study](#Suspension) 5. [Supplementary year](#SupplementaryYear)   *Forms and conduct of assessment and the provision of feedback*   1. [Forms of assessment](#FormsAssessment) 2. [Conduct of assessment](#ConductAssessment)  * Formal unseen written examinations * Timed assessments * Coursework * Oral examinations * Pass/fail assessments * Other activities for the award of credit  1. [Reasonable adjustment to assessment because of disability or other reason](#ReasonableAdjustment) 2. [Submission and considering the impact of student circumstances](#StudentCircumstances)  * [Absence from teaching](#AbsenceTeaching) * [Deferring assessment](#DeferringAssessment) * [Absence from assessment](#AbsenceAssessment) * [Submission of coursework](#CourseworkExtension) * [Exceptional circumstances](#ExceptionalCircumstances)  1. [Feedback to students](#Feedback)   *Marking of assessments and the processing of marks*   1. [Marking criteria and scales](#MarkingCriteria) 2. [Marking and moderation](#MarkingModeration) 3. [Anonymity](#Anonymity) 4. [Penalties](#Penalties)  * Academic misconduct * Late submission of summative coursework * Exceeding the size limit in summative assessment   *Arrangements and processes for determining progress and awards*   1. [Pass marks](#PassMark) 2. [Boards of examiners](#ExamBoards) 3. [Appeals against decisions of the boards of examiners](#Appeals) 4. [Roles responsible for determining progression and awards](#Roles) 5. [Treatment and publication of results](#Results) |
|
|
|
| 1. Undergraduate programmes only |
| 1. [Admission and study](#UGAdmission) 2. [Programme structure and design (incl. Preliminary year and Integrated Masters programmes)](#UGProgStructure) 3. [Study abroad](#StudyAbroad) 4. [Industrial placements](#IndustrialPlacements) 5. [Intercalation](#Intercalation) 6. [Processing and recording marks](#UGMarks) 7. [Student progression and completion – in modular programmes](#UGProgression) 8. [Student progression and completion – in non-modular programmes](#UGProfProgression) 9. [Awards and classification – in modular programmes](#UGAwards) 10. [Awards and classification – in non-modular programmes](#UGProfAwards) |
| 1. Taught postgraduate programmes only |
| 1. [Admission and study](#PGTAdmission) 2. [Programme structure and design](#PGTProgStructure) 3. [Processing and recording marks](#PGTMarks) 4. [Award of credit and reassessment](#PGTProgression) 5. [Extension of study](#ExtensionStudy) 6. [Awards and classification](#PGTAwards) |

|  |
| --- |
| **ANNEXES** |
| *The following links open a new document.*   1. [Glossary and definition of terms](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/taught-code-glossary.pdf) 2. [Regulations for specific programmes](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/regulations-for-specific-programmes.pdf) 3. [University assessment regulations](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-assessment-regulations.pdf) 4. [University policy on student transfer between undergraduate programmes and units of the University of Bristol](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/study/documents/policies/student-transfer-policy.pdf) 5. [University policy on reasonable adjustments for teaching, learning and assessment](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/reasonable-adjustments-policy.pdf) 6. [Regulations on making an award where a student is unable to complete assessment](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/applying-ordinance-18.pdf) 7. [Flow diagram to show options for progression and completion](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/flow-diagram-progression-and-completion.pdf) 8. [Guidance on calculating marks for progression and completion](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/calculating-marks.pdf) 9. [Weightings for calculating classification](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/weightings-for-degree-classification.pdf) 10. [Guidance on applying prior learning in classification](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/applying-prior-leaning-to-classification.pdf) 11. [Academic qualifications made by the University of Bristol](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/academic-quality/assessment/annex/annex-UG_PGT_quallist.html) 12. [Academic awards made by the University of Bristol](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/summary-of-academic-awards.pdf) |

A close-up of a logo

Description automatically generated

**REGULATIONS AND CODE OF PRACTICE FOR TAUGHT PROGRAMMES**

Assessment, Progression and the Award of a Qualification

**2024-25**

1. **INTRODUCTION**
2. **Purpose and application of these regulations**
   1. These Regulations and Code of Practice (‘the Code’) summarise the University’s expectations for the conduct of assessment, progression and the award of a qualification in undergraduate and taught postgraduate programmes, including those taught at the equivalent of level 3 in the national Qualifications and Credit Framework.
   2. The expectations of the Code are developed with a commitment to the [UK Quality Code for Higher Education](http://www.qaa.ac.uk/quality-code/), and the awards conferred are benchmarked against the [Frameworks for Higher Education Qualifications of UK Degree-Awarding Bodies](https://www.qaa.ac.uk/the-quality-code/qualifications-frameworks). Individual disciplines will also refer to relevant subject benchmark statements.
   3. The relevant sections of this Codemay apply to the assessment, progression and completion of any taught units or components in research degree programmes. For further information please see the regulations for specific degrees in the [Regulations and Code of Practice for Research Degree Programmes](http://www.bris.ac.uk/academic-quality/pg/cop-research-degrees.html).
   4. The Code applies to all taught students, including those who study on a part time basis. For this purpose, where reference is made to ‘years of study’ the policy must be applied on a pro rata basis and equivalent to the volume of credit that a full-time student would normally undertake in an academic year.
   5. For the purpose of this Code a ‘regulation’ is defined as: ‘a rule set by the University which must be followed’; and a ‘policy’ as a: ‘statement established by common consensus that will be followed, unless there is good and validated reason otherwise.’
   6. **Regulations within the Code may not be varied. They are indicated by boxed text.** The rest of the Code should also be followed. Any requests to depart from the Code must be approved by the relevant Faculty Education Director and the Associate Pro Vice-Chancellor (Education Quality and Standards). If deemed appropriate, the relevant university or faculty committee may be consulted by the Faculty Education Director before the departure from regulation is approved. University and faculty committees will take into account consistency of practice university-wide and the spirit of the Code in its decision-making.

***Application of new or revised regulations***

* 1. Students will be subject to the regulations that are in place for the current academic year on registering for that year of study, subject to the provisions set out in 1.9. For clarity, this includes students undertaking assessment in the reassessment period and submitting a dissertation in a taught postgraduate degree programme prior to the finalist exam board. Students who are re-taking units as part of a supplementary year in the next academic year will be subject to the regulations in place for that academic year.
  2. Students studying on a part-time basis are subject to the version of the Taught Code that is in place on registering at the start of the **academic year**, unless a change is being phased in. Where this is the case, schools should ensure that students are not disadvantaged by the phasing in of regulation; applying the more favourable (to the student) regulation in cases where a student first registers on a programme under one regulation, which is then superseded by a revised version of the regulation.
  3. When the University proposes significant changes to the formal University regulation and policies that govern taught programmes:
* It will consult with the sabbatical officers of the Students’ Union and determine whether its implementation would negatively affect existing cohorts of students.
* Where no negative effects are identified, the change may be applied universally to all student cohorts, normally from the beginning of an academic year.
* Otherwise, a change may only be introduced for new first-year cohort registrations and phased in (please see 1.12 for those regulations or policies that are currently being phased in).
  1. Where a change is being phased in (as above):
* Newly registered students who do not enter the first year of a programme will be subject to the regulations that are in place for the student cohort that they are joining.
* Those students who initially registered under one set of regulations and who subsequently join a different cohort that is governed by a different set of regulations (e.g. through a suspension of studies, a requirement to repeat a year or undertake a supplementary year) will become subject to the new regulations on registration into the new cohort.
  1. Should there be uncertainty about which set of regulations cover a particular student, a decision should be made that reflects the best interests of the student.
  2. The following regulations and policies within this version of the Taught Code are currently being phased in:
* Due to professional accreditation requirements, the application of the compensation rule has been amended for students newly registered on programmes owned by the Faculty of Engineering (as listed in the [Programme Catalogue](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/unit-programme-catalogue/FacultyRoutes.jsa?ayrCode=22%2F23&selectedCatalogue=PROGRAMME&orgCode=FENG)) from 2022/23 onwards, as below. The existing regulations on compensation apply to students newly registered prior to 2022/23.
  + Compensation to permit progression on an undergraduate programme from one year of study to the next is not available; students must achieve at least 120 credit points to progress to the next year of study (30.13).
  + The ability of the exam board to award 120 credit points for the final year of undergraduate study on the basis of a pass overall in assessments undertaken in the final year (30.19) is not available. The relevant Board of Examiners, however, will award credit to an undergraduate student to permit the award of a qualification, despite failure to achieve a pass mark associated with taught unit(s) of up to 20 credit points, provided conditions set out in 30.20 are satisfied.
* The progression requirements onto a placement year (study abroad or industrial placement) within an undergraduate programme are changed for new entrants to undergraduate programmes from 2023/24 (30.30-33).
* Due to a change in the methodology for calculating degree classification (using the rounded year mark to calculate the final programme mark, rather than the actual year mark) a check will be undertaken at the point of classification to guide the relevant exam board in ensuring that the change does not disadvantage the academic outcome of any student, who first registered on their intended programme of study prior to the 2022/23 academic year, with the higher classification being awarded, where applicable. This check will be undertaken until the eligible student cohorts have completed their intended programme of study (32.15)
* New academic regulations governing taught postgraduate programmes are introduced for 2024/25. For students who first registered on their programme before 2024/25, classification will be calculated using the new methodology **and** the methodology set out in the [2023/24 regulations](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/taught-code-23-24.pdf), with the student receiving the higher classification, if any (39.6).

1. **Changes to the *Regulations and* *Code of Practice for Taught Programmes* for 2024-25**

Key changes

Undergraduate and taught postgraduate

1. **Student attendance and engagement**: The relevant sections within the previous policy (which is retired) has been updated and transferred into a new section within this Code. The general ability for an undergraduate exam board to require a student to withdraw from the programme due to a failure to attend regularly has been replicated for PGT programmes also (section 6).
2. **Exam boards:** In order to provide flexibility on arranging exam boards, a new clause allows for the existing named exam boards to be combined into a single exam board within a faculty where that is agreed by the faculty and signed off as part of a transitional arrangement for 2024/25 (section 20).
3. **Marking practices and assurance**: A new section sets out expectations regarding marking practices, including when work should be single or double marked, and how they combine with moderation to assure the quality of the mark (section 16).
4. **Final date for student registration**: The latest date that a new undergraduate student in the first year can register has been extended: from the end of week 2 to the end of week 3. The latest date for returning undergraduate and new and returning taught postgraduate students to register will remain as the end of week 2. (24.3 and 34.3)
5. **Supplementary year (SY) policy updates (section 9)**:
   * Students should only undertake units in a SY within their programme of study that they have not passed (and not any additional units)
   * Reflect that the five-year cap for student visas has been removed for PGT programmes and integrated masters degree programmes (9.6)
   * Clarify that the credit point limit on third attempts in a SY due to academic failure may encompass multiple units rather than being a single unit (9.3a)
   * As a default, students should be permitted a SY with attendance; although they may request to take units as assessment only where certain conditions are met (9.2)
   * The term ‘repeat year’ has been merged into ‘supplementary year’ within regulation for modular programmes; the ‘repeat year’ term will be retained for non-modular programmes given it has a particular purpose within those regulations.
6. **Applying size limits and penalties in assessment**: The existing policy for setting a size limit for summative coursework has been extended to allow faculties to set a limit for other forms of assessment where it is important that boundaries are set to guide the workload of students (18.7).

Taught postgraduate only

1. **Academic regulations for taught postgraduate programmes**: With a new structure of the academic year being introduced, a new set of academic regulations have been established. Key changes are the removal of the progression point between the taught units and research unit (replaced by the ability for a programme to designate a single taught unit as a pre-requisite onto the research unit) and a new common classification method, as well as some other associated updates to policies on reassessment and extension to study (sections 36-39)
2. **Dissertation in PGT programmes**: Existing content on dissertations has been combined into a single section within the main body of the Code. (34.15)

Minor changes / clarifications

Undergraduate and taught postgraduate

1. **Updates as a result of programme simplification**:

* The University’s credit framework has been updated to account for the programme simplification policy, specifically to ensure that the stated minimum credit points at the highest level to award a qualification aligns with the new unit sizes (4.30).
* A new statement has been added to reference the requirements on mandatory units in the programme simplification policy (4.20).

1. **Updates to support the introduction of the new structure of the academic year**:

* Reference to the internal ‘[Setting assessment deadlines and managing extensions](https://uob.sharepoint.com/sites/say/SiteAssets/Forms/AllItems.aspx?id=%2Fsites%2Fsay%2FSiteAssets%2FSitePages%2FLinks%2Dand%2DResources%2FAssessment%2Ddeadlines%2Dextensions%2D09%5F24%2Epdf&parent=%2Fsites%2Fsay%2FSiteAssets%2FSitePages%2FLinks%2Dand%2DResources)’ document when setting assessment coursework deadlines and coursework extensions has been added.
* The existing process for new programmes that wish to have non-standard start/end dates are subject to an approval process has been formalised to ensure that the implications of any deviation are fully considered (4.23).
* The title of exam boards that include reference to particular months that they previously took place in has been updated to accord with the new SAY: to be the ‘summer assessment’ exam board (previously known as ‘summer’ exam board); ‘mid-year’ exam board, ‘summer reassessment’ exam board and ‘PGT finalist’ exam board.

1. **Update to marking scales with the introduction of the new institutional marking criteria**: To accompany the already approved new institutional marking criteria (IMC): an update to reflect the change in conversion between the higher points on the 0-20 marking scale and fixed points on the 0-100 restricted marking scale (15.6).
2. **Exception for reassessment where a unit has been passed**: The clause to permit reassessment where a student has already fulfilled the criteria for the award of credit for a unit has been updated to reflect that it is permitted as a possible mitigation to account for the impact of exceptional circumstances or as a result of an assessment deferral or the student self-certifying absence from the assessment (30.10).
3. **Pre-requisites**: The definition and status of a pre-requisite as a condition of entry to subsequent units has been added (Annex 1: Glossary).
4. **Status of a unit specification**: The unit specification is the definitive record of the content of a unit and the criteria for the award of credit (4.7).
5. **A-E marking scale**: The A-E marking scale has been removed from the permitted marking scales given its use has been phased out (15.6).

Undergraduate only

1. **Attempting assessment to be eligible for conditional progression**: The criteria to permit a student on an undergraduate programme to conditionally progress to the next academic year has been updated such that students no longer need to have attempted the relevant assessments in the unit (30.15.2).
2. **Classification in integrated masters degree programmes**: The classification boundaries for integrated masters degree programmes are clarified, including that third-class honours degrees are unavailable (32.9).
3. **Defining condition for awarding 120cp in the final year of UG study**: A student needs to achieve the related pass mark for that year of study in order for the award of 120 credit points to be applied (30.19).
4. **Exit awards from an intercalating programme**: An Ordinary degree may be awarded on an intercalated programme as an exit award where the student does not complete their intercalation year and withdraws but has achieved at least 300 credit points overall (28.14).

Changes to the Annexes

* Annex 2: Series of updates to the specific programmes that have their own regulation, including for the new MRes Economics and for the International Foundation Programmes whereby students who have previously been withdrawn due to academic failure not being permitted to register onto the same or cognate programme of study.
* Annex 3: A number of updates to the University [Assessment Regulations](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-assessment-regulations.pdf), including:
  + Any ethical breaches in an undergraduate or taught postgraduate student’s research will initially be considered as academic misconduct under the Assessment Regulations.
  + The previous clause on where a student answers more questions than required in an examination paper has been re-added to the Assessment Regulations, that is: where a student provides an answer to more questions than is required, the marker should mark all the answers and use the marks from the highest scoring answers to calculate the assessment mark.
  + Introduce the option for students in the first year of an undergraduate programme, who have demonstrated a poor understanding of our academic integrity expectations by committing a first misconduct offence, to be provided with an additional learning opportunity in the form of a newly designed academic integrity awareness course.
  + As incoming study abroad students are not eligible for re-assessment, exclude re-assessment a possible recommendation of the academic misconduct panel.

**Approved in 2022/23 for implementation in 2024/25:**

* **Defining reassessment opportunities** Students on modular programmes should only be required to take the failed assessment components in the unit(s) that they have failed overall, with identified exceptions for reassessment in a Supplementary Year. (30.5 and 37.7 / 37.10)
* **Institutional marking criteria** Introduce a new level-specific institutional marking criteria (section 15).
* **Programme structure simplification** Introduce a series of amendments to reflect the approved key changes within the programme simplification policy on how we structure our programmes (i.e. unit sizes, minimum credits required for award, requirement for a year of study in an UG programme) .

1. **ALL TAUGHT PROGRAMMES**
2. **Academic Integrity**
   1. Students and staff are expected to commit to the values of academic integrity and to uphold high standards. The core values of honesty, trust, fairness, respect, responsibility and courage form the foundations of the University’s approach.
   2. Students are responsible, with appropriate guidance, for adopting academic integrity in all areas of their studies, including in relation to assessment. The academic integrity approach contributes to students’ personal and intellectual development within a community and culture of learning.
   3. The University combines developing and nurturing academic integrity with a recognition that transgressions undermine its core values. Academic misconduct is taken seriously, and suspected transgressions are investigated, with a set of penalties available when academic misconduct has been proven (see the [University Assessment Regulations](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-assessment-regulations.pdf)).
   4. The academic integrity policy, which applies to all levels of study, sets out the University’s approach and defines a common understanding of academic integrity, including on its values and on responsibilities. See the [University’s policy on academic integrity](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/Policy%20on%20Academic%20Integrity%20.pdf) for more information.
3. **Academic Awards and Programme Structures**

The approved [awards](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/summary-of-academic-awards.pdf) and [qualifications](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/academic-quality/assessment/annex/annex-UG_PGT_quallist.html) of the University are governed by the regulations in this section.

Additionally, the following programmes are governed by [specific regulations](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/regulations-for-specific-programmes.pdf): MBChB, BDS, BVSc, Gateway to Medicine, Dentistry and Veterinary Science, MSc in Veterinary Sciences and Postgraduate Diploma in Veterinary Clinical Practice, Postgraduate Diploma in Orthodontic Therapy, BSc in Veterinary Nursing and Bioveterinary Sciences and Veterinary Nursing and Companion Animal Behaviour, BSc in Dental Hygiene and Therapy, the International Foundation Programme (in the Centre for Academic Language and Development and in Dentistry), Foundation programmes, Postgraduate Certificate in Education, Graduate Diploma, MA in Law, MSc in Social Work, MRes in Economics, postgraduate programmes in Clinical Neuropsychology, the Postgraduate Certificate in Academic Practice and the Pre-Sessional English for Academic Purposes Courses.

The development of taught programmes across the University are underpinned by the expectations of the Quality Assurance Agency’s (QAA) UK Quality Code.

* 1. Each degree programme is the responsibility of the relevant faculty, subject to approval by Senate. Faculty Boards shall determine the programmes to be offered for each degree, diploma or certificate within the faculty and the units to be taken within each programme.
  2. Every degree programme must be justified on academic grounds and the level of demand for them must be sufficient to merit the use of the resources required for delivery.
  3. Faculties must adhere to the established procedures for the approval of named degree programmes.
  4. Control over entry to any programme or unit rests with faculties (programmes) and schools (units). This includes the evaluation and acceptance of students transferring from other institutions or internally within the University.
  5. All new and existing undergraduate and taught postgraduate programmes must be fully modular in structure, with the exception of the MB,ChB, BDS and BVSc programmes and Gateway variants.
  6. Faculties and schools must specify the constituent units for all existing and any new programmes in the programme specification, as well as the programme aims, intended learning outcomes, any opportunities for conditional progression and the status of those units within its structure, including whether they are ‘must-pass’. The programme specification is also the definitive record for any programme-level rules on the award of credit, student progression and qualifying for the intended or exit awards.
  7. The definitive record of the content of a unit, its intended learning outcomes, how it will be assessed and the criteria for the award of credit is provided in the unit specification.
  8. Subject to the approval by the Faculty on i-iii, schools shall determine: (i) the content and duration of each unit, (ii) the criteria for its satisfactory completion; (iii) the value in terms of credit points and level to be assigned to each unit; and (iv) the pre-requisites and co-requisites associated with each unit.
  9. Faculties and schools whose programmes or units are either validated by professional bodies or which are required to adhere to curricular content specified by professional bodies will establish with those organisations what constitutes an acceptable curricular structure.
  10. Where distance learning is required or offered for part of, or whole of, a programme, faculties and schools must consider and fulfil the [principles for the design and delivery of programmes by distance learning](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/academic-quality/approve/approvalguidance/).

***Authority for granting an academic award***

* 1. On the recommendation of the appropriate faculty board of examiners, subject to the overriding authority of Senate and the Board of Trustees, the University will grant an academic award to any person who has fulfilled all the conditions prescribed by statutes, ordinances and regulations.
  2. On the recommendation of Senate, the Board of Trustees may award a degree of the University as an honorary degree, save that no degree may be awarded otherwise than by examination if it deems the holder to be fit to practice in a professional capacity.
  3. On the recommendation of Senate, the Board of Trustees may withdraw an academic award, distinction or prize of the University if it is subsequently discovered that the award, distinction or prize was improperly obtained.
  4. On the recommendation of Senate, the Board of Trustees may accept a request from any person to resign an academic award, distinction or prize of the University. Restoration On the recommendation of Senate, the Board of Trustees may restore an academic award, distinction or prize of the University to a person who has been deprived of it or has voluntarily resigned it.

***Unit sizes and types***

* 1. In undergraduate programmes, the University's standard unit sizes are 20, 40 and 60 credit points are permitted. A single 120 credit point undergraduate unit which encompasses a full academic year where the student is studying abroad or in industry is also permitted.
  2. In postgraduate taught programmes, units of 20, 30, 40 and 60 credit points are permitted*.*
  3. Any exceptions to the standard credit sizes must be approved by the Pro Vice-Chancellor Education and Students.
  4. Faculties and schools must ensure that programmes and units conform to the structure of the academic year as laid out by Senate.
  5. Units should not span more than one academic year. A unit may only be scheduled to run outside of the agreed structure where there are good pedagogic reasons so to do when approved by the University Education Committee.
  6. A unit may be ‘mandatory’ or ‘optional’ within a programme structure (see the glossary for definitions), as set out in the [transition policy for programme simplification](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/policy/Transition%20Policy%20for%20Programme%20Simplification.pdf).

***Programme start and end dates***

* 1. Normally an undergraduate or taught postgraduate programme will commence on the Monday of Welcome Week.
  2. Normally an undergraduate programme will finish on the final day of term time whilst a taught postgraduate programme will finish on the dissertation deadline date, as both set out in the University Almanac.
  3. A programme may be permitted to have alternative start/end dates where it contains practice-based elements that are constrained by when they can be arranged in the calendar and/or it is specifically designed to be studied flexibly by working professionals. An alternative start/end date for a programme requires approval by the relevant Faculty and the University Education Committee.

***Levels of study - programmes***

* 1. The University of Bristol, in accordance with the national Qualifications Framework, awards the following taught academic qualifications:
* Masters Degree – at level 7
* Integrated Masters Degree – at level 7
* First Degrees in medicine, dentistry and veterinary science – at level 7
* Postgraduate Diploma – at level 7
* Postgraduate Certificate – at level 7
* Postgraduate Certificate in Education – at level 6
* Graduate Diploma – at level 6
* Graduate Certificate – at level 6
* Bachelors Degree (with and without Honours) – at level 6
* Diploma of Higher Education – at level 5
* Certificate of Higher Education – at level 4

View a list of the [academic awards](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/summary-of-academic-awards.pdf) made by the University.

* 1. The University also provides a number of programmes that prepare students for study at degree level:
* Gateway Year – a year of study at level 4 that is integrated with and prepares students for studying on an identified non-modular professional degree programme. Such years of study are subject to [specific programme regulations](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/regulations-for-specific-programmes.pdf).
* Preliminary Year – a year of study at level 4 or equivalent to level 3 in the national Qualifications and Credit Framework that is integrated with and prepares students for studying on an identified modular degree programme. Such years of study are subject to general regulations (see section 25).
* Foundation Year – a year of study in a stand-alone programme at level 4 or equivalent to level 3 in the national Qualifications and Credit Framework that prepares students for admission to higher education generally. Such years of study are subject to this Code, with any deviations being captured in [specific programme regulations](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/regulations-for-specific-programmes.pdf).
  1. A student who has completed the preliminary or foundation year by passing all the units but who does not proceed onto a University of Bristol programme will receive a University certificate, as specified in the relevant regulations.

***Levels of study - units***

* 1. The following levels of credit are used by the University, in accordance with the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications of UK Degree Awarding Bodies:
* level 4 units that are normally taken as part of the first year of an undergraduate programme
* level 5 units that are normally taken as part of the second, third or final year of an undergraduate programme.
* level 6 units that are normally taken as part of the third or final year of an undergraduate programme.
* level 7 units that are normally taken as part of the final year of a masters or integrated masters programme or the year abroad.

Units may be provided that are equivalent to level 3, as established in the UK Qualifications and Credit Framework (QCF), where they feature in preliminary year or foundation year programmes.

***Credit***

* 1. The University’s credit framework, which summarises the amount and level of credit required to receive a University award, is reproduced on the following page.
  2. The amount and level of credit specified in the tables should be regarded as the minimum. If a school wishes to diverge from these amounts, the faculty must seek University level approval, through the University Education Committee.
  3. **The University’s Credit Framework**:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Qualification | FHEQ Level | Total credits required | Minimum credits required at the highest level\* | Equivalent ECTS credits | *Additional credit requirements* |
| Taught Masters degree | 7 | At least 180 | 160 | The minimum requirement is 60, however, a range of 90-120 is more typical. |  |
| Integrated Masters degree | 7 | At least 480 | 120 |  | Where the programme includes a year away from the University: at least 60 credit points at level 6 in the year spent away from the University and at least 60 credit points at level 7 in the final year |
| Postgraduate Diploma | 7 | At least 120 | 100 |  | Remaining credits to be at level 4 or higher |
| Postgraduate Certificate (including the Postgraduate Certificate in Education (PGCE)) | 7 | At least 60 | 40 |  |  |
| Bachelors degree with honours | 6 | At least 360 | 100 | 180 - 240 | Remaining credits to include at least 100 at level 5 or above |
| Bachelors degree (Ordinary degree) | 6 | At least 300 | 60 |  |
| Professional Graduate Certificate in Education (PGCE) | 6 | At least 60 | 40 |  |  |
| Graduate Diploma | 6 | At least 80 | 80 |  | Students may undertake a curriculum of 120 credit points |
| Graduate Certificate | 6 | At least 40 | 40 |  |  |
| Foundation Degree | 5 | At least 240 | 100 |  |  |
| Diploma of Higher Education in (Faculty name) (Subject) | 5 | At least 240 | 100 | Approx. 120 | Remaining credits at level 4 or above. |
| Certificate of Higher Education in (Faculty name) (Subject) | 4 | At least 120 | 120 |  |  |

\* The highest level is the level of the qualification

**Notes**:

1. This table should be read in conjunction with the Frameworks for Higher Education Qualifications of UK Awarding Bodies. The University’s credit framework will apply in cases where the credit requirement is higher than that stated in the national credit framework.
2. The MB,ChB (Medicine), BDS (Dentistry), BVSc (Veterinary Science) and Gateway undergraduate programmes are not included in the University's modular structure.
3. At the discretion of the faculty joint honours degrees may vary from the minimum of 100 credits at level 6 because of the need for more flexible structures in joint programmes.
4. The University’s qualifications relate to the Framework for Qualifications of the European Higher Education Area (FQ-EHEA) as follows:

Doctoral degrees Third Cycle Qualifications (Not typically credit rated)

Masters degrees Second Cycle Qualifications (Min. 60 ECTS credits, however a range of 90-120 ECTS credits is typical)

Integrated Masters degrees Second Cycle Qualifications (As above)

Bachelors degrees with Honours First Cycle Qualifications (180-240 ECTS credits)

Foundation degrees Short Cycle Qualifications (120 ECTS credits)

Diplomas of Higher Education As above

***Credit points***

* 1. In assigning credit points to units, faculties and schools are required to use total student input per normal full-time year of study as a measure. An average of 40 hours per week of total student input in teaching time is suggested as an appropriate measure of the time an average student will need to spend to be able to complete the assessment for a programme successfully. One credit point represents approximately 10 notional hours of student input.
  2. The attainment of additional credit points in any year of study cannot be carried forward in such a way as to reduce the volume of credit that must be taken in any succeeding year, or to accelerate a student's progress towards any award.
  3. A unit shared by students studying on more than one programme must always be allocated the same credit points.
  4. For awards of the University of Bristol, credit points may only be used once and may not be used towards two or more awards of this University or another higher education provider and the University, with the exceptions as specified in clauses 24.10 and 34.6.
  5. It is the responsibility of the relevant Faculty Board of Examiners to determine whether a student has satisfied the criteria for the award of credit points.

***Shared teaching between undergraduates and postgraduates***

* 1. Undergraduate and taught postgraduate students may be taught together. If undergraduate and taught postgraduate students undertake the same unit, with the same learning outcomes and assessment, the credit awarded will be at the pre-defined level of the unit. If the learning outcomes and assessment differ for the undergraduate and postgraduate students, then they are deemed to be undertaking different units; such units must have been previously approved at the different levels.

***ADMISSION AND STUDY***

The ‘[Student Agreement](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/secretary/student-rules-regs/)’ sets out the terms and conditions that form the basis of the relationship between the student and the University.

### Recognition of Prior Learning

### *Definitions*

* 1. The Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL) is a process whereby students can be exempt from some parts of their chosen programme of academic study by recognition of their learning from previous experiences or achievements as part of the admissions process.
* Recognised Prior 'Certified' Learning is the achievement of learning that has been formally assessed and certificated from previous study with a higher education organisation.
* Recognised Prior 'Experiential' Learning is the non-certified acquisition of relevant skills and knowledge, gained through relevant experience, which is capable of being evaluated.
  1. Some programmes have approved units/periods of study undertaken at another institution or in the workplace. Where this is a recognised part of an approved programme this policy does not apply.
  2. The term ‘prior learning’ does not include the learning implicit in formal teaching, a work placement, group work or independent study designed as part of a programme of study alone. Recognition of such parallel learning would be expected to occur in the formal assessment practice of the programme.

### *Principles*

* 1. It is the achievement of learning, or outcomes of the learning, and not just the experience of the activities that is being accredited. In all cases evidence must be presented to the University that such learning has taken place.
  2. Evidence for acceptance of RPL should demonstrate that the learner has a reasonable expectation of satisfactorily completing the programme for which they are applying.
  3. Students will not be admitted to any programme with prior learning if they already hold a degree in the same subject.
  4. Students may request to view additional criteria, by which it judges applications for RPL.
  5. Faculties (i.e. the Faculty Admissions and Recruitment Officer and/or the Faculty Education Director) are responsible for deciding whether to admit a student with recognition of their prior learning and the relevant entry point into the programme, on the recommendation of the school (e.g. the programme director).
  6. Prior learning will not normally be accepted if five or more years have elapsed since it occurred unless the applicant can provide evidence that their learning has continued in a professional or similar context. In such cases the school may choose to set an assessment to test an applicant's current knowledge.
  7. To complement the University’s credit framework (see section 4) the following table shows maximum amounts of credit for each type of programme that can be counted as prior learning.

The maximum amount of certified prior learning may be exceeded where a student is returning to undertake a ‘top-up’ qualification, provided that the lower award is still relevant to the higher qualification.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Award type** | **Number of credit points for award** | **Total amount of RPL permitted** |
| Postgraduate Level Award |  |  |
| Masters Degree | 180 | 60 |
| Postgraduate Diploma | 120 | 40 |
| Postgraduate Certificate | 60 | 20 |
| Undergraduate Level Award |  |  |
| Graduate Diploma | 120 | 40 |
| Graduate Certificate | 60 | 20 |
| Integrated Masters Degree   * Four-year * Five-year | 480  600 | 240  240 |
| Honours Bachelors Degree   * Three-year * Four-year | 360  480 | 240  240 |
| Undergraduate Diploma | 240 | 120 |
| Undergraduate Certificate | 120 | 0 |

Prior learning in the undergraduate professional programmes of BDS, MBChB and BVSc may be accepted in lieu of an individual unit, at the discretion of the relevant Programme Director.

* 1. The conferring of one of the awards listed in the table and the recognition of prior learning within this is complemented by the following:

1. Sufficient credit at the highest level of the award, as outlined in the University’s credit framework, must be taken at the University of Bristol (or, for a Joint Award, one of its partner institutions) in order for the award to be conferred.
2. The final 120 credit points of an undergraduate degree programme must be taken and satisfactorily completed at the University of Bristol unless there is a specific agreement to the contrary that has been approved by Senate, therefore it follows that the University will not normally accredit prior learning within the final year of its bachelors and integrated masters programmes.
3. The dissertation or research component of a taught postgraduate programme must be taken and satisfactorily completed at the University of Bristol (or, for a Joint Award, one of its partner institutions).

#### *Recognising Prior Certified Learning*

* 1. Schools should consider the learning which has been accredited and decide, in the best interests of the student, how this can be taken into account. It is at the discretion of the school to decide if: (a) the subject content, and therefore knowledge gained, is sufficiently similar for a student to be exempt from unit(s), and (b) if marks can be transferred.
  2. Therefore, prior credit obtained from another institution can be recognised in one of two ways:
     + - 1. Exemption from units, the marks of which do not contribute to the final award and need not be transferred (University of Bristol accepts the credit awarded by another institution);
         2. Exemption from units, the marks of which do contribute to the final award and are transferred (University of Bristol accepts the credit and marks awarded by another institution towards this award).
  3. Students who have previously been required to withdraw from a programme or did not achieve their intended award due to academic failure will not normally be re-admitted to the same point on a programme by the recognition of the prior learning.
  4. The requirement to transfer marks may be waived for students transferring into an undergraduate professional programme if there is still a significant proportion (e.g. 360 or more, out of 600 credit points) of the programme to complete.
  5. Where a student is permitted to top-up a qualification, the lower award is subsumed into the higher award made by the University on conferring of that award, in accordance with the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications of UK Degree Awarding bodies. There is no requirement for a student to ‘hand-in’ the certificate for the lower award in these circumstances.

#### *Recognising Prior Experiential Learning*

* 1. Schools should consider each case and decide from which units the student can be exempt. The school should satisfy itself that the applicant has sufficient knowledge and ability to have a reasonable expectation of completing the programme successfully.
  2. If a school is not satisfied that the experiential learning is equivalent to the standard of unit(s), it may require the applicant to undertake an appropriate method of assessment.

Information on how RPL is applied to the calculation of the final programme mark and/or the degree classification in modular undergraduate programmes with both mark transfer and no mark transfer is provided in [guidance](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/applying-prior-learning-to-classification.pdf).

1. **Student Attendance and Engagement**
   1. As set out in the [Student Agreement](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/secretary/documents/student-rules-and-regs/Student-Agreement.pdf), students are expected to attend all educational activities (e.g. lectures, seminars, tutorials, laboratory classes) as defined in their programme and take an active part in their programme of study.

Where programmes have specific additional attendance requirements because of Professional and Statutory Regulatory Body and/or other statutory or contractual requirements, these will be set out in [specific programme regulations](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/regulations-for-specific-programmes.pdf) and/or [programme specifications](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/unit-programme-catalogue/AboutProgrammes.jsa?ayrCode=23%2F24).

* 1. Where there are particular requirements for a student to attend specific teaching event/s in order to demonstrate engagement with the learning in a unit for the award of credit or to pass the unit, these must be set out in the relevant [unit specification](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/unit-programme-catalogue/AboutUnits.jsa?ayrCode=23%2F24).
  2. Information on students notifying the relevant school of their absence from teaching and/or assessment is provided in section 13.
  3. Faculties and Schools will have a procedure in place to confirm that all students are engaging satisfactorily with their programme of study, and to support the timely identification of students whose attendance and engagement record or patterns suggest that they may be at risk of failing to progress, at personal risk, or risk of breaching their visa compliance requirements.
  4. Where a student has stopped engaging with their studies, this should trigger the non-engagement process and students will be contacted to ascertain the reasons for absence and possible support required.
  5. Where student attendance and engagement is considered to be unsatisfactory (i.e. a student fails to attend regularly educational activities) as defined by their programme, the relevant school and faculty will follow-up and undertake relevant steps with the student to address this. Once the relevant processes are complete and attendance and engagement is still deemed to be unsatisfactory, a student may be required to withdraw from their programme, in accordance with 24.6 for undergraduate programmes or 34.14 for taught postgraduate programmes.
  6. Students studying on a Student visa sponsored by the University of Bristol must not be absent from in person education activities during term-time for 60 days or more as this will mean the University has to inform the UKVI/Home Office and withdraw visa sponsorship. If a student does not have any in-person attendance requirements within any 60 day period of term-time during the duration of the Student Visa sponsorship, the University will review whether visa sponsorship can continue; unless the activity is permitted under Student visa sponsorship conditions, in most cases the University will need to inform the UKVI/Home Office and withdraw visa sponsorship. The student would usually be required to obtain a new Student visa before returning to in-person study. The University reserves the right to refuse future Student visa sponsorship where there is a history of non-attendance.

1. **Academic Student Support**

Information on the operation of the model, including the responsibilities of the Senior Tutor, Personal Tutor and the student within this are provided in the [University’s Policy on Academic Personal Tutoring](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/academic-pt-policy.pdf).

* 1. Each school will have a coherent academic support model for its students that fits within the framework provided by the Personal Tutoring policy, supported and delivered by (but not limited to) two key roles: the Senior Tutor (or equivalent role in schools where an alternative model has been approved) and the Academic Personal Tutor (or ‘personal tutor’).
  2. Personal tutoring will be underpinned by a programme of contact between students and their personal tutors; minimum expectations on the frequency of meetings are set out in the policy.
  3. Each School will have a mechanism in place to review the academic progress and engagement of its students who have not completed or passed assessment at relevant points during the year of study (e.g. following Teaching Block 1).

1. **Suspension of Study**
   1. Suspension of studies is defined as the formal introduction of a pause in a student’s studies during which they are not required to engage with their studies.
   2. On resumption of their studies, students are expected to fulfil the same progression criteria as if they had not suspended their studies.
   3. This policy does not relate to any suspension instigated or mandated by the University due to misconduct, which is covered in the University’s [Student Disciplinary Rules and Regulations, or due to a mental health difficulty, which is covered by the University’s Policy on Fitness to Study.](http://www.bris.ac.uk/secretary/studentrulesregs/disciplinary.html)
   4. [Students do not have the automatic right to suspend their studies. The University expects students to n](http://www.bris.ac.uk/secretary/studentrulesregs/disciplinary.html)ormally complete their study in a single continuous period. As a suspension of study will interrupt a student’s progress on their programme, it will only be granted where there are good grounds and supporting documentation (e.g. a report from a registered medical practitioner).

*Grounds for suspension*

* 1. A suspension may be granted on the grounds that the student is unable to engage effectively with their studies owing to external factors such as serious and persistent health problems, disability, bereavement or additional sole caring responsibilities, serious financial problems, mandatory military service, or where a part-time student’s employment pattern has changed.
  2. A suspension may also be granted if it is demonstrated by the student that it would genuinely be in their best academic interests to suspend studies, for example in order to take up employment or other activities that will contribute to their academic development or where the student is transferring to another programme.

*Request for a suspension*

* 1. Each request for suspension of study must be considered individually taking into account the particular circumstances of the student.
  2. Suspension must be for a defined period. The length of the period of suspension granted should match, as closely as possible, the time required by the circumstances that necessitate the suspension. The student’s period of study for the programme on which they are registered, as set out in 24.14 and 34.9, is paused whilst they are suspended and recommences on their return to study.
  3. A period or periods of suspension should total no more than 12 months throughout a programme of study unless a specific extension to the period of suspension has been agreed (see 8.24).
  4. Suspensions cannot be backdated by more than one month from the date of the request for suspension of studies.
  5. A request for a suspension of study must be made by the student or their proxy. The student should notify the School of their intention and submit a completed [form](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/academicregistry/studentforms/) (generated by the School Office), accompanied by any relevant supporting documents (medical evidence or correspondence as appropriate).
  6. In cases where the accompanying documentation are not comprehensive enough to determine the best course of action for a student, schools may request and consider supporting evidence from medical, counselling or other relevant services before agreeing to recommend a suspension of registration.  When doing this, Schools must consider what is reasonable with respect to a student’s particular circumstances.
  7. There may be additional rules on suspensions from a funding body.  It is the responsibility of the student to confirm that arrangements and approval for the suspension have been secured with any funding sponsor that is involved. Postgraduate students in receipt of a studentship should note that Research Council or UoB studentship funding will cease during a period of suspension.
  8. Any change to student status, such as a suspension of study, will affect immigration status in the UK. The University is required to report any changes in status to the Home Office. Student Visa Services provides guidance and advice to visa-holding students who are seeking a suspension of study. Please see the [website](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/directory/visas/) for further information.
  9. The completed form will be considered by the relevant faculty education director for approval; any difficulties with the student’s progression that the School might foresee should be reported.
  10. The criteria for a return from suspension of studies and any change in the status of the student, through transfer to another programme for example, must be set out and agreed by relevant parties (the student, the school and a representative of the faculty) at the point of suspension and the agreement formally recorded and sent to the student. If circumstances change during the period of suspension then it may be appropriate for the criteria to be revisited, in consultation with the relevant parties.
  11. The Faculty will write to the student notifying them of the suspension of study and any conditions that need to be fulfilled for return.

*Return from suspension*

* 1. The support arrangements, and the associated responsibilities of the student and the school, should be agreed by the relevant parties prior to the student’s return such that they are able to engage with and meet the requirements of the programme.
  2. Faculties may require an accompanying translation where the medical certificate is provided in a language other than English or specify the medical practitioner who should assess the student.
  3. If a student suspends studies in advance of or during a placement (i.e. in industry or studying abroad) and wishes to take the placement in the next academic year, the University will attempt to allocate a placement to the student with the next cohort of students, but a placement cannot be guaranteed. If a placement cannot be secured, the student will be transferred to the equivalent degree programme.
  4. Schools should make suitable arrangements to accommodate the student if there have been significant changes to the programme during the period of suspension that will enable the student to complete their studies.
  5. If a student is unable to return on the agreed date, they may seek further approval to extend their period of suspension (see 8.24).
  6. A student for whom the agreed period of suspension becomes insufficient should withdraw from the programme and, should they wish, reapply at a later date, requesting that the existing credit points are recognised as prior learning (section 5).

*Extension to a period of suspension*

* 1. An extension of up to 12 months to the period of suspension may be granted in exceptional circumstances.
  2. Good grounds for an extension to a period of suspension may include: serious and persistent health problems, disability, significant bereavement or additional sole caring responsibilities, serious financial problems, mandatory military service or where a part-time student’s employment pattern has changed.
  3. Requests should be made on the relevant form and be accompanied by any supporting documents, such as medical evidence or correspondence.
  4. Extensions to a period of suspension beyond 12 months requires the support of both the student’s home school and the faculty before it is presented for approval to the Pro Vice-Chancellor (Education and Students) or delegate.

1. **Supplementary Year**
   1. The Supplementary Year is an additional year of study within a programme that is provided for eligible students to enable them to meet the criteria for progression whereas otherwise they are not able to progress to the next year or component.
   2. Where a supplementary year is agreed, the default will be for the units to be taken ‘in attendance’ i.e. with teaching and assessment. A Faculty may agree, on request by a student, that they are taken on an ‘assessment only’ basis where there are no overriding academic factors as to whether the student should be in attendance or not.

*In undergraduate programmes*

* 1. A Faculty Board of Examiners may permit a student on an undergraduate modular programme to undertake the Supplementary Year if a student does not have sufficient credit points to allow them to progress by the end of the academic year, because of:
     1. Academic failure: if a student fails a unit/s\* or is absent from assessment on a unit/s such that the credit points cannot be awarded, they may be permitted an opportunity to re-take the assessment. A student is only permitted to take a supplementary year for this reason once during their programme of study.

*\*a student is only permitted to take a unit up to 40 credit points as a third attempt for this reason in a supplementary year.*

* + 1. Exceptional circumstances: if a student’s ability to fulfil the criteria for the award of credit points has been affected by medical or other circumstances and they have not met the academic conditions for progression or completion by the end of the year of study, the student may be permitted to attempt the relevant units again without academic penalty in the next academic year. A student will normally only be able to take a supplementary year due to exceptional circumstances once during their programme of study.
  1. The Supplementary Year is not available to students on non-modular professional programmes.
  2. Where a student experiences significant exceptional circumstances on two separate occasions or due to a combination of academic failure in one academic year and exceptional circumstances in another yearsuch that they exceed the maximum period of study (see 24.14), the relevant exam board may recommend that the student undertakes an additional supplementary year as mitigation for the presented exceptional circumstances. A request to extend the student’s period of study should be submitted by the relevant faculty to the Pro Vice-Chancellor (Education and Students) or delegate for approval. The outcome of this consideration should return to the faculty to become a decision of the faculty exam board.
  3. Students with a Student visa registered on a four-year undergraduate Bachelors degree (i.e. at level 6) cannot undertake a second supplementary year due to UK Immigration Rules’ application of a five-year study cap to study in the UK. Students should contact the Student Visas Team to determine whether they may be eligible.
  4. In cases of (a): students who are placed on a supplementary year are required to undertake the units they have failed or a replacement unit from their programme structure. Marks for units that contribute to the final programme mark will be capped at the minimum pass mark.
  5. In cases of (b): students who are placed on a supplementary year due to exceptional circumstances will undertake the affected units as determined by the Faculty Board of Examiners. Marks will be awarded as normal (i.e. not capped if first attempt).

*In taught postgraduate programmes*

* 1. A Faculty Board of Examiners may permit a student on a taught postgraduate programme to undertake a supplementary year if a student does not have sufficient credit points for their intended award but are permitted to undertake reassessment in a unit/s as a first or second attempt in the next academic year.
  2. A student will normally only be able to take a supplementary year due to exceptional circumstances once during their programme of study.
  3. Where a student experiences significant exceptional circumstances on two separate occasions such that they exceed the maximum period of study (see 34.9), the relevant exam board may recommend that the student undertakes an additional supplementary year as mitigation for the presented exceptional circumstances. A request to extend the student’s period of study should be submitted by the relevant faculty to the Pro Vice-Chancellor (Education and Students) or delegate for approval. The outcome of this consideration should return to the faculty to become a decision of the faculty exam board.
  4. Students who are placed on a supplementary year are required to undertake the units they have yet to pass (see 37.7)

*In all programmes*

* 1. Students undertaking the supplementary year are expected to be in regular contact with the faculty / school / department, attend certain components of the unit and fulfil any specific attendance requirements as determined by the faculty.

Sponsored international students with a Student visa are subject to attendance monitoring requirements throughout the whole year and will be expected to be in regular contact with the faculty / school / department, such that the University’s reporting responsibilities can be fulfilled (see section 6).

* 1. An appropriate fee will normally be charged for the supplementary year (including repeat years), except in severe exceptional circumstances, as determined by the University.

***FORMS AND CONDUCT OF ASSESSMENT AND THE PROVISION OF FEEDBACK***

The University has established a series of [institutional principles for assessment and feedback in taught programmes](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/academic-quality/assessment/assessment-and-feedback-principles/). The principles are a statement of the University’s approach to assessment and the provision of feedback such that both staff and students share common expectations and are aware of their responsibilities.

1. **Forms of Assessment**
   1. A programme need not employ all the forms of summative assessment but the range should be sufficient to enable the full spectrum of knowledge and skills (both subject specific and generic) embodied in the programme and unit intended learning outcomes, to be appropriately assessed individually or cumulatively. Formative forms of assessment will not contribute to the award of credit points.
   2. In assessing a unit composed of more than one component, it is the unit as a whole, not each component that needs to be satisfactorily completed, except where it has been designated as ‘must-pass’. Components need not be capable of being separately assessed, although programmes may require a component to be satisfactorily completed in order for a unit to be passed and enable the credit points to be awarded.
   3. All assessment should be undertaken in the language in which the material from the unit is taught, unless there is a clear academic rationale for doing otherwise. Where this is the case, the rationale must be approved as part of the normal programme and unit approval process and students informed prior to or on the commencement of their studies. Students may not request assessment to be conducted in an alternative language other than as allowed by this clause.
   4. Assessment deadlines should be planned such that they do not fall on weekends, public holidays in England or University closure days. The deadline within the day of submission should be between 10am-4pm. Schools should refer to the guidance on ‘[Setting assessment deadlines and managing extensions](https://uob.sharepoint.com/sites/say/SiteAssets/Forms/AllItems.aspx?id=%2Fsites%2Fsay%2FSiteAssets%2FSitePages%2FLinks%2Dand%2DResources%2FAssessment%2Ddeadlines%2Dextensions%2D09%5F24%2Epdf&parent=%2Fsites%2Fsay%2FSiteAssets%2FSitePages%2FLinks%2Dand%2DResources)’ [internal only] when setting assessment deadlines.

***Assuring assessment criteria and intended learning outcomes at unit level***

* 1. Faculties are responsible for ensuring that students are given clear guidance on the assessment requirements of their programmes, whilst Schools are responsible for this at the unit level.
  2. Unit specifications must provide sufficient information about the assessment in relation to the intended learning outcomes.
  3. Any significant changes to a unit, at whatever level it is approved, should automatically trigger a review of whether the assessment methods and criteria remain congruent with the unit’s intended learning outcomes.
  4. Annual review mechanisms for units (annual unit or programme reviews) must provide appropriate opportunities for evaluating whether the assessments test the stated unit objectives/learning outcomes.

***Academic scrutiny of assessment***

* 1. The Head of School is responsible for ensuring that procedures are in place to assure the quality and standards of assessment. These procedures are normally implemented by one or more School Examinations Officer(s) (see section 22).
  2. All summative assessment tasks and marking schemes should normally be subject to review by a second person, except in cases where the assessment accounts for the equivalent of 25 percent or less of the overall mark in a 20 credit point unit.
  3. External examiners should be asked to scrutinise all examination papers and any summative assessment tasks that accounts to the equivalent of more than 25 percent of the overall mark in a 20 credit point unit and contributes to the final degree result. To facilitate this, external examiners should have access to the relevant information relating to aims and objectives, contents, intended learning outcomes, assessment methods, marking criteria and any model answers.

1. **Conduct of Assessment**

***Formal unseen written examinations***

* 1. The procedures under which the University requires unseen written examinations to be conducted are set out in the University’s [Assessment Regulations](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-assessment-regulations.pdf). Should any divergence from these procedures be requested, the chair of the relevant School Board of Examiners must be consulted. The chair may act on behalf of the board but must first consult the undergraduate or graduate Faculty Education Director.
  2. The University's [Assessment Regulations](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-assessment-regulations.pdf) contain detailed provisions concerning the handling of allegations of plagiarism, cheating and other examinations offences. Anyone with responsibility for handling such allegations must be fully familiar with these regulations.

*The examination periods*

* 1. Summative examinations are normally set within assessment periods held at the end of Teaching Block 1 and Teaching Block 2 and ‘re-sit’ and supplementary examinations in the Summer Reassessment period. The exact dates for the assessment periods each year are provided in the [University almanac](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/university/dates/). Exceptions must be agreed as in 11.5.
  2. The summative assessment of units must take place during or at the end of the teaching block in which the unit is run, except for agreed exceptions.
  3. Where there is good academic reason to request an exemption from 11.3 or 11.4, the programme director must make a case to the relevant Faculty Education Director. If the Faculty Education Director approves the case, it must then be presented to the Associate Pro Vice-Chancellor (Education Quality and Standards) for final approval.
  4. Examinations within the MBChB, BDS, BVSc (including Accelerated Graduate Entry) and other specified non-modular programmes should be arranged as outlined in 11.3 and 11.4 as far as is possible.

Students requesting to take summative examinations outside of the United Kingdom

Students registered for an award of the University of Bristol

* 1. All University of Bristol students taking ~~first-sit or re-sit~~ unseen written examinations that are taken under exam conditions are expected to take their scheduled examinations in venues arranged by the University of Bristol. In exceptional cases, however, approval may be sought for permission to take an examination at an approved institution outside the United Kingdom (UK).
  2. There is no automatic entitlement to sit an examination outside the UK. Permission to do so will only be given if:

It is permitted by programme and/or faculty requirements (e.g. 11.9);

The student has provided sufficient cause or reason\* to not sit the examination at the University of Bristol;

The arrangements for examination at the approved institution conform to University regulations;

There is not suitable alternative.

This judgement is at the discretion of the relevant Faculty Education Director.

\* Holidays, working commitments or financial constraints at the time of the examination will not normally be considered a sufficient cause or reason for taking the examination outside the UK. Authorisation to sit an examination outside the UK on medical grounds will not normally be granted as students should only be taking examinations when fit to do so. Students who have medical issues should seek advice from their School.

* 1. Any examination scheduled outside the UK must take place in an institution where the conditions for examination have been formally agreed by the University. The relevant Faculty will notify the University’s Examinations Office in such instances, which will liaise with the student’s home institution with regard to the arrangements for the examination such that it is convened in accordance with the regulations of the University of Bristol.
  2. Any examination arranged outside of the UK must be scheduled to run concurrently or to overlap withsame examination at the University of Bristol.
  3. The same procedures apply where the requirements of a distance learning programme necessitate students taking their written summative examinations outside the UK. Consideration should be given during the design stage of distance learning programmes as to whether alternative forms of assessment are more appropriate.

Students whose award is not made by the University of Bristol

* 1. A student studying at the University of Bristol, but whose award is not being made by the University (i.e. on a ‘Study Abroad’ period), will be permitted the opportunity to undertake a first attempt summative examination at their home institution, where the student is required to re-engage with their studies at the home institution at the same time that examinations are scheduled at Bristol (i.e. in the Teaching Block 1 examination period). This allowance is subject to:
     + 1. It being permitted by programme requirements;
       2. The arrangements for examination conforming to University regulation, including that it is held concurrently with the examination held in Bristol or, where this is not possible, on the same day.

This judgement is at the discretion of the relevant Faculty Education Director.

* 1. In such cases, at the behest of the student, the International Office will inform the relevant School and Faculty in which the Study Abroad student is based at the start of each academic year.
  2. The relevant Faculty will subsequently notify the University’s Examinations Office, which will liaise with the student’s home institution with regard to the arrangements for the examination such that it is convened in accordance with the regulations of the University of Bristol.

***Timed assessments***

* 1. Timed assessments are online assessments that need to be completed and submitted within a period of four days (five days for students with Alternative Exam Arrangements). They are distinct from exams, which have more tightly constrained timing. Students are given guidance as to the amount of time to spend completing the assessment and may choose when to complete the assessment within the given time period for submission. Schools should refer to the guidance in ‘[Setting assessment deadlines and managing extensions](https://uob.sharepoint.com/sites/say/SiteAssets/Forms/AllItems.aspx?id=%2Fsites%2Fsay%2FSiteAssets%2FSitePages%2FLinks%2Dand%2DResources%2FAssessment%2Ddeadlines%2Dextensions%2D09%5F24%2Epdf&parent=%2Fsites%2Fsay%2FSiteAssets%2FSitePages%2FLinks%2Dand%2DResources)’ [internal only] when scheduling timed assessments.
  2. An extension to the deadline for submission is not available and a late submission is not accepted. Students may not self-certify absence from a timed assessment but may present exceptional circumstances.

***Coursework and similar forms of written summative assessment***

Coursework is defined as any summative assessment based on essays, assignments, creative writing or other tasks that is completed outside timetabled classes in the students' own time.

* 1. Students should be provided with a clear timetable at the start of the unit (or year of study for a programme, if appropriate) as to when coursework will be set, when it should be submitted and when they will receive feedback on it. Students should be given a reasonable amount of time for planning, writing and reviewing their work before the submission date, relative to the learning on the unit and their overall workload for the programme. Within this, coursework should be set at the commencement of the unit, unless there is good academic reason otherwise.
  2. Coursework or other forms of written summative assessment should be submitted no later than the date provided by the student’s home school in order for the student’s progression or award to be considered at the next relevant meeting of the exam board. Schools should refer to the guidance in ‘[Setting assessment deadlines and managing extensions](https://uob.sharepoint.com/sites/say/SiteAssets/Forms/AllItems.aspx?id=%2Fsites%2Fsay%2FSiteAssets%2FSitePages%2FLinks%2Dand%2DResources%2FAssessment%2Ddeadlines%2Dextensions%2D09%5F24%2Epdf&parent=%2Fsites%2Fsay%2FSiteAssets%2FSitePages%2FLinks%2Dand%2DResources)’ [internal only] when setting deadlines for coursework submission, including extensions.
  3. Academic misconduct associated with summative assignments should be dealt with in accordance with the [Assessment Regulations](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-assessment-regulations.pdf).
  4. The director of a unit that utilises coursework as an assessment method is responsible for ensuring that all those involved in the assessment process are aware of the assessments of the unit, and where the marking of coursework is undertaken by more than one person, procedures must be in place to ensure consistency in marking (see section 16).

*Online submission of coursework*

See [guidance on the online submission and marking](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/digital-education/assessment-online/e-submission/ema-guides/blackboard-submission-staff/).

* 1. Where employed,the online submission of work should be consistently applied for all students undertaking the assessment and, ideally, to all the appropriate assessments within the unit.
  2. It should be made clear to students (e.g. via Blackboard) that, for each unit, whether any assessment can or must be submitted online and if the work is to be checked using text, code or other matching software.
  3. Students should be given clear instructions (e.g. via Blackboard) on the submission process, for each unit, including that:
     1. Submitted files must be in the specified file format(s) (e.g. Word, pdf).
     2. Submitted files must comply with instructions, including required file naming and coversheet information (if used).
     3. The deadline relates to the complete and successful submission of the coursework and students must ensure that they begin the submission process in good time before the deadline.
     4. Checking the successful submission of the work is the responsibility of the student. Staff should only be contacted if the student has identified or experienced a problem (e.g. submitted the wrong file).
  4. If a student encounters a problem preventing them from submitting their work that is not caused by a University system failure, they must notify their School immediately. Evidence of this technical failure may be required by the School. The act of notification in itself does not annul or extend any deadline.
  5. Where schools mandate the use of Turnitin for submissions for a dissertation, project or industry placement report that forms a significant part of the summative assessment for a programme, a student or appropriate member of staff (e.g. dissertation supervisor) may initiate a request on the appropriate form for an exemption from the Turnitin requirement if there are contractual, security or safety obligations on the University to safeguard sensitive material from third parties. The member of staff is responsible for submitting a request to the relevant Faculty Education Director (or nominee). Where the student initiates the request, the relevant member of staff must provide a recommendation on the form. The Faculty Education Director (or nominee) will make a decision on the request and the faculty will communicate the outcome to the student, to the staff member and to the school. If the request is approved, the relevant member of staff will undertake a manual check on the dissertation for academic integrity and plagiarism and will inform the school when the check has been completed.
  6. Online assessment must be conducted under the same processes specified by the Code as for other forms of assessment.

***Oral examinations of individual students***

* 1. Two examiners should be present during all oral examinations. If this is not possible then a procedure for recording the event must be in place.
  2. Oral examinations should only be used when it tests the intended learning outcome of the unit e.g. practical or performance skills. Normally this would not include assessment of simple factual knowledge recall.
  3. It is the responsibility of the Programme Director in conjunction with the Unit Directors involved to demonstrate that the oral examination is reliable, fair and appropriate and adds value to the assessment portfolio.
  4. The external examiner must have adequate access to the purpose, objectives and assessment criteria.
  5. If an oral examination is part of the assessment of a unit, it must apply to every student taking that unit.
  6. An oral examination is not permitted as a means of moderating a student’s examination result or degree classification.

***Pass/fail assessments***

* 1. A unit may contain summative assessments with both pass/fail and graded marking schemes, in which case the pass/fail assessment will be deemed to be ‘must-pass’ for the award of credit for the unit. A mark for the unit will be generated on the basis of the graded assessments.
  2. If the assessment within a unit is solely marked on the pass/fail marking scheme, the unit is deemed to be ‘must-pass’ (i.e. the student must ‘pass’ every assessment to be awarded the credit for the unit). As such units do not generate a mark, the unit will not contribute to the year or programme mark. Units with assessments that are solely pass/fail are not permitted in the final year of a modular undergraduate programme.
  3. Programmes should be mindful of the cumulative impact of units that are solely marked on the pass/fail marking scheme (i.e. where a unit mark is not generated) in a year of study/taught component given their impact on the weighting of other units for calculating the year / taught component mark and programme mark for both progression and classification purposes.
  4. Summative assessments that are pass/fail should have more than one opportunity for students to pass the assessment in-unit.

***Group assessments***

* 1. In respect of group assessment, it is ~~often~~ desirable to award both a group and individual mark, to ensure individuals’ contributions to the task are acknowledged. The weighting of the group and individual mark and how the marks are combined should be set out in the unit specification.

***Other activities for the award of credit in a unit***

* 1. Any activities that are required to be undertaken or completed for the award of credit in a unit must be set out in the unit specification. Such activities are summative but may also have a formative purpose.
  2. Such activities should always explicitly link to the unit’s intended learning outcomes and must be undertaken or completed for credit to be awarded in the unit, except where an exam board waives this requirement to account for the impact of Exceptional Circumstances where the relevant intended learning outcomes are demonstrated elsewhere in the programme.
  3. Such activities may constitute:
* a binary measure of learning, specifically a competence or skill;
* a means of ensuring that students engage with collaborative learning activities, which depend on their contribution to fulfil a learning outcome for the unit;
* an activity or task that is required to be undertaken and/or reasonably attempted\* in order to gauge students’ engagement with the learning outcomes.

\*In this context, ‘reasonably attempted’ means that the student has undertaken the activity and made a reasonable attempt to engage with its purpose in the academic judgement of the relevant Unit Director, or nominee.

* 1. Where a student is required to attend specified teaching event/s to demonstrate engagement with the learning for the award of credit in a unit, schools should specify the arrangements for students to demonstrate this criterion where they have not done by the Summer Assessment exam board.
  2. Students are required to undertake or complete the activity by the date set by the school, normally within the unit. Where the student has missed or not completed the activity by this date, the student will be given the opportunity to undertake or complete the activity by a specified date before the relevant exam board at which their progression or award is due to be considered.
  3. A student who does not satisfactorily undertake or complete the activity by the specified date before the relevant exam board at which their progression or award is considered, will be deemed to have failed the unit. The exam board will permit a reassessment opportunity to undertake and complete the activity by a specified date set by the school, either:
  + within the reassessment period; or
  + within a supplementary year where the student is unable to progress or award and where the activity is not available until the next academic year; or
  + within the next year of study where the student is on a designated programme that permits conditional progression.
  1. The capping of the unit mark does not apply to the reassessment of activities for the award of credit, on the basis that the unit mark cannot be improved by the reassessment opportunity.

1. **Reasonable adjustment to assessment because of disability or other reason**

*NOTE: this section has been updated as of 14.01.25 with content being integrated into a new policy on reasonable adjustments.*

* 1. The University has a legal obligation to make reasonable adjustments for disabled students, including for assessment. The purpose of the duty is to remove barriers so that disabled students are not disadvantaged in comparison with non-disabled students.
  2. Information about the University’s arrangements for assessing the need for and implementing reasonable adjustments is provided in the University’s [policy on reasonable adjustments for teaching, learning and assessment](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/reasonable-adjustments-policy.pdf).

***Reasonable adjustment to assessment because of any other protected characteristic***

* 1. Schools will also consider making adjustments for students because of any other protected characteristic[[1]](#footnote-2) or their association with someone who has a protected characteristic.

***Religious observances***

* 1. Where it is practicable, reasonable and fair to all students, assessment tasks should be designed to accommodate the religious observances of the students and staff involved. As far as it is practicable, the examination timetable will be arranged such that it does not conflict with the observance of religious festivals and other holy days.
  2. It is the responsibility of the student to inform the faculty office about their religious beliefs where there is potential for conflict with the setting of assessment.

***Student pregnancy or maternity/paternity***

* 1. If it is likely that a student’s pregnancy might affect their ability to meet coursework deadlines or sit examinations, consideration must be given to implementing measures to support them in meeting the requirements of the programme.
  2. If a student is due to give birth near to, or during assessment deadlines, or the examination period, but they wish to complete assessed work or sit examinations, the student should not be prevented from so doing. If the midwife or doctor, however, advises against sitting an examination or trying to meet the assessed work deadline, an alternative should be explored.
  3. Otherwise, the school should make arrangements for the student to sit the examination, as a first attempt, at the earliest possible opportunity or agree to an extension to the deadline for the submission of coursework.
  4. If a student is likely to be absent due to their partner giving birth, and where the due date conflicts with any scheduled assessments, staff should endeavour to offer flexibility wherever practicable so to do. However, in such circumstances automatic dispensation from examinations will not always be possible.

1. **Submission and considering the impact of student circumstances**
   1. The University will consider and where appropriate account for the impact of substantial and exceptional disruptions to a student’s assessment by a range of processes, as set out in this policy. Such disruptions might include an illness or accident, or something else beyond a student’s control that has affected their ability to complete or perform in assessment.
   2. These processes are designed to deal with developing, shorter-term circumstances (including unexpected flare-ups of longer-term, chronic conditions) that impact on a student’s performance in assessment and to function as a targeted means of responding to problems which could not readily be mitigated in advance. They are not intended to be a retrospective fix for known or foreseeable problems, a general insurance policy or to account for mild illness, minor events or events that were planned or could reasonably be expected.
   3. Specifically, an 'Exceptional Circumstance' is where a student retrospectively requests that the University consider an exceptional impact of an acute disruption, caused by an event or circumstance external to study, upon their performance in an assessment when determining their progression, the award of a qualification or classification.
   4. Students with circumstances that are known and/or have a longer-term impact upon study, should engage with appropriate University services such that appropriate support can be put in place in advance of assessment (e.g. through a Study Support Plan).
   5. Students must submit a request using the relevant University process as set out in this policy. Students must set out and explain how the circumstances have impacted upon their assessment, in addition to providing evidence of the circumstance, where required.
   6. The processes are available to students on taught programmes of the University of Bristol and students on research degree programmes who are taking taught units. Only a sub-set of outcomes from the processes are available for visiting students taking taught units at the University.

***Absence from teaching***

* 1. Students are expected to attend educational activities as are defined in their programme of study; however, a student may need to notify their school for any period of absence if required by the programme of study or specific unit, as set out in the relevant programme or unit specification, or student handbook.

***Deferring assessment***

* 1. If a student is or will be seriously impacted by a known circumstance outside of their control such that they cannot complete an upcoming scheduled summative assessment, they should speak with the Senior Tutor of their home school (or equivalent role in schools where an alternative model has been approved) in advance of the assessment for guidance on next steps.
  2. On the advice of the Senior Tutor, the Chair of the Faculty Board of Examiners may defer the assessment for that student to the next assessment period to mitigate the impact of the circumstance. This is an extraordinary and discretionary action where the impact of a circumstance (i.e. the student will be unable to be take the assessment) is fully known in advance of the assessment and the impact is equivalent to a ‘i3’ impact classification. The decision will normally be supported by evidence, unless in exceptional cases (see 13.40).
  3. If agreed by the Chair of the Faculty Board of Examiners, the student will undertake the assessment within the next relevant assessment period without further academic penalty.

***Absence from assessment***

*In-person and online written examinations and other forms of summative assessment that take place on a single day under exam conditions*

* 1. If a student is absent from assessment that takes place on a single day (e.g. online and in-person examinations, practicals, in-class tests) due to an acute disruption caused by an unforeseen event or circumstance, they may self-certify their absence (i.e. not provide medical or other evidence) by completing and submitting the University’s self-certification for absence form.
  2. A student is permitted to self-certify absence from examination/s for up to two consecutive calendar days within an assessment period[[2]](#footnote-3). A student cannot self-certify absence for more than a single period of two consecutive calendar days in any individual assessment period.
  3. A self-certification for absence is also permitted for other designated in-unit summative assessments that take place on a single specified day outside of the University assessment period. Students should be informed of any limits upon self-certification for absence for an assessment, as determined by the relevant school.
  4. A self-certification for absence form must be provided for each missed assessment submitted no later than the day of the missed assessment.
  5. Where the absence from assessment exceeds the limit set in 13.12 or relates to assessment that takes place over more than one day, a student should submit exceptional circumstances to explain and evidence the absence.
  6. Students who start but are unable to complete an in-person or online summative examination due to illness should follow the instructions provided to them by an invigilator or in the information for completing an online examination. The student should then complete the University’s exceptional circumstances form and submit it along with any appropriate evidence.
  7. A student may subsequently submit exceptional circumstances in respect of the absence which, if accepted, will supersede a self-certified absence from an assessment where the circumstance relates to the reason for the original absence and covers the same time period.
  8. Where a self-certification of absence from assessment is submitted, the student will normally be required to undertake the assessment again in the same format without academic penalty subject to the normal regulations for progression and award. This will take place for exams: either in the reassessment period or as part of a supplementary year; for other assessment events, rescheduled at a time determined by the School within the same academic year. If it is not feasible to provide the assessment in the same format, then an alternative format that enables the student to demonstrate the relevant intended learning outcomes will be provided.

***Submission of coursework***

* 1. Where a circumstance significantly affects the ability of a student to submit coursework or an undergraduate project / dissertation by a deadline, a student may submit a request for an extension. The extension of the submission deadline for a dissertation in a taught postgraduate programme is requested by a separate process, as detailed in section 37.
  2. Coursework must be submitted on time unless an extension has previously been agreed. Late submissions will be subject to a [mark penalty](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/academic-quality/assessment/regulations-and-code-of-practice-for-taught-programmes/penalties/). Late penalties may be removed through the Exceptional Circumstances process where there is good, evidenced reason why the extension form and/or evidence could not be submitted by the deadline. Relevant evidence is required to accompany the request for an extension, unless in exceptional cases (see 13.40). If evidence cannot be provided, a penalty for late submission will apply where applicable.
  3. The University form for requesting an extension should be submitted no later than 48 hours prior to the submission deadline. Accompanying evidence may be submitted at a later date if it is not immediately available, but no later than four calendar days after the coursework submission deadline.
  4. The decision as to whether an extension is granted will be taken by the school which owns the unit, and the outcome provided to the student in advance of the submission deadline where possible. Students must work to the given deadline until an extension is confirmed.
  5. Where a request is accepted, an extension of up to seven calendar days will be granted to ensure that a student’s learning is not held up. If the circumstance has had a serious impact upon the ability of a student to complete and submit their coursework, then a longer extension of up to 21 calendar days may be agreed, subject to the final deadline for submission before the student’s progression or award is considered by the board of examiners. Schools should refer to the guidance in ‘[Setting assessment deadlines and managing extensions](https://uob.sharepoint.com/sites/say/SiteAssets/Forms/AllItems.aspx?id=%2Fsites%2Fsay%2FSiteAssets%2FSitePages%2FLinks%2Dand%2DResources%2FAssessment%2Ddeadlines%2Dextensions%2D09%5F24%2Epdf&parent=%2Fsites%2Fsay%2FSiteAssets%2FSitePages%2FLinks%2Dand%2DResources)’ [internal only] when setting a new deadline for coursework submission.
  6. Where the impact of a circumstance demands an extension beyond 21 days, consideration should be given to a suspension of studies or permitting the student to defer the assessment without academic penalty to a later date (see 13.9).

***Exceptional circumstances***

* 1. A student may request for the relevant exam board to consider the impact of exceptional circumstances upon progression or the award and/or classification of a qualification. The request must be submitted with evidence (see 13.39).
  2. A request may also be presented in the following specific cases:
* Where a student is absent from assessment that cannot be self-certified due to the permitted limit on self-certification or where self-certification is not permitted for a form of assessment (e.g. timed assessment)
* Where a student starts but is unable to complete an in-person or online summative examination due to illness or other reason
* Where a late penalty has been applied to the submission of coursework and there is a good, evidenced reason why the form and/or evidence could not be submitted by the deadline.
  1. Students should submit the form and evidence as soon after the circumstance as possible but no later than the established date[[3]](#footnote-4) before the next exam board at which the student’s progression or award is determined. Students on programmes with a different assessment schedule will be informed of relevant submission dates by their school.
  2. Cases submitted by the stated deadline will be considered by an Exceptional Circumstances Committee (ECC).
  3. An ECC is established at a Faculty, School or Centre level covering the students on the taught programmes that it owns. A Faculty may also establish an ECC to cover programmes operated at a Faculty level. The ECC is an advisory committee to the School Board of Examiners. The membership and composition of the ECC is determined by the Chair of the School (or Faculty) Board of Examiners, but should include at least three members, one of whom will be a Senior Tutor (or equivalent role in schools where an alternative model has been approved), who will chair the committee.
  4. The role of the ECC is to evaluate whether or not circumstances have affected a student’s performance in assessment and whether they have already been mitigated. If a request for Exceptional Circumstances is deemed valid and therefore accepted, for each case the ECC will determine and classify the impact upon the student’s assessment and report this to the school board of examiners where it believes an allowance is warranted. Circumstances judged as having a material impact upon assessment may lead to a range of possible mitigations.
  5. The ECC may deem the removal of a late penalty for an assessment to be an appropriate outcome as mitigation. In these circumstances, the Chair of the School Board of Examiners will approve the removal of late penalties prior to the School Board of Examiners meeting on the recommendation of the ECC, where a student was unable for good reason to submit an extension request in advance of the submission deadline. This is the only circumstance in which a ECC may recommend a specific action as mitigation.
  6. The School Board of Examiners will receive the outcome of each case accepted by the ECC and exercise its academic judgement in accordance with the guidance and standard outcomes set out and determine an outcome that is the fair, reasonable and the most appropriate to account for the impact of the circumstance.
  7. When considering the classification of an individual student, the School Board of Examiners should consider the recommendations from the ECC that have been carried forward from previous years, as appropriate.
  8. Where a School Board of Examiners’ view of the impact of the circumstance leads it to believe that the standard outcomes should be varied and/or in complex cases, it may refer the case to the Faculty Board of Examiners with a recommendation to agree an appropriate outcome to account for the impact of the circumstance, within its discretion.
  9. For cases which are specifically referred to the Faculty Board of Examiners by a School Board of Examiners, the Faculty Board of Examiners will receive and ratify the proposed outcome with respect to exceptional circumstances or consider the case and agree an alternative outcome.
  10. The chair of the Faculty Board of Examiners may amend the classification of the impact of an exceptional circumstance that has been made by an ECC, in consultation with the Chair of the ECC, where:

(i) new information has come to light since the classification was decided, or;

(ii) a material irregularity in determining the classification by the ECC is identified.

* 1. Boards of Examiners must keep a written record of the decisions made with respect to exceptional circumstances and the basis on which they were made. The relevant record must be made available to a student to whom it applies on request.
  2. Where a student has taken an assessment but is permitted to take it again without academic penalty to account for the impact of an Exceptional Circumstance, the mark from the most recent assessment will supersede the original assessment mark.

Evidence requirements

* 1. Students are required to provide evidence of their circumstance to support:
  + a request for a coursework extension
  + an extension to study on a PGT programme to permit a later submission of the dissertation, or
  + when presenting exceptional circumstances.
  1. A requirement for accompanying evidence ensures an informed judgement can be made about impact and any mitigation agreed in response is both fair and reasonable to the student and to the rest of the student cohort. Where it is not appropriate or possible for evidence to be sought or provided, students should speak to their Senior Tutor (or equivalent role in schools where an alternative model has been approved) who may refer the case to the Chair of the Faculty Board of Examiners.
  2. Otherwise, circumstances and their impact should be evidenced in an appropriate way and may take a range of forms. Evidence should be independent and sufficiently detailed to support the student’s narrative within the form. An indicative list of accepted forms of evidence is provided. It should also be contemporary to the affected assessments; however, students may refer to previously submitted evidence of acute flare-ups of chronic conditions or persistent circumstances and/or submit previous documentation where it is still relevant to the reason for the request.
  3. A medical note must include an observation and diagnosis of an illness or condition by a GP or other health professional to be considered as evidence. Where an illness cannot be observed, any subsequent note will not be deemed to constitute sufficient evidence by the University of the circumstance or its impact.
  4. The evidence should be in English. An independently certified English translation must be provided for any evidence that was originally produced in another language.

Processing information and confidentiality

* 1. The information provided in the forms will be held by the University and treated with discretion and in confidence.

1. **Feedback to Students**
   1. Each school must set out to its students a clear approach for the delivery of feedback on their work, in accordance with the [Institutional Principles for Assessment and Feedback](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/academic-quality/assessment/assessment-and-feedback-principles/), covering the following points:

* the ways in which students will be able to discuss their work and academic progress with staff;
* the purpose of the feedback and guidance on how students can make best use of it;
* the assessment tasks students will receive feedback on, and the form it will take;
* when students should expect to receive the feedback and how they will be informed if it is not possible to meet the agreed deadline (feedback on work should normally be delivered within 21 days of the deadline for submission – see the [*Framework for the return of feedback to students on their work*](file://mis-app1.admin.bris.ac.uk/homedata/tsu/CODEs%20for%20Taught%20and%20PGR%20Progs/Code%20of%20Practice/2021-22/%20www.bristol.ac.uk/academic-quality/assessment/assessment-and-feedback-principles/framework-for-feedback/)).

***MARKING OF ASSESSMENTS AND THE PROCESSING OF MARKS***

1. **Marking Criteria and Scales**
   1. Marking criteria are designed to help students know what is expected of them. Marking criteria differ from model answers and more prescriptive marking schemes which assign a fixed proportion of the assessment mark to particular knowledge, understanding and/or skills. The [glossary](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/taught-code-glossary.pdf) provides definitions for: marking criteria, marking scheme and model answer.
   2. Detailed marking criteria for assessed group work, the assessment of class presentations, and self/peer (student) assessment must be established and made available to students and examiners.

***University-level marking criteria***

* 1. University-level marking criteria provides an over-arching framework for student attainment across a programme that guides marking within and across programmes by level of study:
* At level 4: [(PDF, 208kB)](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-marking-criteria-level4.pdf) | [(Office document, 55kB)](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-marking-criteria-level4.docx)
* At level 5: [(PDF, 222kB)](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-marking-criteria-level5.pdf) | [(Office document, 59kB)](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-marking-criteria-level5.docx)
* At level 6: [(PDF, 174kB)](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-marking-criteria-level6.pdf) | [(Office document, 58kB)](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-marking-criteria-level6.docx)
* At level 7: [(PDF, 221kB)](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-marking-criteria-level7.pdf) | [(Office document, 57kB)](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-marking-criteria-level7.docx)
* In non-modular programmes (levels 4-7): [(PDF, 434kB)](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-marking-criteria-non-modular.pdf) | [(Office document, 83kB)](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-marking-criteria-non-modular.docx)

Establishing and applying criteria for assessment at level 8 should be managed by the school that owns the associated programme, in liaison with the faculty.

* 1. Schools or faculties must have marking criteria that is appropriate to their programmes and units but are congruent with these institutional-level criteria. The criteria associated with the pass mark threshold at the level of study at which an award is made should align with the intended learning outcomes for the programme. All forms of specific marking criteria must be approved by the Faculty.
  2. The marking criteria should also be used to provide clarity about marking expectations within assessment briefs for students.

***Marking scales***

* 1. Assessment must be marked and returned as an integer using one of the sanctioned marking scales, as follows:
* 0-100 unrestricted marking scale
* 0-20 marking scale (converted into fixed points on the 0-100 scale as Table 1)
* or using a pass/fail marking scheme (see 11.33).

Standard setting in marking is permitted in programmes where it is a professional accreditation requirement.

Any mark on the chosen marking scale can be used.

**TABLE 1: Relationship between the two marking scales**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **0-20 point scale** | **Equivalent to these fixed points on the 0-100 point scale** |
| 20 | 100 |
| 19 | 94 |
| 18 | 83 |
| 17 | 78 |
| 16 | 75 |
| 15 | 72 |
| 14 | 68 |
| 13 | 65 |
| 12 | 62 |
| 11 | 58 |
| 10 | 55 |
| 9 | 52 |
| 8 | 48 |
| 7 | 45 |
| 6 | 42 |
| 5 | 35 |
| 4 | 29 |
| 3 | 22 |
| 2 | 15 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 0 | 0 |

* 1. Schools should utilise the marking scale that is best suited to the form of assessment. This and the marking criteria for the assessment should be established prior to its commencement.
  2. Where the averaging of different component marks within an assessment or the outcome of two markers creates an assessment mark with a decimal point, markers should reconcile any significant difference in marks and make a deliberate academic decision as to the exact mark on the scale that should be awarded. Otherwise the mark will be rounded to the nearest integer and returned (if on the 0-20 marking scale, then this should take place before converting to a mark on the 0-100 scale).

***Exceptions to the sanctioned marking scales***

* 1. Highly structured assessments that are scored out of a total number less than 100 may be utilised where each mark can be justified in relation to those marks neighbouring it. In these cases, the mark must be translated onto the 0-100 point scale, mapped against the relevant marking criteria, and students informed of the use of this method in advance of the assessment in the appropriate medium (e.g. on Blackboard).
  2. **Norm-Referencing** (as defined in the [glossary](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/taught-code-glossary.pdf)) is not permitted as a means of assessment in the University of Bristol. Criterion-referenced assessment (e.g. marking schemes, marking criteria) is to be used for all assessments.
  3. **Negative Marking** may be employed in subjects where it is essential that the student should not guess the right answer. If negative marking is employed, this must be with the full knowledge of the student. There must be appropriate rubric, explaining that the assessment will be subject to negative marking on the cover of an examination paper, and the students should be given opportunities to practise such assessments before undertaking a summative assessment marked in this way.

***Reaching the ‘Unit Mark’ (see also sections 30 and 37)***

* 1. Marks awarded on the 0-20 scale should be translated to a point on the 0-100 scale before entry into the VLE to calculate the overall unit mark for the purposes of progression and classification (see table 1).
  2. The 0-20 point scale is a non-linear ordinal scale; for example, a mark on the 0-20 point scale IS NOT equivalent to a percentage arrived at by multiplying the mark by 5. Table 1 provides an equivalence relationship between the scales to enable the aggregation of marks from different assessment events to provide the overall unit mark which will be a percentage. This is illustrated below for a notional unit.

In this example, the MCQ uses all points on the 0-100 scale whereas all the other assessments use the 0-20 point scale.

To achieve the final unit mark each component mark needs to be adjusted as:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Dissertation (25%)** | **Unseen written exam (35%)** | **MCQ**  **(25%)** | **Oral exam (15%)** | **Total unit mark out of 100** |
| **Actual score** | 12 on 0-20 scale | 8 on 0-20 scale | 57 on 0-100 scale | 15 on 0-20 scale |  |
| **Adjusted to 0-100 scale** | 62/100 | 48/100 | 57/100 | 72/100 |  |
| **Final weighted mark** | 62 x 25 = 1550 | 48 x 35 = 1680 | 57 x 25 = 1425 | 72 x 15 = 1080 | **5735/100 = 57.35 (57)** |

* 1. The overall unit mark must be expressed as a percentage as the University’s degree classification methodology is based on the percentage scale.
  2. The final programme will be calculated by applying the agreed algorithm to the unit marks (see sections 32 and 39).

1. **Marking and moderation**

## Programmes will have in place and operate marking and moderation processes that ensure the reliability, consistency, and accuracy of marking , in line with the expectations set out in this section. Such processes may be organised at a school or faculty level.

* 1. The marking and moderation processes should be made available to students, for example in the programme handbook.

***Marking practices***

* 1. Single marking is where student work is marked by one individual based on a marking scheme. Moderation must take place on individual assessments with single marking subject to exemptions set out in (16.5) below.
  2. Double (or ‘second’) blind marking is the process by which an assessment is marked independently by two markers, who then agree a final mark (or marks). Neither marker is aware of the other’s assessment decision in formulating their own mark. Moderation is not required for work that is double marked as the double marking effectively takes the place of moderation. Double marking will normally take place for:
  3. Dissertations and end of programme projects or equivalent (in which a dissertation supervisor may only be permitted to be an internal examiner as part of a marking team);
  4. Where there are particular difficulties in applying moderation given the nature of the assessment (e.g. a live practical assessment that is not recorded);
  5. Work marked by non-academic staff (depending on the experience of the markers) or inexperienced markers;
  6. Where this is required by a professional, statutory or regulatory body.
  7. The practice of one marker seeing the marking of another marker (non-blind) is deemed to be a form of moderation.
  8. Where there is more than one marker for a particular assessment task, schools should take steps to ensure consistency of marking. Programme specific assessment criteria must be precise enough to ensure consistency of marking across candidates and markers, compatible with a proper exercise of academic judgement on the part of individual markers.
  9. Markers are encouraged to use pro forma in order to show how they have arrived at their decision. Comments provided on pro forma should help candidates, internal markers and moderators and external examiners to understand why a particular mark has been awarded. Schools should agree, in advance of the assessment, whether internal moderators have access to the pro forma / mark sheets completed by the first marker before or after they mark a candidate’s work.
  10. The School Education Director is responsible for overseeing the allocation of marking, and the forms of marking used in programmes within their School.

## Benchmarking

* 1. Benchmarking is a process to promote consistent standards among multiple markers of a specific assessment. It should be used in appropriate cases prior to marking and moderation.
  2. In large units it is common to have multiple markers of an assessment. In such cases, the possibility arises of misalignment across markers even where markers have been individually consistent. To encourage collective consistency and reduce the need for re-marking of scripts, benchmarking should be used as an important part of the overall quality assurance process.
  3. A typical benchmarking exercise could involve all markers individually marking the same small selection of randomly chosen scripts (e.g. 5 scripts) and then agreeing how marks should be allocated against the marking criteria to inform marking of the remaining scripts. The number of scripts selected for such benchmarking will depend on the nature of the assessment. For example, where optional questions exist, it may be necessary to select a higher number of scripts than usual to ensure all questions are discussed in the benchmarking exercise.
  4. Benchmarking should take place before marking so should be arranged as soon as possible after an assessment has taken place. It is good practice to organise benchmarking meetings as part of the marking allocation within a school.

## Calibration

* 1. Calibration is the process to promote consistency of standards between institutions, units or academic years.
  2. Some assessment types call for academics’ individual expert judgements. Internal calibration helps markers across and within programmes to develop shared understanding of academic judgement across different assessments, units or academic years.  The purpose of calibration is to enhance and share good academic practice amongst markers rather than ensuring consistent standards for a particular cohort of students.
  3. Internal calibration exercises can take many forms but often involve a group of academics reviewing a small sample of anonymous student assessments before discussing the decision-making behind hypothetical marks and feedback. Unlike benchmarking, internal calibration exercises are not intended to agree a ‘correct’ mark or prepare teams for marking particular assessments. Nor are they best used to identify deviations from norms to be corrected. Rather, periodic internal calibration exercises help academics develop their individual judgement through knowledge of how other experts might approach a broadly similar scenario. In that sense, the use of internal calibration recognises that robust individual academic judgement arises from participation in a community of expert assessors who periodically reflect on their decision-making. Likewise good practice in feedback is encouraged and facilitated by reflecting on the marking of student work by other experts.
  4. Faculties / schools should have processes in place that allow programme teams to develop a shared understanding of marking criteria and exercise their individual academic judgment with knowledge of how others might exercise that judgment in broadly similar scenarios.

## Internal moderation

* 1. Summative assessment will normally be moderated. Exceptions are:
  + Where the assessment contributes 10% or less to the unit mark
  + Objective tests, such as multiple-choice questions
  1. The sample size for moderation should be adequate to provide assurance that the work has been properly marked across a range of student performance in the assessment for each marker. The following procedure is recommended to arrive at a representative sample:

1. sufficient standard ranges should be established across the marking scale from which the selection is to be made (for example the ranges could consist of fails, third class, 2:1, 2:2, first or the descriptor categories on the 0-20 marking scale);
2. a sliding scale corresponding to the number of assessments available for moderation should be employed; as a guide, a minimum of eight or 10% of the available assessments, whichever is greater, should be included in the sample. The sliding scale should then be adjusted according to:
   1. the number of scripts available, so that the sampled proportion reduces as the number of available scripts rises; and
   2. the number of first markers for an assessment or component part of an assessment; the higher the number of first markers, the more assessments are moderated (to ensure adequate moderation across all markers).
3. Where the number of submitted pieces of assessment for the unit is seven or less then all the assessments should be subject to internal moderation.

The internal moderation of assessments that do not generate a numerical grade (i.e. pass/fail assessments) should focus upon those at the pass/fail border.

The marks of assessments that significantly contribute to determining progression within a programme or the award and classification of a qualification (e.g. a dissertation or project) should be carefully reviewed through the moderation process, if they are not double-marked.

* 1. The responsibilities for conducting internal moderation are:
* Moderation is undertaken by an individual or team of academic staff within the subject, as allocated by the designated school representative (i.e. School Education Director or Exams Officer).
* The Unit Director is responsible for ensuring that moderation takes places in their unit in accordance with these expectations.
* The Programme Director is responsible for having an overview of moderation across the programme.
* The final decision on marks rests with the boards of examiners, taking account of the view of the external examiner(s).
  1. Moderation should take place after the assessment has been marked and in advance of submission to the exam board, with reference to the University’s [policy on providing feedback to students on their work](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/academic-quality/assessment/regulations-and-code-of-practice-for-taught-programmes/feedback/). Where necessary, priority should be given to the timely release of feedback over the completion of the moderation process. In such cases, students should be informed of the status of the mark that has been released.
  2. The role of the moderator is to form a view of the overall marking, not apply corrective marking to individual assessments. The moderator should produce a report, which should instigate a dialogue between the marker and moderator; the conclusions of which should be formally captured as part of an audit trail. The purpose of the audit trail is to provide the relevant examination boards, including the external examiner with a means to determine whether the marks are fairly awarded and are consistent with relevant academic standards and as evidence in the event of an appeal.
  3. Moderators should review the marking of the individual marker/s against the relevant marking criteria within the sample and all the marks awarded to identify whether the marks awarded appropriately reflect the standard of work and whether there are any inconsistencies within the marking. A separate process should be in place to check that all questions in an assessment has been marked and that the marks are totalled correctly.
  4. Specific outcomes arising from the moderation process are:
* Moderator confirms marks.
* An entire set of marks is adjusted in relation to the marking criteria and the mark distribution.
* A sub-set of marks is adjusted to rectify a perceived inconsistency within the marks profile and/or between markers.
* The whole or sub-set of assessments are re-marked because the inconsistencies cannot be rectified in a simple manner.

‘Mark adjustment’, as an outcome of moderation, is a legitimate and intended means of ensuring that marks are robust and fair. An adjustment may apply to an entire set of assessments or an identified sub-set. Adjustments should not be made to individual marks in isolation.

* 1. In cases where a moderator and marker cannot agree on a course of action, the batch of work should be referred to a second internal moderator (as identified by the School Education Director) for adjudication.
  2. The relevant school board of examiners should be assured that moderation has occurred and action has been taken to assure the quality and standards of the marks presented to it.
  3. Evidence of moderation should be made available to the external examiner for review, which may consist of samples of moderated assessment, a distribution of unit marks and the formal record of dialogue between markers and moderators. Internal examiners should consider and respond to any issues raised by the external examiner prior to the exam board wherever possible.
  4. The School should review the operation of its policy on internal moderation for its programmes on an annual basis. The University Quality Team will investigate moderation practices and their implementation where there is cause for concern (e.g. if it is raised by an external examiner in their report).
  5. Where coursework is assessed summatively, schoolsshould have a system in place to ensure students’ work is available for moderation at a later date, by a means that ensures that the marked work is identical to that originally submitted.
  6. Work assessed for summative purposes should be capable of being independently moderated and made available in case it needs to be moderated by the external examiner(s). It is recognised that second marking/moderation may present difficulties in some forms of summative assessment such as a class presentation. In these cases, evidence of how the assessment mark was reached should be preserved for moderation.

***Scaling of marks***

* 1. Scaling is not normally permitted, except in the following two circumstances:

1. Where the raw scores for the whole cohort are converted onto an appropriately distributed marking scale as part of the planned design of the assessment. The rationale and mechanism for scaling should be recorded in the unit specification and in the minutes of the relevant board of examiners.
2. Where the marks of a cohort of students are moderated *post hoc* due to an unintended distribution of marks. When an assessment or a question within an assessment has not performed as intended, scaling may be employed (in this instance the methodology will not have been planned beforehand). This should be an exceptional event. The rationale and mechanism for intended scaling should be recorded in the minutes of the school and faculty boards of examiners.
   1. Before scaling is used, its use and the method that is intended to be employed must be agreed with the relevant Chair of the Faculty Board of Examiners, prior to application, and then approved by the relevant external examiners and the school and faculty boards of examiners.
   2. The use of scaling must also be made transparent to students: in the case of (a), students must be informed of the way in which the raw scores are converted onto the marking scale prior to the assessment; whilst in the case of (b) students must be informed of the process after the assessment.
3. **Anonymity**
   1. ‘Anonymity’ is defined as the use of an identifier, which cannot be related to a student’s name without reference to a central register or other mechanism, in the assessment process. An identifier is adopted in order to: avoid unconscious and conscious bias in marking, respect student confidentiality, and ensure fairness when progression and award decisions are made; however, it does not necessarily mean that it is impossible for a member of staff to uncover the identity of a particular student.
   2. Members of staff must respect anonymity where it is employed and not identify, or seek to identify, students unless it is a requirement of their role or there is a clear benefit to the student in doing so e.g. the provision of specific feedback to the student, the correct treatment of exceptional circumstances.
   3. Where students might be identifiable e.g. because they are part of a very small cohort or they have an unusual pattern of study, anonymity must be respected as for any other student.
   4. Schools are responsible for informing students of how they should identify their work.
   5. It is the responsibility of students to employ the anonymity mechanisms provided to them.
   6. Where any cases for non-anonymity deviate from those described in section 17.7, decisions with respect to the preservation of anonymity should be taken by the chair of the Faculty examination board.

*The marking of credit-bearing ‘summative’ assessment of learning*

* 1. Summative assessment should be anonymous when it is marked where that is possible and practicable, and consistent with the assessment and its objectives.  The table below sets out where anonymity may or may not be expected at the first marker and moderation stages, by assessment type.

Assessments where anonymity is expected at both the first marking and moderation stages:

* + Exams
  + Timed Assessments
  + Summative coursework not included below

Assessments where anonymity may not be expected at the first marker stage:

* All formative coursework (where a mark does not contribute to the unit mark and passing is not required for credit)
* Summative assessment where formative feedback is provided on an early draft as part of the design of the assessment
* Final year and PGT projects / dissertations
* Presentations
* Group work (especially where ‘equity-share’/student contribution marking is a component)
* Bespoke coursework – where all students formally agree the specifics of their coursework with a tutor, such that they are necessarily identifiable.
* Practical in-person assessment e.g. in labs, fieldwork tasks, medical practicals, oral exams
* Summative assessment that accounts for a small part of the unit mark and where the provision of individualised feedback for learning is an inherent part of the design of the assessment
  1. Anonymity is a general expectation when the marking of student work is moderated.
  2. The marks awarded for summative assessments should be released individually to students.
  3. Specific moderation techniques must be used for non-anonymous summative assessments e.g. multiple markers.

*The marking of non-credit-bearing `formative’ assessment for learning*

* 1. When designing formative assessment, priority should be given to the educational benefits of the assessment rather than anonymity, for example it should not interfere with the provision of feedback to students.
  2. While anonymity is not required for formative assessment, it may still be preserved where it is consistent with the assessment and its objectives.

*Boards of examiners*

* 1. When students are being considered for exceptional circumstances, anonymity must be preserved insofar as is practicable when marks are considered at boards of examiners.
  2. Academic information with respect to exceptional circumstances (e.g. which assessments have been affected, the period of time affected by the circumstances, nature of the effect of the circumstances upon study) may be introduced in examination boards where that would be to a student’s advantage.
  3. In exceptional cases, information about exceptional circumstances themselves may only be introduced in the Faculty Examination Board and only when all the following conditions are fulfilled:  it is to the student’s advantage; it is essential for a fair decision to be arrived at; permission is given by the chair of the Faculty Examination Board. Chairs of School Examination Boards may refer cases to the Faculty Examination Board where it is felt that consideration of a student’s progress or qualification may benefit from disclosure of the nature of the exceptional circumstance.

1. **Penalties**

***For academic misconduct***

The *University’s* [*Assessment Regulations*](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-assessment-regulations.pdf) contain full details of the regulations and procedures to be followed in respect of academic misconduct, including plagiarism.

* 1. Information on what constitutes academic misconduct in respect of assessment (including plagiarism, collusion, cheating, ethical breach in research, impersonation and the use of inadmissible material) should be provided, or referenced by a web link, in faculty and/or school handbooks together with specific information about the consequences of such misconduct. It may be necessary for individual schools to develop additional guidance on what constitutes academic misconduct, to reflect the relevant academic discipline.
  2. When recommending a penalty to a Board of Examiners, an appropriately constituted committee/panel will consider the offence and penalty independently of its potential impact on the student’s degree classification. Whether the penalty for offence in question should be reflected in the degree class to be awarded is the judgement of the board. In such cases, Boards of Examiners should take into account any effect on the degree classification that the penalty already has had.

***For the late submission of summative coursework***

* 1. Students must be made aware of the existence of penalties for not meeting submission deadlines in the relevant school or faculty handbook.
  2. Coursework that is submitted after a deadline should be subject to the following penalty, unless an extension has been agreed by the School, prior to the deadline, or late submission is justified by reason of illness or other validated exceptional circumstance (see section 13):
* For assessments in modular programmes[[4]](#footnote-5) on a 0-100 scale: the late submission of work will incur a fixed absolute penalty of 10 marks for each 24-hour period after the agreed deadline, not including public holidays in England or University closure days. If the work is submitted late such that at least four such 24-hour periods have elapsed, the mark awarded will automatically default to zero.
* For non-modular programmes (BDS, BVSc (including Accelerated Graduate Entry), MBChB) on a 0-100 scale: a fixed absolute penalty of 10 marks is applied for work that is submitted up to 24 hours after the agreed submission deadline not including public holidays in England or University closure days; a mark of zero is awarded thereafter.
* For assessments in modular or non-modular programmes that generate a grade but are returned on a pass/fail scale: the late submission of work will incur a fixed absolute penalty as above to the grade that the student would have received on the marking scale used for that assessment. Where the application of the penalty causes the grade to fall below the ‘pass’ threshold, the assessment is awarded a ‘fail’ mark.
* For assessments in modular or non-modular programmes that are competency-based and do not generate a mark: the late submission will incur a ‘fail’ mark.

When applying a penalty for a late submission, a ‘day’ constitutes 24 hours from the submission deadline to the next day which is not a public holiday in England or University closure day (e.g. if a submission deadline is 15.00 on Monday, a ‘day’ constitutes the 24 hour period up to 15.00 on Tuesday).

* 1. Penalties are applied in the form of a mark reduction from the mark the student would have achieved.
  2. For group coursework, it is the collective responsibility of the student group to ensure and confirm that the work is submitted before the deadline. Students involved in group work for assessment may also be required to individually provide evidence of their participation in and contribution to the work of the group. If group work is submitted late, the penalty applied to the marks of individual student(s) may be retracted where there is evidence that they have satisfactorily contributed to the group work in time for the work to be submitted by the deadline, as determined by the Unit Director of other member of academic staff.

***For exceeding the size limit in summative assessment***

* 1. Faculties’ policies for defining the size limit of summative assessment, by assessment type, and any penalty for exceeding the defined limit, for its taught programmes, should be in accordance with the following.
  2. Whether specific forms of assessment are subject to a size limit, and if so:
* Whether the size limit is defined by reference to the number of pages (with font size, line spacing, margin size, and page orientation requirements), by a word / character limit or other defined limit.
* The penalty where the defined limit is exceeded.
  1. Students must be informed, at or before the date of issue of the assessment, the size limit (including how the size limit is defined) and the penalty for exceeding the limit, if any, as reflected in the approved unit specification.
  2. The policy of the faculty that owns the unit will apply. It is important for students whose home programme is based in a different faculty are made fully aware that the policy applied in the submission of assessment for a unit may be different than the policy of their home faculty.
  3. It is the responsibility of the student to ensure that the work complies with the defined size limit prior to submission and to certify the size (word or page length or other defined limit) on the front cover sheet when submitting the work.
  4. The student in question must be informed of the decision to apply the penalty for exceeding the defined size limit.

***ARRANGEMENTS AND PROCESSES FOR DETERMINING PROGRESS AND AWARDS***

1. **Pass Mark**
   1. Within modular honours programmes, students must achieve at least 40 out of 100 to pass undergraduate (level 4-6) units.
   2. Within the non-modular programmes in the Faculty of Health Sciences, students must achieve at least 50 out of 100 to pass at the unit/element level.
   3. The pass mark set by the University for any level 7 (M) unit is 50 out of 100.
   4. Where taught postgraduate programmes include units at level 6 (H) or lower the pass mark for those units remains 40 out of 100. Marks for these units must be taken into account in the calculation of the final programme mark and cannot be adjusted.
2. **Boards of Examiners**

Guidance on the operation of boards of examiners meetings is provided on [BEAM](https://uob.sharepoint.com/sites/beam/SitePages/operate-exam-boards.aspx).

The academic regulations that set out the authority by which the methods of assessment are determined when the University is disabled from conducting assessment in the normal way is set out in [Ordinance 9](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/university/documents/governance/constitution/ordinance-9-student-and-academic-status-and-university-membership.pdf).

As part of a transitional arrangement, the powers of the School board of examiners and the Faculty board of examiners may be combined and enacted by a single board of examiners within a faculty for 2024/25, where approved by University Education Committee.

**School (or ‘initial’) board of examiners**

* 1. The board of examiners may convene at a school, departmental or programme level.
  2. The board of examiners may be convened for one or more of the purposes outlined below at any time during the year.
  3. Anonymity must be preserved insofar as is practicable when marks are considered at school boards of examiners.
  4. Discussions held at the Board of Examiners are confidential, although students may request to see the minute relating to consideration of their individual circumstance.
  5. A Board may be convened virtually, by correspondence, under the same auspices, but a quorate number of replies must be received for any decision to be enacted. The decision should subsequently be confirmed and recorded in the minutes of the next meeting of the Board.
  6. Chair’s powers may be granted with the explicit agreement of a Board to enact a specific and defined action, subsequent to the meeting.

*Membership and quorum*

* 1. A board comprising at least three people shall be convened to approve each undergraduate and taught postgraduate academic award of the University.
  2. The board should be chaired by the Head of School or Department (or equivalent), or their nominee.
  3. The membership of boards of examiners will normally comprise the internal and external examiners for each subject or group of subjects in the programme of study.
  4. Internal examiners are invited to attend each meeting of the board of examiners, although a School will have discretion as to which of its members is required to attend.
  5. External examiners are required to be notified of all meetings of the Board of Examiners for programmes which lead to a University award, to which they have been appointed as external examiner, and their right to attend them. The external examiner should attend at least one meeting of the Board in each academic year, as specified by the School. Where the School and External Examiner both agree that they need not attend a particular meeting, the External Examiner should be sent the minutes from the meeting.
  6. A Faculty Education Director, or nominee, may attend School board of examiners in order to aid interpretation of any new policy and provide insight on particularly complex cases.

*Purpose*

* 1. The purpose of the School Board of Examiners is to:
  2. Review the rigour and appropriateness of assessment and, where necessary, agree any recommendations in order to remedy anomalies in the mark distribution for each unit under the jurisdiction of the Board. This includes the scaling of marks where an assessment has not resulted in the intended outcome, which requires the explicit approval of the relevant Faculty Education Director, in accordance with the Taught Code.
  3. Accept and approve the marks that are under its jurisdiction.
  4. Consider the report from the relevant Exceptional Circumstances Committees, in cases of students who have presented exceptional circumstances, in order to determine the effect, if any, on an individual student’s performance in assessment.
  5. Consider any recommended penalties in cases of academic misconduct, including plagiarism.
  6. Consider whether the student has fulfilled any additional requirements for progression or completion, as specified by the programme.
  7. On the basis of the marks provided for each student and the outcome from points iii-v, if applicable, make a recommendation to the Faculty Board of Examiners of the faculty in which the degree is awarded with regard to:
* Progression;
* Completion; and/or,
* Classification of an award.

*Information for consideration*

* 1. The Board shall receive and consider:
     + The mark profile of each student being considered
* Reports from the Exceptional Circumstances Committees
* Any recommendations from the relevant panel with regard to cases of academic misconduct
* Any additional information that has a bearing on a student’s progression or completion of an award

*Output from the meeting*

* 1. The written record of the meeting will include: the explicit agreement of the marks received, any changes to them and the reasons for doing so and the details of any recommended course of action in cases of exceptional circumstances.
  2. A report will be made to the Faculty Board of Examiners drawing attention to the issues it wishes to raise, including recommendations with regard to progression, the award of a qualification and/or degree classification for each student and any proposed penalties for academic misconduct, exceptional circumstances (with the report from the exceptional circumstances committee appended) and the details of any complex cases.

**Faculty board of examiners**

* 1. Where a Faculty has decided that a committee other than the Board of Examiners is constituted to make decisions about the progression of students, this committee will have the same responsibilities as that of a Faculty Board of Examiners.
  2. Anonymity must be preserved at Faculty Board of Examiners, unless there is good reason to remove the anonymity for an individual student, which is judged to be in the student’s interests, as described in section 17. It is at the discretion of the Chair of the board whether the removal of anonymity should be applied, on a case by case basis.
  3. Discussions held at the Faculty Board of Examiners are confidential, subject to where the relevant record of discussion of an individual student is requested and provided to the student in question.
  4. A Board may be convened virtually, by correspondence, under the same auspices, but a quorate number of replies must be received for any decision to be enacted. The decision should subsequently be confirmed and recorded in the minutes of the next meeting of the Board.
  5. Chair’s powers may be granted with the explicit agreement of a Board to enact a specific and defined action, subsequent to the meeting.

*Membership and quorum*

* 1. The faculty board of examiners shall be chaired by the Dean or their nominee and its composition shall be determined by the faculty board.
  2. Each faculty should have a policy on the quoracy of its boards of examiners.

*Purpose*

* 1. The purpose of the Faculty Board of Examiners is to:
* Approve the marks, as presented to it by the School.
* Approve any action to mitigate the effect of exceptional circumstances on a student’s performance in assessment (including the conferring of an Aegrotat award).
* Agree penalties for alleged cases of cheating or plagiarism, in accordance with the Assessment Regulations.
* Ensure that the proper procedures have been carried out and decisions are consistent with respect to the Regulations and Code of Practice for Taught Programmes, such that fair and consistent outcomes are achieved, particularly with respect to complex cases, across all schools. In this, the faculty board of examiners shall not question the academic judgement of the initial examination board, but shall ensure the proper procedures are carried out.
* Monitor trends and any major deviations from norms faculty-wide.
* Approve progression outcomes and award results.
* Consider any ‘exceptional’ action, as recommended to it, in respect of progression or award outcomes.
  1. A meeting of the Board should be held shortly after the Teaching Block 1 examination period to approve any progression outcomes and award results.

*Information for consideration*

* 1. The Board shall receive and consider:
* A report from the School board of examiners containing the provisional recommendation for progression, the award of a qualification and/or degree classification for each student presented for consideration. The Board can accept or amend recommendations made by the School.
* Reports from schools on any proposed penalties for academic misconduct, exceptional circumstances and complex issues that it would like to bring to the attention of the Board.
  1. The Board should also consider any new information that has come to light, which has not been previously considered.

*Output from the meeting*

* 1. A written record (minutes) of the meeting must be kept, including the reasons for decisions and the basis on which they were made. The record must also contain adequate details of where exceptional circumstances have been taken into account and any discretionary decision made by the Board – and its reason for doing so. The definitive version of any such documentation will be held at the Faculty level.

1. **Appeals against decisions of the Boards of Examiners**
   1. All information concerning the University’s regulations for appeals against the decisions of Boards of examiners is contained in the [University’s Assessment Regulations](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-assessment-regulations.pdf).
   2. It is essential to address a student’s representation against a decision of a board of examiners as early as possible, and initially within the respective school and faculty. Students must be made aware of the relevant section in the [Assessment Regulations](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-assessment-regulations.pdf) governing appeals, with particular attention drawn to the deadline for submitting a formal appeal.
2. **Roles Responsible for Determining Progression and Awards**

***Faculty Education Directors***

* 1. It is the responsibility of the Faculty Education Directors to ensure that university and faculty regulations, policies and procedures with respect to these Regulations and Code are implemented in their faculties. In doing this they will work closely with schools, Faculty Heads of Student Administration and the University Education Directors (Quality). The [Faculty Education Director job description](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/academic-quality/contact/eddirectors.html) is available.

***Programme Directors***

* 1. Programme Directors must be familiar with all regulations that relate to their programme including this Code.
  2. The Programme Director is responsible for the quality assurance of the programme for which they are responsible, including arrangements for annually reviewing the programme.

***Internal examiners***

* 1. Heads of School should nominate an individual to be responsible for liaising with the External Examiner. This would normally be the Programme Director or the Examinations Officer. It must be clear to all concerned who will undertake this role.
  2. A list of all internal examiners, including anyone not holding academic status at the University, should be approved by the relevant faculty board.
  3. The unit director, who will also be an internal examiner, is academically responsible for the unit’s summative assessment. This person should ensure that the following tasks are completed satisfactorily: the setting of papers and ensuring they are error-free, responding to external examiner comments, preparing any relevant assessment and marking criteria, leading teams of markers (where appropriate), ensuring a proper process of internal verification and agreeing sets of marks. The nominated internal examiner is responsible to the school board of examiners.
  4. The nominated internal examiner is responsible for establishing procedures at school level to enter and check the marks for each individual piece of assessed workwhich forms the basis for examiners’ meetings.

***School examinations officer(s)***

* 1. School examinations officer(s) will be appointed by the Head of School. Their role is to organise and co-ordinate the school’s assessment processes, from the preparation of examination papers provided by internal examiners to the accurate recording of assessment marks and their presentation to the School and Faculty Boards of Examiners.
  2. School examinations officer(s) are the principal line of communication of the School with the Faculty and to the University Examinations Office (Education and Student Success division).

***External examiners***

* 1. The [University’s Policy for the External Examining of Taught Programmes](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/academic-quality/assessment/exexs/) provides full details of the role of external examiners and the University’s external examining processes.
  2. External examiners shall normally be members of the academic staff of other universities; they shall not be members of the Board of Trustees or of the University’s staff and shall not have been employed by the University within the previous five years.

1. **Treatment and Publication of Results**

***Disclosure of marks and results***

* 1. Marks are provisional until they have been approved by the Faculty Board of Examiners.
  2. A detailed breakdown of results should only be disclosed to the individual receiving the award. Faculties must have clear procedures for such disclosure of marks.
  3. Degree results may be published on school notice boards or websites at the discretion of the relevant school(s). The identity of the student must be protected when publishing these results (e.g. by using the students’ University of Bristol numbers not names).
  4. Schools should ensure that there are arrangements following the meeting of the Faculty Board of Examiners for appropriate members of staff to be available to advise students of the results agreed by the board of examiners for individual papers or units and, where appropriate, to advise whether the board of examiners took account of any recommendation regarding exceptional circumstances. In disclosing marks to students, staff should take care not to enter into discussion about the apparent fairness or otherwise of the mark(s) agreed by the board of examiners.
  5. Students making representations to staff, a Faculty Education Director or the Dean regarding any disputed decision of a Board of Examiners should be informed of their right to make a formal appeal under the [Assessment Regulations](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-assessment-regulations.pdf).
  6. Faculties and schools must bear in mind the need to comply with the Data Protection Act when disclosing personal information.

***Transcripts and Award Certificates***

* 1. The transcript is intended to provide useful information to potential employers or to other universities (in the case of credit transfer) and to facilitate better understanding of the student's level of attainment overall and in individual units.
  2. For the purpose of transcripts and credit transfer, the University will make it clear how the student has performed in assessments relating both to the achievement of credit points and to overall performance.
  3. The transcript in the approved format will show a single mark for each unit, which represents the mark agreed by the Boards of Examiners. This might be a combined mark to take into account different elements of assessment such as written work, practicals, coursework etc.
  4. A copy of the transcript, in the approved format, will be provided automatically to students on completion of their studies. Subsequently, a charge will be levied for the provision of transcripts to graduated students.
  5. The University will issue award certificates in the student’s legal name. No subsequent changes will be permitted to the name shown on a certificate except where a graduate, who has undergone gender reassignment, legally changes their name.

***Retention of student work***

* 1. Schools should judge what summatively-assessed work needs to be retained so to ensure that such work is available in the case of appeal. For this reason, the work of a student would not normally be retained for longer than a year following graduation.
  2. Schools should also take into account the requirements of professional, statutory and regulatory bodies, where relevant.

**C. UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMMES ONLY**

1. **Admission and Study**
   1. Most candidates for admission to the University will be at least 18 years old on entry. If a candidate is selected who will be under 18 years of age on admission, there may be requirements related to an applicant’s choice of programme, including those from professional accrediting bodies, that may be unsuitable for those who are under the age of 18 for part of their period of registration. Applicants from overseas who are under 18 at the point of entry will need to provide details of a guardian based in the UK.
   2. No student shall be permitted to register and be admitted to any programme of study at the start of any academic year if that student:
      1. has failed to satisfy the academic requirements of the programme for the previous year of study, as outlined in the regulations for the progression of students on taught programmes; or
      2. is in debt to the University in respect of tuition or other ancillary fees, accommodation fees or fines properly imposed for breach of any University regulation, unless specific arrangements have been agreed with the University for the settlement of the debt; or
      3. is suspended; or
      4. has previously withdrawn from the same or cognate programme of study due to academic failure within the last three academic years.
   3. New first-year students must be fully registered on their programmes within the first three weeks of teaching on their programme of study (i.e. by the Friday of week 3). All other students must fully register within the first two weeks of teaching of the academic year. Specific programmes may have an earlier final deadline for registration. If a student is unable to register in that time, they will be required to suspend their studies until the following academic year or withdraw from the programme.
   4. The consent of the Faculty Board shall be necessary for the admission of a student to any assessment and to each part of a programme. Each programme is governed by the [University Assessment Regulations](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-assessment-regulations.pdf).
   5. Each student shall attend such lectures, discussion periods, tutorials, practical classes, design classes, fieldwork, vacation courses and any other educational activities, as described in the unit and programme specification, and shall undertake such written and other work as may be required. Each student shall also attend, as an integral part of the programme, such work placements, vacation courses and fieldwork as are defined in the programme and are required of her/him. Each student shall also undertake any professional requirements, as described in the programme specification. Each student shall undertake such assessments as are arranged.
   6. The failure of any student to show satisfactory progress on the programme, including failure in summative assessment, failure to obtain credit points or to attend regularly any prescribed part of a programme (including such lectures, discussion periods, tutorial and practical classes, fieldwork, design classes and vacation courses as may be required) or to undertake prescribed written or other work or to present themselves for any examination or to reach a satisfactory standard in any assessment or any part or parts of an assessment, will be reported to the Faculty Board of Examiners (or other approved Board of Examiners) which may at any time, if it thinks fit, require the student concerned to repeat part of a programme or to retake an assessment or to withdraw from a unit or units or the whole programme in accordance with University regulation. Any student who has been required to withdraw shall be informed of the decision and of the University procedures for making representations against the decision.
   7. The Faculty Board of Examiners shall determine whether a candidate, on completion of the programme including the final assessment, has obtained the required number of credit points for the award of a degree, diploma or certificate. The class of the degree will be determined in accordance with the University regulations on degree classification.
   8. A student who has obtained 120 credit points at level 4 or above but who either does not proceed to undertake further units or does not satisfactorily complete further units may, if their faculty has made provision, be awarded a Certificate of Higher Education. Similarly, a candidate registered for a higher award who has obtained 240 credit points at appropriate levels may, if their faculty has made provision, be awarded a Diploma of Higher Education (see the University’s Credit Framework in section 4 for more details).

For the purposes of the Intercalated Degree of BSc in the Faculty of Health Sciences, or the BA in Medical Humanities in the Faculty of Arts, each year of study in the MB,ChB, BDS or BVSc programme shall deemed to be worth 120 credit points.

* 1. Study and assessment carried out under the supervision of the University, or in another institution approved by Senate, and the credit points obtained from there may be accepted towards the fulfilment of the requirements of a particular programme.

In every case, except where there is a specific agreement with another institution that has been approved, a candidate for a degree programme must take and satisfactorily complete University of Bristol units which comprise the final 120 credit points of the programme.

* 1. For awards of the University of Bristol, except as specified below, credit points may only be used once and not towards two or more awards of this University or of another higher education provider and this University. The exceptions are:

1. where an award at one level may be subsumed into an award at a higher level;
2. where a University award or award of another institution has independent standing as a professional qualification and is accredited by a professional body;
3. where a medical, dental or veterinary student of this University intercalates a year of study for the degree of BSc or BA in this University or elsewhere, or where a medical, dental or veterinary student from another institution intercalates a year of study for the degree of BSc or BA in this University.

The use of credit or a lower award from this University towards an award of another institution is at the discretion of that awarding institution, including as part of articulation agreements where a partner institution might count the credit or qualification obtained at Bristol towards their own award.

* 1. No student who is registered for a programme of full-time study leading to a qualification of the University of Bristol may study concurrently on a programme of full or part-time study leading to the award of a qualification at this or another higher education provider, except:
* Where a student is awaiting the academic outcome from an intercalated programme but is required to commence study on their primary programme of study
* Where a student is awaiting the academic outcome from a Master’s degree but is able to commence further study on a new taught postgraduate or research postgraduate programme.
* Where a research postgraduate student is undertaking a programme or unit for credit to directly support them as a teacher (e.g. the Postgraduate Certificate in Academic Practice).
  1. The University does not encourage students to take more than the required units for any programme, however, a student may request to take a unit in addition to their programme structure (i.e. the unit is not listed in the programme structure and student is not permitted to take it as an alternative optional unit). This requires the agreement of both the Programme Director of the student’s programme and the Unit Director. If agreed, the student will engage with the unit as normal and be awarded credit if they meet the relevant criteria, however, neither the credit nor the marks contribute to their progression or final award. The student pays a pro-rata fee for the additional unit.
  2. Students may be permitted to transfer between programmes subject to approval, but there is no automatic right of transfer between programmes. The academic record of the student (i.e. the credit and marks for any relevant units and the time they have already spent studying in relation to the maximum period of study), will normally follow when transferring programme, except for students who change their programme of study and enter the first year of a non-cognate programme, in which case their academic record will not follow and the period of study is re-set. Changing a programme of study is subject to sufficient space being available and the applicant meeting the academic criteria and requirements for the new programme and any visa requirements, should they apply. See the University’s [policy on student transfer](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/study/documents/policies/student-transfer-policy-2024-25.pdf) between undergraduate programmes and units of the University.
  3. The following table shows the normal and maximum periods of study for full-time undergraduate awards covered by these regulations. These periods of study include extensions but exclude suspensions of study. Periods of study for part-time students shall be calculated pro-rata to the periods of full-time study. A student on a modular programme will normally only be able to take an additional year (e.g. a supplementary year) due to exceptional circumstances once during their programme of study. A student on a non-modular professional programme will normally only be able to extend their normal period of study by up to two additional years due to either exceptional circumstances or academic failure.
  4. Students with a Student visa registered on a four-year undergraduate Bachelors Degree (i.e. at level 6) cannot undertake a second supplementary year due to UK Immigration Rules’ application of a five-year study cap to study in the UK. Schools should contact the Student Visa Team to determine whether a student may be eligible.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title of Award** | **Period of Study** | |
| **Normal** | **Maximum** |
| Professional (5-year) non-modular degree (BDS, BVSc, MB,ChB) | 5 academic years | 7 academic years |
| Professional (4-year) non-modular degree (BVSc Accelerated Graduate Entry) | 4 academic years | 6 academic years |
| Integrated (5-year) Masters degree (e.g. with a Year Abroad/in Industry) | 5 academic years | 6 academic years |
| Integrated 4-year Masters Degree | 4 academic years | 5 academic years |
| Honours Bachelors (4-year) Degree | 4 academic years | 5 academic years |
| Honours Bachelors (3-year) Degree | 3 academic years | 4 academic years |
| Honours Bachelors Degree that requires study abroad or in industry (i.e. away from the University) for one academic year | 4 academic years | 5 academic years |
| Honours Bachelors Degree by Intercalation | 1 academic year | 1 academic year |
| Foundation Degree | 2 academic years | 4 academic years |
| Undergraduate Diploma of Higher Education | 2 academic years | 3 academic years |
| Undergraduate Certificate of Higher Education | 1 academic year | 1 academic year |

1. **Programme Structure and Design**
   1. Undergraduate programmes may be a single unitary degree or a joint degree devoting approximately equal time to two subjects or a major/minor combination where the minor subject accounts for at least a quarter of the programme.
   2. Where a programme crosses faculty or school boundaries, one of the contributing schools or faculties must own the programme and apply the relevant regulations as set out in this document. For programmes that span faculties the programme committee must decide the ‘owning’ school or faculty, guided by the balance of the programme and the home school / faculty of the academic lead.
   3. The degrees of BA, BSc, BEng, LLB, may be awarded with honours or as ordinary degrees. Names of successful candidates for honours shall be arranged as follows: first class honours; second class honours in two divisions and third-class honours. The names of successful candidates for the ordinary degrees shall be listed separately.
   4. The degrees of MSci, MArts, MLibArts and MEng may be awarded with honours, as follows: first class honours and second-class honours in two divisions.
   5. The normal requirement for each full-time year of undergraduate study is 120 credit points.

**Preliminary Year programmes** (see [glossary](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/taught-code-glossary.pdf) for definition)

***Programme structure***

* 1. The normal period of study for programmes that include a preliminary year will be four years for Bachelors and five years for Integrated Masters. The maximum period of study for programmes that contain a preliminary year is six years for a Bachelors degree and seven years for an Integrated Masters degree. Within this, the normal period of study for the preliminary year is one year and the maximum is two years on a full-time basis.
  2. The preliminary year will normally consist of units taught at the equivalent of level 3 and exceptionally level 4 units where there is a good academic reason to do so.
  3. No unit should be identical to those taught in the undergraduate programmes onto which successful students would progress.
  4. Schools must ensure that the preliminary year is sufficiently rigorous to prepare students for successful study at degree level.
  5. The standard of units that are taught at the equivalent of level 3 should be broadly equivalent to A- level standard where that is applicable. Schools may adapt the University Marking Criteria for these units.
  6. A mark of at least 40 out of 100 must be achieved for a student to pass a unit.
  7. Whilst credit points may be associated with a unit to indicate the notional amount of input required by a student, credit is not awarded for units taught at the equivalent of level 3.

***Progression***

* 1. The progression criteria for the preliminary year will be the same as for all modular programmes, unless stated below.
  2. Students who successfully pass all the units in the preliminary year will have been deemed to have completed the year and will progress onto the first year of the degree programme.
  3. Where compensated progression is undesirable, all units should be designated as ‘must pass’.
  4. Students will be permitted to undertake assessment as a second attempt.
  5. Supplementary years (other than for exceptional circumstances) shall not be permitted.
  6. Schools may allow transfer to other programmes at the end of the preliminary year. Higher requirements for such a transfer than just successfully completing the year may be specified for such a transfer.

***Awards***

* 1. On completion of the programme, the student should be awarded the title of the named degree programme (i.e. without reference to the preliminary year).
  2. A student who has completed the preliminary year by passing all the units but who does not proceed onto a University of Bristol programme will receive a certificate. The certificate will be a record of achievement rather than a distinct award, and so shall not indicate an overall pass or fail.

**Integrated Masters degree**

* 1. Integrated Masters degrees must state in their programme specifications whether they are of the advanced study type (type II as defined by the QAA), professional type (type III) and/or has a formal period of study abroad / in industry.
  2. Integrated Masters degrees without a period of study abroad or in industry will have an exit award of a Bachelors Honours degree at the end of the third year of study, in accordance with the University’s credit framework. Where the exit award for the integrated masters has the same title as a free-standing degree also awarded by the University, students leaving with the exit award must have completed the same or directly equivalent programme learning outcomes as graduates from the free-standing programme.
  3. If independent study (e.g. project or dissertation) is a faculty requirement for the award of a degree, schools should ensure that any students who graduate with an exit award of a Bachelors Honours degree have completed the designated independent study, constituting a unit of at least 20 credits units at level 6.
  4. Where exit awards are not professionally accredited, this must be set out in the programme specification and reiterated to students prior to the start of the second year of study.

**Student choice**

* 1. Full time students on undergraduate degree programmes will normally have the opportunity to broaden their education by taking units outside of their subject discipline (i.e. ‘open units’) worth at least 20 credit points across the programme, except where this is not practicable, for example, due to professional accreditation reasons.
  2. Faculties and schools will determine the point during a student's career at which open units may be taken.
  3. Students do not have a right to take any particular unit as an open unit and should not undertake an open unit in which they are already proficient. The availability of any particular unit is subject to practical constraints such as space in teaching rooms or laboratories and timetabling. Subject to these constraints, students may also seek to take a unit (or units), which has not been flagged as being an ‘open unit’.
  4. Students are not required to take open units. If they wish, and subject to the programme structure and practical constraints described in 25.27, they may take the 20 credit points set aside for open units in their honours subject(s).

1. **Study Abroad**
   1. The common University policy on the study abroad period applies to those undergraduate modular programmes where either:
2. An identified requisite of the programme is for a student to study abroad for an academic year for the award of credit, hereafter known as the ‘Year Abroad’. The accomplishment of the study abroad element is reflected in the title of the programme (e.g. MSci Chemistry with Study Abroad or MSci Chemistry with Study in a Modern Language).
3. A student is permitted to study at another institution for credit in lieu of the units that the student would normally have taken at Bristol (i.e. a ‘Teaching Block Abroad’). Such arrangements are not an integral part of a programme but are recognised in the student’s transcript.

All other arrangements, where students study abroad for experiential reasons (i.e. not for credit), are not covered by this policy.

***Principles for the studying abroad process***

*All formal arrangements for studying abroad*

* 1. Where the learning from any period of formal study undertaken outside of the UK is a required part of the programme, how the intended learning outcomes of the programme are met must be identified.
  2. Any formal period of study abroad must be credit-bearing and contribute to the award of the programme and consequently the degree classification (i.e. and therefore not pass/fail).
  3. Any mark(s) from a period of study abroad may be reached, solely or in combination, by assessment set by the University of Bristol (i.e. by assessing what a student has learnt during their experience) or by the conversion of marks that have been gained at the partner institution.
  4. Where the mark is obtained by a combination of assessments set by Bristol and the partner institution, the weighting of the constituent marks and the expected input of the student to each component must be agreed and set out in the specification for the study abroad unit.
  5. Schools should ensure that students are fully aware of the requirements of their University of Bristol programme of study whilst undertaking any period of study abroad prior to the student committing themselves to it.
  6. A tutor within each School must maintain regular contact with a student undertaking a study abroad arrangement, whilst they are away from the University.

*‘Year Abroad’ only*

* 1. The Year Abroad should only be undertaken in the third year of a four or five-year (Bachelors or Integrated Masters) programme. It is not expected that students will undertake an entire year of study away from the University as part of a three-year Bachelors programme.
  2. The Year Abroad must be set at the level of study appropriate to the programme and in alignment with the University’s credit framework.
  3. The Year Abroad equates to 60 ECTS and 120 credit points at the University of Bristol.
  4. A common credit conversion process is available to ensure that students undertake an appropriate workload whilst studying abroad. Students must undertake at least the equivalent of 100, and no more than 120, credit points of units during the Year Abroad. The marks from units, equivalent to at least 100 credit points, will count towards the mark for the Year Abroad,unless there is a specific rationale for an alternative approach, which must be applied to the entire cohort of students. Any further study may be in units unrelated to the subject and, in such cases, will not count towards the mark for the Year Abroad.
  5. A student’s performance will be reflected by a single overall mark for the learning undertaken across the year, unless the programme is structured so that students are assessed at differing levels of study during their Year Abroad. Only the overall unit mark should be considered when determining progression from year to year at the University of Bristol.
  6. A student must achieve at least the pass mark for the study abroad or in industry unit to be awarded the credit.
  7. Progression from the study abroad year or year in industry will be permitted where a student achieves 120 credit points with an overall year mark of at least 40 out of 100. A student who does not achieve the pass mark for a study abroad or placement unit and/or does not achieve the necessary criteria for progression should be transferred onto the appropriate point on an equivalent degree programme, as determined by the relevant exam board. In cases where a student’s results from their study abroad year are not yet available by the time of the Exam Board, see 30.11.
  8. The Study Abroad year will be weighted as 10% of the overall programme mark for the purposes of degree classification (see the [weightings for calculating classification](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/weightings-for-degree-classification.pdf)).

*‘Teaching Block Abroad’ only*

* 1. Studying abroad for a teaching block must not be undertaken in the student’s first or final year of their programme of study.
  2. Normally a teaching block undertaken at a partner institution outside of the UK will equate to 30 ECTS and 60 credit points at the University of Bristol. A common credit conversion process is available to ensure that students undertake an appropriate workload whilst studying abroad that is consonant with the volume of credit they otherwise would have taken at Bristol.
  3. A student’s performance should be reflected by individual marks, equivalent to the units a student would have undertaken in their registered programme of study at the University of Bristol. These unit marks will contribute to the calculation of the year mark, final programme mark and degree classification, as normal.
  4. If a student fails a ‘must-pass’ unit (i.e. deemed by the faculty to be a core part of the programme) during a Teaching Block Abroad, a re-sit should be arranged at the University of Bristol.

***Process for the conversion of marks gained from study abroad***

* 1. Given the variation in structures and standards in the marking process in institutions and across countries outside of the UK, some translation or mapping of the marks to the equivalent standards of the University, as a UK higher education institution, may be required.

The University has adopted an evidence-based approach for converting marks gained from studying abroad, in the form of a common mark conversion table, based upon the following principles:

* A single conversion for each country, unless evidence indicates this is not appropriate, using the ECTS conversion tables.
* Where there is evidence a country-based approach is not appropriate, an institution wide approach should be adopted, i.e. presume that the institution is internally consistent, unless there is actual evidence this is not the case.
* Only where there is actual evidence of inconsistency in marking should we have different disciplinary rules within a single institution.
* Variation from that table should only occur where there are exceptional circumstances in particular cases, although exceptional circumstances may be contextualised differently when students are studying in another country.
  1. For the **Year Abroad** - the overall mark will be calculated by averaging all the contributing weighted marks from the host institution and, if necessary, any weighted marks awarded by the University of Bristol. If the partner institution uses a linear marking scale, the conversion provided in the Reference Table is then applied to the overall mark. If the partner institution does not use a linear marking scale, each of the individual marks should be translated before being averaged.
  2. The conversion of the overall mark must be mapped onto the 0-100 scale, so to conform to the University’s procedures for determining student progression and degree classification, unless it is necessary to use a different marking scale, whereby the processing of marks from the study abroad period will be conducted using the 0-100 scale and then translated to the nearest point on the alternative marking scale.
  3. The mark(s) awarded, following conversion, for the study abroad period should be reviewed to ensure that it is robust.
  4. The conversion and subsequent review of the marks is the responsibility of the School Study Abroad Academic Director, or equivalent.
  5. The relevant Board of Examiners that considers the marks retains discretion to disregard any relevant marks from units taken at the host institution or adjust the marks from those shown in the Conversion Table where there is evidence that the marks gained from the host institution is not an accurate reflection of the student’s performance.
  6. The conversion algorithm of marks for any new partnership arrangement for study abroad should be checked against those provided in the Conversion Table and confirmed before the agreement is signed.
  7. The University’s official transcript will show the University of Bristol translated mark from the study abroad period.

***Exceptions***

* 1. Where there is a good academic reason to request an exception from one or more of the principles, the programme director should make a case to the relevant Faculty Education Director well in advance of the commencement of any arrangements for a student to study abroad. If the Faculty Education Director approves the case, it will be presented to the University Academic Quality and Standards Committee for incorporation into the Conversion Table.

### Industrial placements

The following principles cover the formal component of ‘study in industry’, ‘industrial experience’ or ‘research placement’ where an identified aim of a programme is for a student to study in industrial placement, for part of or an entire academic year for the award of credit. The form of the study will be reflected in the title of the programme (e.g. BSc Biochemistry with Study in Industry) where the study covers an entire academic year.

All other arrangements, whereby students undertake a placement in industry for experiential reasons (i.e. not for credit) or a research project with an external partner, are not covered by these principles.

* 1. Where the learning from any period of formal study in industry is a required part of the programme, how the intended learning outcomes of the programme are met must be identified and stated in the programme specification.
  2. Any formal period of study in industry must be credit-bearing and contribute to the award of the programme and consequently the degree classification (i.e. and therefore not pass/fail). The student will undertake units designated, run and assessed by the University of Bristol during their time in industry.
  3. Schools should ensure that students are fully aware of the requirements of their University of Bristol programme of study in undertaking any period of study in industry prior to the student committing themselves to it.
  4. Schools should establish with the placement provider in advance that the placement content will fulfil the student’s learning needs and that it is appropriate.
  5. Each School must maintain regular contact with the student and an industrial contact when undertaking a study in industry arrangement, with the academic tutor maintaining support for the student.
  6. The units associated with any study in industry must be set at the level of study appropriate to the programme and in alignment with the University’s credit framework.
  7. The study in industry should only be undertaken in the third year of a Bachelors or Integrated Masters programme. It is not expected that students will undertake an entire year of study away from the University as part of a three-year Bachelors programme.
  8. An entire year of study in Industry will be weighted as 10% of the overall programme mark for the purposes of degree classification.
  9. A student who completes and is awarded the credit for the year in industry but withdraws before completing the programme on which they are registered will receive an exit award.

### Intercalation

* 1. *‘*Intercalation’ is defined as the circumstance in which a student takes up the opportunity to pause their study on a registered programme to study for a degree in a different programme of study. The student resumes, as normal, on their registered programme following the intercalation.
  2. Only students registered on the following programmes at the University of Bristol are eligible to intercalate:
* Dentistry (BDS)
* Medicine (MBChB)
* Veterinary Science (BVSc)
  1. Only those taught degree programmes of the University of Bristol that have been specifically designated and approved can accept intercalating students. A register of the designated programmes will be held centrally.
  2. Any programme that wishes to start to accept intercalating students should follow the normal procedure for a change to a programme, explicitly stating the rationale for accepting intercalating students in the approval documentation.
  3. Requests for intercalation from students of the University will be at the discretion of both the director of the programme from which the student is intercalating (i.e. whether intercalation is suitable for a particular student) and the director of the programme onto which the student wishes to intercalate (i.e. whether a student meets the requirements of the programme and there is sufficient space to accommodate them).
  4. Requests for intercalation from students of other institutions will be at the discretion of the programme director onto which the student wishes to intercalate.
  5. A student may be permitted to intercalate onto a programme at a different institution provided there is a good academic reason for doing so.
  6. Intercalation is normally undertaken subsequent to year 2 for entry onto the final year of a bachelors degree programme and subsequent to year 3 for entry onto a taught masters degree programme.
  7. The maximum period of study for an intercalating programme is one academic year; intercalation will be completed within the same academic year that it has commenced unless a student suspends studies and/or due to other accepted exceptional circumstances.
  8. As stated (see 24.10), credit can be used towards the award of a student’s registered programme and the degree programme on which the student intercalates.
  9. For the purposes of an intercalated bachelors degree, each year of study on the MBChB, BDS or BVSc programme shall each deemed to be worth 120 credit points.
  10. The final programme mark and degree classification of the Intercalated Degree, where appropriate, will be calculated purely on the marks achieved during the intercalated year of study (see the [weightings for calculating classification](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/weightings-for-degree-classification.pdf)).
  11. The award of an intercalated bachelors degree will be conferred at the next graduation ceremony following successful completion of the programme, except for an intercalated taught postgraduate degree programme where the award will be conferred at the same time as the completion of the registered programme.
  12. An unclassified bachelors (Ordinary) degree may be awarded as an exit award from the intercalating programme where the student has achieved at least 300 credit points including at least 60 credit points from the intercalating programme.
  13. Whilst undertaking an intercalated programme, the student will be subject to the relevant regulations for that programme.
  14. The Academic Personal Tutor, or equivalent, from the home programme will continue to provide support whilst a student from the University of Bristol is intercalating. An Academic Personal Tutor will be assigned from the school within which the intercalating programme is based, if the student is intercalating from another institution.

1. **Processing and Recording Marks**

***The unit mark***

* 1. The mark for each individual unit or element is calculated as the weighted average of the marks for each of its constituent assessments (see 15.13), rounded to the nearest integer. This mark will be returned for the purposes of awarding credit.

***The year mark in undergraduate programmes***

* 1. For the purposes of determining progression (specifically for the application of 30.13 and 30.15.2) award and classification, the overall mark achieved for the year in undergraduate programmes is calculated by averaging the unit marks, weighted by credit point value, and rounding the result to the nearest integer.
  2. Units that solely contain assessments marked on a pass/fail marking scheme will not contribute towards the calculation of the year mark, but are deemed to be ‘must-pass’ for the purposes of progression / completion. In such cases, the weighting of other units in the relevant year of study will increase accordingly (i.e. the year weighting for classification will be retained).
  3. The weighting given to the unit mark will correspond to the credit point value of the unit, e.g. the mark for a 20-credit point unit would be a 1/6 of the whole year mark, if the student has to achieve 120 credit points during the year.
  4. The methodology for disregarding unit marks when calculating the year mark for the purposes of classification or progression is provided in [guidance](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/calculating-marks.pdf).

1. **Student Progression and Completion - in Modular Programmes**

A [flow diagram](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/flow-diagram-progression-and-completion.pdf) for the progression of students on an undergraduate modular programme is available.

* 1. If a student fails to achieve the credit points, but there is evidence that their performance at the time of the assessment is likely to have been affected by exceptional circumstances, the provisions in section 13 on ‘Exceptional Circumstances’, will apply.
  2. Schools will make suitable arrangements to implement the regulations for the award of credit for students who are studying a programme on a part-time basis such that the students are aware of the arrangements (e.g. the timing and status of any re-sits) and are not disadvantaged by the point in their studies in which their progression is formally considered.

***The award of credit for the purposes of progression in undergraduate modular programmes***

* 1. Students must achieve the pass mark for the unit (normally a mark of 40 out of 100 at levels 4-6 and 50 out of 100 at level 7) and meet any other designated criteria[[5]](#footnote-6), if applicable, to be awarded the associated credit. The criteria for the award of credit points, and an explanation of how the award of credit may be affected by criteria additional to marks in an examination or other formal assessment, must be explicitly described in the relevant unit specification and communicated to the students in advance of the commencement of their study of the unit.
  2. Byreaching a satisfactory standard, students thereby acquire the necessary credit points to progress, except as specified below.
  3. A student who is not in the final year of their undergraduate programme nor registered at another institution but studying at Bristol, will be permitted to undertake reassessment as a second attempt **in a unit** to achieve a satisfactory standard to progress (i.e. a re-sit) or to achieve any specified additional criteria, subject to 30.6, where the credit is not achieved at the first attempt.

Reassessment within the academic year should only test those assessments in the unit that have been failed. The reassessment need not be in the same form as the original assessment, as long as it tests the same learning outcomes and does not compromise any competence standards.

A reassessment should normally be completed prior to progression to the following year of study, within the University’s recognised examination periods, except as permitted under 30.15.2.

A Board of Examiners may permit reassessment to be undertaken in the next year of study as a second or third attempt. Reassessment in a Supplementary Year should only test those assessments in the unit that have not been passed except where the assessment or unit has substantially changed or is no longer running in the Supplementary Year, in which case the Examination Board may permit the student to take an alternative assessment or unit.

* 1. In order to be permitted to undertake reassessment as a second attempt (i.e. re-sit) in any failed unit(s), undergraduate students must gain at least 40 credit points for the year of study by achieving the pass mark at the first attempt AND must have satisfied any additional criteria at the time they are considered by a board of examiners, or equivalent.

A student who is permitted to undertake reassessment by the Summer Assessment exam board in units that exceed 80 credit points (e.g. where assessment has been affected by exceptional circumstances) will be required to take all reassessment as part of a supplementary year in the next academic year. i.e. the amount of credit that can be assessed in the reassessment period should not exceed 80 credit points.

* 1. Students who are absent from an examination due to illness and who self-certificate, will be required to undertake the examination at the next scheduled time the examination is run, normally in the Summer Reassessment period. The form of the assessment should remain unchanged.
  2. Students who are absent from an assessment held on a specified day due to illness and who self-certify will be required to undertake the assessment again at the next time it is scheduled without penalty, unless the learning outcomes associated with the assessment are demonstrated elsewhere in the unit, in which case the relevant board of examiners may waive the requirement for the student to complete the assessment for the award of credit.
  3. Students who fail a unit or have self-certified their absence from assessment in the reassessment period and therefore do not fulfil the conditions for progression will be required to take the outstanding units again as part of a supplementary year, subject to the conditions for permitting a supplementary year (see section 9).
  4. A student will not be permitted to undertake the assessment again where they have already fulfilled the criteria for the award of credit points for the unit except in the following cases:

1. an exam board permits reassessment to account for the impact of exceptional circumstances where the learning outcomes relating to that assessment have not been demonstrated by the student in the programme
2. the student has self-certified absence from the assessment (see 13.18)
3. a deferral of the assessment has been agreed (see 13.8)
4. an exam board exceptionally permits a student who has achieved credit for a unit or units, despite being affected by exceptional circumstances, to repeat an entire year of study without penalty as a supplementary year.

This should normally only apply where: (i) the circumstance has affected the student for a significant period of their studies; and (ii) the board judges that it is in the student’s best interest and they are reasonably likely to improve their marks. Where such an exception is applied, the credit and the original mark for a unit that has been affected by exceptional circumstances should be voided prior to the student commencing the next academic year. Students should be informed of this course of action.

* 1. A student must acquire the necessary credit before progressing to the next year of study, except as permitted under 30.15.2.  Where it has not been possible for the relevant board of examiners to consider the student’s formal progress prior to a student commencing an industrial placement or a formal period of study at another institution or a student commencing the next year of study following a formal period of study at another institution (e.g. due to the marks from the study abroad year not yet being available), the Chair of the Faculty Board of Examiners may permit the student to register for the next year of study without the necessary credit, pending and subject to the decision of the board of examiners.
  2. If a student does not achieve the criteria set out in 30.6, they will be required to withdraw from the programme with an exit award, if appropriate; unless there are validated exceptional circumstances (see section 13).
  3. Notwithstanding 30.5, under the conditions (a)-(f) specified below, the relevant Board of Examiners\* will apply compensation at the first attempt to award credit for marginally failed taught units to permit progression at the meeting of the board of examiners(i.e. compensation cannot be applied where credit is still outstanding).

The student:

1. Has not failed more than 20 credit points in the year of study.
2. Has a mark for the relevant unit mark at the first attempt within the specified range (35-39 out of 100 for level 4-6 units or45-49 out of 100 for level 7 units).
3. Has a year mark from all the taught units in the year of study of at least 40 out of 100.
4. Has passed units that are deemed ‘must pass’ by the faculty or a professional body (see [glossary](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/taught-code-glossary.pdf) for definition).
5. Fulfils all other requirements for the award of credit, as stated in the programme and/or unit specification, such as:
   * + completion of practical work, e.g. field courses, laboratory sessions, language tuition, etc, deemed essential to understanding the academic discipline the student is studying;
     + a combination of coursework and practical work, supplemented by a record of attendance at teaching sessions, e.g. tutorials or laboratory sessions;
     + the acquisition of professional skills and attributes required in disciplines such as education, the health professions or the performing arts.
6. Satisfactorily completes any additional work deemed necessary, as determined by the relevant Board of Examiners, so as to enable them to achieve the learning outcomes in the assessment(s) that they had failed.

*\* Due to professional accreditation requirements, 30.13 does not apply to students newly registered on undergraduate programmes* [*owned by the Faculty of Engineering*](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/unit-programme-catalogue/FacultyRoutes.jsa?ayrCode=22%2F23&selectedCatalogue=PROGRAMME&orgCode=FENG) *from 2022/23; students on these programmes must achieve at least 120 credit points to progress to the next year of study. Information on its application is provided in section 1.12.*

* 1. Students who are permitted to progress as described in 30.13 (i.e. *notwithstanding a failed unit mark)* will be awarded and carry forward the actual unit mark they achieved at their first attempt (not the unit pass mark) and will only receive the credit for these unit/s upon final completion of the programme for which they are registered (i.e. at graduation).
  2. If any student fails to achieve the unit pass mark following a re-sit of the unit or units equating to 40 credit points or less, they will be permitted a final opportunity to be re-assessed, either as part of a ‘supplementary year’, in order to obtain the necessary credit points to progress, or, where applicable, as part of the next year of study where the student has been permitted to ‘conditionally progress’.
     1. Students who are placed on a **supplementary year** will be registered on the unit(s) they have failed. A board of examiners has the discretion to*:* (i) permit students to undertake a replacement unit listed in their programme structure in lieu of a failed optional or open unit from outside of their honours subject (see section 9 for information on the supplementary year).
     2. Students may be permitted to **conditionally progress** to the next year of study and make up a credit deficit in identified programmes where they have failed a particular unit or units, provided conditions (a-f) are satisfied. Schools and faculties are not under any obligation to allow conditional progression on their programmes.
  3. The failed unit is not “must-pass”, it is not fundamental to the programme objectives, being discontinued with no available alternative, and/or is not a prerequisite for units in the subsequent year.
  4. The total of the failed unit/s does not exceed twenty credit points.
  5. The student has achieved at least the year mark for progression in their programme.
  6. The student has not elected to undertake the supplementary year. Where applicable, conditional progression will be automatically applied by a board of examiners; however, a student may elect to instead take the supplementary year by them notifying their home school by the end of the second week of the first teaching block.
  7. The programme specification explicitly sets out where in the structure conditional progression may be employed.

Conditional progression will only be available as a potential outcome from the Summer Reassessment Board of Examiners and subsequent to considering whether a failed unit may be compensated for the purposes of progression (see 30.13). Where permitted and the conditions are satisfied, a student will progress into the next year of study and, in addition to the requirements for that year of study, either:

* + Re-take the failed unit/s (units may be undertaken on an assessment-only basis where a student has already received and engaged in the teaching of the unit/s) or;
* Undertake a different unit/s in lieu of the one the student has failed by engaging with the teaching, as outlined in 30.15.1.

Please see information on applicable [fees](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/study/undergraduate/fees-funding/).

The board of examiners will subsequently consider the award of credit for the outstanding failed unit/s before considering progression from the year of study into which they have conditionally progressed.

Where a student is unable to achieve the credit points for the failed unit on which their conditional progress is based, following three attempts of assessment, the board will not be able to consider the other units for the year and the student must withdraw from the university.

* 1. If any student fails to achieve the unit pass mark following a re-sit of the unit or units equating to more than 40 credit points, they will be required to withdraw from the programme, with an exit award, if appropriate.
  2. For any unit which is passed by re-assessment (i.e. at the second or third attempt), the student will receive the awarded mark for the re-assessment, however the unit mark will be capped at the minimum pass mark (40 out of 100 for units at levels 4, 5 and 6, and 50 out of 100 for units at level 7), even if the student achieves a higher mark in the re-assessment. The marks recorded when undertaking the supplementary year (including repeating the whole year) will also be capped at the minimum pass mark regardless of what marks are actually achieved, unless the student is being permitted to undertake a supplementary year “*as for the first time*” due to validated exceptional circumstances.

***The award of credit in the final year of undergraduate study for the purposes of completion***

* 1. Students must achieve the pass mark (normally a mark of 40 out of 100 at levels 4-6 and 50 out of 100 at level 7) and meet any criteria, as described in 30.3, if applicable for the unit, to be awarded the associated credit. By achieving this, students thereby acquire the necessary credit points in their final year to complete the programme of study, except as specified below:
* Re-assessment of units within the final year of undergraduate modular programmes is not permitted except where a failed unit is deemed to be ‘must-pass’ (e.g. for professional accreditation reasons) or in any failed unit within undergraduate programmes owned by the Faculty of Engineering that cannot be compensated (see 30.20), in which case the Faculty Board of Examiners will offer the student a second and final re-assessment opportunity. A re-sit should test those assessments in the unit that have been failed and need not be in the same form as the original assessment, as long as it tests the same learning outcomes and does not compromise any competence standards.
* Students who submit exceptional circumstances may be permitted by the relevant exam board to take the affected assessment again without academic penalty. The form of the assessment will remain unchanged.
* Students who are absent from an examination due to illness and who self-certificate, will be required to undertake the examination at the next scheduled time the examination is run, normally in the Summer Reassessment period. The form of the assessment should remain unchanged.
* Students who are absent from an assessment held on a specified day due to illness and who self-certify will be required to undertake the assessment again at the next time it is scheduled without penalty, unless the learning outcomes associated with the assessment are demonstrated elsewhere in the unit, in which case the relevant board of examiners may waive the requirement for the student to complete the assessment for the award of credit.
  1. A Faculty Board of Examiners may choose to permit the award of 120 credit points for the final year of ***undergraduate***study for the purposes of making an award where a student has:
* achieved a pass mark for the final year (i.e. at least 40 for programmes at level 6 and at least 50 for programmes at level 7)
* achieved the pass mark for any must-pass unit, if applicable
* demonstrated the intended learning outcomes for the programme.

A Faculty Board of Examiners may, likewise, choose to:

* award 60 credit points in the final year of undergraduate study for the award of an Ordinary Degree on the basis of a pass overall in assessments from units comprising at least 60 credit points at level 6
* award 120 credit points for a full time year of study (or part time equivalent) in an Undergraduate Certificate or Diploma programme on the basis of a pass overall in the final assessment
* award 120 credit points on the basis of a pass overall in assessment in the relevant year of study in order for an exit award to be made.
  1. Due to professional accreditation requirements, 30.19 may not be applied to students newly registered on [undergraduate programmes owned by the Faculty of Engineering](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/unit-programme-catalogue/FacultyRoutes.jsa?ayrCode=22%2F23&selectedCatalogue=PROGRAMME&orgCode=FENG) from 2022/23. The relevant Board of Examiners will, however, award credit to an undergraduate student to permit the award of a qualification, despite failure to achieve a pass mark associated with taught unit(s) of up to 20 credit points (i.e. a ‘compensated pass’), provided conditions (a)-(e) are satisfied. Information on its application is provided in section 1 (1.12).

The student:

* + 1. Has a mark for the relevant unit at the first or second attempt of assessment that is within the specified range (35-39 out of 100 for level 4-6 units or 45-49 out of 100 for level 7 units).
    2. Has a year mark from all the taught units in the year of study of at least 40 out of 100.
    3. Has passed units that are deemed ‘must pass’ by the faculty (see [glossary](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/taught-code-glossary.pdf) for definition).
    4. Fulfils all other requirements for the award of credit, as stated in the programme and/or unit specification.
    5. Satisfactorily completes any additional work deemed necessary, as determined by the relevant Board of Examiners, so as to enable the student to achieve the learning outcomes in the assessment(s) that they had not demonstrated.

***Additional requirements for progression within an Integrated Masters Degree***

* 1. Students studying on integrated masters degrees will have the same progression requirements as students on other modular degree programmes at the end of the first year.
  2. At the end of the second year of study, students on an Integrated Masters degree are required to achieve a year mark of 60 or more if their programme has been designated an ‘advanced study’ type or 50 or more if their programme has been designated as a ‘professional’ type of programme (see 25.21).
  3. Students who do not qualify for progression on an Integrated Masters degree at the end of the second year will be automatically transferred onto an equivalent Bachelors degree provided that they meet the progression requirements for that programme.
  4. At the end of the third-year students on an Integrated Masters degree are required to achieve a year mark of 50 or more out of 100.
  5. When a third-year student does not qualify for progression or chooses to withdraw from an Integrated Masters programme at the end of the third year, the Faculty Board of Examiners may award an Ordinary Degree or an equivalent Honours degree (on the basis of the mark achieved at the first attempt if reassessment of a unit was required) where the student has successfully met all the criteria, as described in the programme specification.
  6. In order to progress within five-year Integrated Masters programme, students must achieve a year mark of 50 or more out of 100 in years 2, 3, and 4 in order to progress. Those students who are awarded 120 credit points for the year but do not achieve a year mark of 50 or more out of 100: will either be automatically transferred onto an equivalent Bachelors Honours degree or, following completion of Year 4, the Faculty Board of Examiners may award an Ordinary Degree or an equivalent Honours degree (on the basis of the mark achieved at the first attempt if a re-sit of a unit was required) where the student has successfully met all the criteria, as described in the programme specification.
  7. Where a student is permitted to transfer onto the final year of an Integrated Masters programme, the school must be satisfied that they are capable of performing at the standard required for the Integrated Masters degree by having satisfied the same requirements for progression that are in place for Integrated Masters programmes.
  8. Students on Integrated Masters degree programmes without a year abroad or in industry who wish to withdraw during their fourth year of study (fifth year for the five-year MEng programmes) should be considered by boards of examiners for the award of a Bachelors Honours degree in the usual manner.
  9. Students who wish to withdraw from an Integrated Masters degree programme following the completion of the penultimate year of study and exit with a classified Bachelors Honours degree must inform their home school no later than the day prior to the meeting of the relevant faculty board of examiners where the Integrated Masters degree would otherwise have been awarded.

***Progression within a Bachelors Honours Degree to undertake the Study Abroad or Year in Industry***

*For students who newly register onto an undergraduate programme prior to 2023/24*

* 1. In order to progress within an Honours Bachelors degree programme to the ‘Study Abroad’, ‘Study in Continental Europe’ or ‘Study in Industry’ year, students must satisfy any additional criteria (which may include a higher threshold for the year mark or a specified mark in a particular unit or units) as required by a specific programme in order to ensure that students are well equipped for this period. The location of the document that sets out the additional criteria must be publicised to students at the outset of their studies.

*For students who newly register onto an undergraduate programme in or after 2023/24*

* 1. In order to progress within an Honours Bachelors degree programme to the ‘Study Abroad’, ‘Study in Continental Europe’ or ‘Study in Industry’ year, students may be required to achieve a year mark of 60 or more out of 100 for the first year of study\*, as determined by a specific programme in order to ensure that students are well equipped for this period. The specific requirements for progression must be publicised to students at the outset of their studies. Those students who are awarded 120 credit points for the year but do not meet the conditions for progression will be automatically transferred onto the equivalent degree.

***Progression within an Integrated Masters Degree to undertake the Study Abroad or Year in Industry***

*For students who newly register onto an undergraduate programme prior to 2023/24*

* 1. In order to progress, within an Integrated Masters programme, onto the “Study Abroad” or “Year in Industry”, students must achieve at least a year mark of 50 or more out of 100 in Year 1 and/or in Year 2 and must satisfy any additional criteria (which may include a higher threshold for the year mark or a specified mark in a particular unit or units) as required by a specific programme in order to ensure that students are well equipped for the year abroad or in industry. The location of the additional criteria must be publicised to students at the outset of their studies. Those students who are awarded 120 credit points for the year but do not achieve a year mark of 50 or more out of 100 and any additional other criteria will be automatically transferred onto the equivalent Bachelors Honours degree.

*For students who newly register onto an undergraduate programme in or after 2023/24*

* 1. In order to progress within an Integrated Masters programme, onto the “Study Abroad” or “Year in Industry”, students may be required to achieve at least a year mark of 60 or more out of 100 for the first year of study\*, as determined by a specific programme in order to ensure that students are well equipped for the year abroad or in industry. The specific requirements for progression must be publicised to students at the outset of their studies. Those students who are awarded 120 credit points for the year but do not meet the conditions for progression will be automatically transferred onto the equivalent degree.

*\* The higher progression threshold may be located at the end of the second year of study instead than the first, where there is good reason and suitable arrangements are in place to manage cases where the progression requirements have not been met by the time of the relevant exam board, as approved by the Faculty.*

* 1. Students who wish to withdraw during the year following the year abroad or in industry will not normally be eligible for a Bachelors Honours degree as an exit award. Faculty boards of examiners may exceptionally award the appropriate Bachelors Honours degree to such students, where “exceptionally” shall mean:

1. the student has completed the third year of study satisfactorily and successfully taken any required assessments;
2. the student is incapable of continuing their studies owing to documented, severe exceptional circumstances;
3. the boards of examiners have sufficient confidence in the third-year results that they can satisfactorily award a classified degree;
4. the student has achieved the prescribed programme intended learning outcomes for the award.
5. **Student Progression and Completion - in Non-Modular Programmes (MB,ChB, BDS, BVSc (including Accelerated Graduate Entry))**
   1. It is the responsibility of the relevant Faculty Board of Examiners to determine whether a student has satisfactorily completed a unit or element and in doing so has satisfied the requirements for progression from year-to-year and for completion of the programme.
   2. The teaching and learning in an entire year of study of the programmes is intentionally cohesive and complementary. On this basis students are required to demonstrate, and are subsequently judged upon, the ability to manage a workload at a standard appropriate to the time available. A component part of the teaching will not therefore be assessed in isolation (i.e. outside of the year of study in which it is taught). For this reason, students on the professional programmes will not normally be permitted to undertake the ‘supplementary year’.
   3. Where a standard set pass mark is used for a summative assessment, candidates’ marks will be adjusted for consideration by Faculty Board of Examiners and for subsequent publication so that the overall pass mark equates to 50 on a percentage scale.
   4. Where exceptional circumstances may have affected the performance of a student in a summative assessment*,* section 13 on exceptional circumstanceswill apply.Exceptional circumstances are only classified if a student requires consideration at the Board of Examiners.

***Progression of Students***

* 1. Students must achieve a minimum standard by attaining the assigned pass mark for all units and any additional assessment (normally 50 on a percentage scale) and meet any additional criteria, if applicable, to progress to the next year of study.
  2. Any additional criteria for progression must be explicitly described in the relevant programme Standing Orders/Student Progression Requirements and unit / programme specification, as appropriate, and communicated to the students in advance of the commencement of their study.
  3. Detailed progression information is held by programme and year in the Standing Orders/Student Progression Requirements for the programme. Standing Orders/Student Progression Requirements are approved annually by the Faculty Education Director and should normally be approved by June of the preceding academic year. Standing Orders/Student Progression Requirements may be presented to students via the Management of Marks document.
  4. A student who does not achieve the criteria associated with the programme or a constituent unit(s), as specified in the programme’s Standing Orders/Student Progression Requirements or regulations, will normally be permitted a second attempt of assessment to meet these criteria to progress to the next year of study.
  5. Except for those competency-based assessments which may permit additional attempts, a student who fails to achieve the pass mark for any assessment, or to achieve the specified criteria, at the **second attempt of assessment** will be required to withdraw from the programme with an exit award, if appropriate, unless there are validated exceptional circumstances.

If a Faculty Board of Examiners permits a student to repeat the whole year of the programme in response to validated exceptional circumstances, it may also apply supplementary conditions for progression.

* 1. A second attempt of assessment need not be in the same form as the original assessment, as long as it: tests the same learning outcomes, does not compromise any competence standards, and applies to the entire cohort of students who are undertaking the assessment.
  2. Within any unit where a constituent assessment(s) is passed as a second attempt of assessment, the recorded mark for the unit will be capped at the minimum pass mark. Where a student repeats the entire year as a second attempt, the recorded marks for all the units are capped at the minimum pass mark, even if the student had passed a unit at the first attempt.

1. **Awards and Classification - in Modular Programmes**

**Awards**

* 1. A student will be eligible for their intended award where they achieve the necessary credit points as set out in the University’s credit framework (see section 4) and fulfil any other requirements for award as set out in the programme specification.

**Exit Awards**

* 1. If a student does not obtain the necessary credit points for progression or award following application of the academic regulations or chooses to withdraw from the University, the student may receive a lower exit award according to the credit points they have obtained, as follows:
* Bachelors honours degree – Ordinary degree, UG Diploma, UG Certificate
* Integrated masters degree – Bachelors honours degree, UG Diploma, UG Certificate
  1. Students who wish to withdraw from an Integrated Masters degree programme following the completion of the penultimate year of study and exit with a classified Bachelors Honours degree must inform their home school no later than the day prior to the meeting of the relevant faculty board of examiners where the Integrated Masters degree would otherwise have been awarded.
  2. If a student fails a must-pass unit in the final year of study following two attempts of assessment on a unit such that the intended degree cannot be awarded, but they otherwise attain a pass overall in assessments undertaken in the final year, then a Board of Examiners may award an alternative classified honours degree qualification, as set out in the relevant programme specification.

**Classification**

* 1. Classification is permitted on honours degree programmes; however, unless provision is made within this Code, non-degree undergraduate modular awards are not classified. These awards are made where a student achieves the credit points for them with an overall mark of 40 out of 100 or more.

* 1. [Guidance](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/applying-ordinance-18.pdf) is provided for faculties on making an award where a student is unable to complete all the required assessment for honours classification.
  2. No further regulations or rules will apply for the calculation of the degree classification **following** application of the common algorithm.
  3. Rules which are inherent to the design of the programme, such as a requirement for the student to pass a project in order to graduate, must be approved and be described in the relevant programme specification, and be implemented **before** the algorithm is applied
  4. Bands of marks for use in final degree classification in undergraduate modular programmes are as follows:

Bachelors Integrated Masters

First Class Honours 70 and above 70 and above

Second Class Honours, First Division 60-69 60-69

Second Class Honours, Second Division 50-59 50-59

Third Class Honours 40-49 N/A

Fail 39 and below 49 and below

An Ordinary degree can be awarded if a student has successfully completed at least 300 credits with a minimum of 60 credits at level 6.

***Primary Rule for calculating the final programme mark and degree classification***

* 1. First year (undergraduate) marks will not contribute to the calculation of the final programme mark and/or degree classification. Additionally, units in any year of study that are pass/fail only will be disregarded in this calculation.
  2. All units taken in the years of study that contribute to the final programme mark and/or degree classification will count towards the weighted average final mark. See the [guidance](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/applying-prior-learning-to-classification.pdf) where students are given exemption from units, due to prior learning.
  3. The weightings apply to years of study, ***not*** to the level of the units taken by a student within the year.
  4. The default position is that within each faculty a single weighting rule for the years of study will apply, unless a faculty is able to demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the University Education Committee, that more than one weighting rule is required because of the major differences between subjects within the faculty and/or professional body accreditation requirements. See the agreed [weightings](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/weightings-for-degree-classification.pdf) for the programmes within each of the faculties.
  5. Within each year of study the weighting given to the unit mark, in relation to the mean ‘year mark’, will correspond to the credit point value of the unit, e.g. the mark for a 20 credit point unit would be 1/6 of the whole year mark, if the student has to achieve 120 credit points during the year.
  6. For the purposes of applying the primary and secondary rules, the final programme mark is calculated as a weighted average of the year marks for those years of study that contribute to classification. The result of the calculation should then be rounded to the nearest integer. This must be done PRIOR to determining whether the final programme mark is within the classification boundary range.

*\* For any student who first registered on their intended programme of study prior to the 2022/23 academic year, a check will be undertaken at the point of classification to guide the relevant exam board in ensuring that the change in the methodology for calculating degree classification (using the rounded year mark to calculate the final programme mark, rather than the actual year mark) does not disadvantage the academic outcome of any student, with the higher classification being awarded, where applicable. This check will be undertaken until the eligible student cohorts have completed their intended programme of study, as below:*

* *Three-year degree programmes: up to and including the 2023/24 academic year*
* *Four-year degree programmes: up to and including the 2024/25 academic year*
* *Five-year degree programmes: up to and including the 2025/26 academic year*
  1. The classification boundary ranges for bachelors honours programmes are based on marks out of 100 and are:

First / 2.1 equal to or more than 68 but less than 70

2.1 / 2.2 equal to or more than 58 but less than 60

2.2 / Third equal to or more than 48 but less than 50

If the final summative programme mark falls within the range of one of these classification boundaries, the secondary rule will apply.

* 1. The classification boundary ranges for integrated masters programmes are based on marks out of 100 and are:

First / 2.1 equal to or more than 68 but less than 70

2.1 / 2.2 equal to or more than 58 but less than 60

If the final summative programme mark falls within the range of one of these classification boundaries, the secondary rule will apply.

***Secondary Rule***

* 1. If the final summative programme mark falls within the range of one of the classification boundaries, as set out in 32.9, the higher degree classification will only be awarded if 50% or more of the rounded individual unit marks, weighted by credit point value and year of study,which contribute to the degree classification are achieved at the higher class or classes, otherwise the lower class will be awarded. The 50% threshold is absolute in applying the secondary rule (i.e. the outcome of the calculation is not rounded).
  2. It is the responsibility of the School Board of Examiners to consider and determine between classifications on the basis of the secondary rule.

1. **Awards and Classification - in Non-Modular Programmes (MB,ChB, BDS, BVSc (including Accelerated Graduate Entry))**
   1. The final programme mark is calculated by averaging the weighted individual unit marks. The result of the calculation of both the overall average mark achieved for the year and the final programme mark should be rounded and recorded to one decimal point (or to two decimal points for the purposes of classification where required for students who otherwise have the same programme mark).
   2. If there is evidence that the performance of a student at the time of examination is likely to have been affected by exceptional circumstances, section 13 on exceptional circumstances, applies.
   3. Requirements which are inherent to the design of the programme, such as the requirement for the student to pass a particular assessment or component in order to graduate, must be described in the relevant programme specification, and be implemented before these rules are applied.
   4. The marks gained in all units within the approved programme structure that are undertaken by the student will contribute to the final programme mark. Where students are given exemption from units of the programme due to accredited prior learning, including from other higher education institutions, marks previously gained for any such units will not contribute to the calculation of the final programme mark.
   5. Unit marks will be weighted for any calculation of the year and the final programme mark, as described in the relevant programme handbook. Units or elements may also be weighted zero for this calculation. Students must be informed of any weighting in advance of commencement of the year of study.
   6. Assessments which only test competencies on a pass/fail basis will not contribute to the unit mark and therefore will also not contribute to the year mark or the final programme mark.
   7. The award of a degree in the non-modular professional programmes is determined by the final programme mark, as follows:

Pass 50 and above

Fail 49 and below

* 1. The classification of a degree is determined by the final programme mark in relation to the overall performance in the cohort, as follows:
* The top 10% of the student cohort on the programme will be awarded a degree with distinction;
* The next 15% of the student cohort on the programme will be awarded a degree with merit;
* All other students that have a final programme mark of 50 out of 100 or more will be awarded a professional degree. The rank of the remainder of students may be published, at the discretion of the relevant faculty Board of Examiners.
  1. Where programmes decide to award distinctions or merits for individual units, the same method provided in 33.8 will apply.
  2. No further rules will apply for the calculation of the final programme mark and the award of a degree with merit and distinction following application of these rules.

**C. TAUGHT POSTGRADUATE PROGRAMMES ONLY**

2. **Admission and Study**

***Selection and admission***

* 1. Selection of students for taught postgraduate programmes must be in accordance with the [University’s Admissions Principles and Procedures for Postgraduate Taught Programmes](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/study/postgraduate/after-you-apply/policies/).
  2. No student shall be admitted and permitted to register for any programme of study at the start of any academic year if that student has previously withdrawn from the same or cognate programme of study due to academic failure within the last three years.

***Registration***

* 1. Students must be fully registered on their programmes within the first two weeks of teaching on their programme of study (i.e. by the Friday of week 2). Specific programmes may have an earlier final deadline for registration.If a student is unable to register in that time, they will be required to suspend their studies until the following academic year or withdraw from the programme.
  2. No student registered for a programme of full-time study leading to a qualification of the University of Bristol may study concurrently on a programme of full or part-time study leading to the award of a qualification at this or another higher education provider, except:
* Where a student is awaiting the academic outcome from a Master’s degree but is able to commence further study on a new taught postgraduate or research postgraduate programme.
* Where a research postgraduate student is undertaking a programme or unit for credit to directly support them as a teacher (e.g. the Postgraduate Certificate in Academic Practice).
  1. Students on some taught postgraduate programmes may be permitted to register initially for a postgraduate diploma or postgraduate certificate, subject to faculty approval.
  2. For awards of the University of Bristol, except as specified below, credit points may only be used once and may not be used towards two or more taught awards of this University or of another higher education provider and this University. The exceptions are:

a)   where an award at one level is subsumed into an award at a higher level;

b)   where a University award or award of another institution has independent standing as a professional qualification and is accredited by a professional body;

The use of credit or a lower award from this University towards an award of another institution is at the discretion of that awarding institution, including as part of articulation agreements where a partner institution might count the credit or qualification obtained at Bristol towards their own award.

***Period of study***

* 1. The period of study commences when the student is first registered for the degree programme. Students are expected to complete their programme within the specified normal period of study and must not exceed the maximum study period.
  2. The maximum study period normally only applies to students who are undergoing re-assessment.
  3. The following table shows the normal and maximum periods of study for taught postgraduate degrees. These periods of study include extensions but exclude suspensions of study. A student will normally only be able to take a supplementary year due to exceptional circumstances once during their programme of study.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Title of award** | **Student mode of attendance** | **Normal study period** | **Maximum study period** |
| **Postgraduate Certificate**  60 credit points | Full-time | Not less than 15 weeks' study | 6 months |
| Part-time | 6 months | 12 months |
| Part-time variable | Not applicable | Not more than three years |
| **Postgraduate Diploma**  120 credit points | Full-time | Not less than 31 weeks' study | 12 months |
| Part-time | 12 months | 24 months |
| Part-time variable | Not applicable | Not more than three years |
| **Masters degree by intercalation**  180 credit points | Full-time | 12 months | 12 months |
| **Masters degree**  180 credit points | Full-time | 12 months | 24 months |
| Part-time | 24 months | 36 months |
| Part-time variable | Not applicable | Not more than five years\* |
| **MA in Law**  240 credit points | Full-time | 24 months | Not more than three years |
| Part-time | 4 years | Not more than five years |
| **MSc in Social Work**  300 credit points | Full-time | 24 months | Not more than three years |
| **PGCE** (Postgraduate Certificate in Education)  60 credit points | Full-time | 12 months | Not more than three years |

*\*Not more than eight years study for part-time variable students on the MSc in Teaching and Learning for Health Professionals and the Master of Laws (LLM) by Advanced Study.*

*For the Postgraduate Dental Studies programme, the maximum period of study for a part-time variable mode of study is 24 months for the Certificate, 48 months for the Diploma and 72 months for the Masters degree.*

***School Responsibilities***

* 1. Schools will ensure that:

1. At the commencement of their period of study, students are given the opportunity to meet key teaching and support staff, and other students on the programme.
2. Students are provided with induction/orientation information in electronic or paper format to include a detailed induction programme, a timetable and calendar of key academic events. Students should also receive a copy of the University and Faculty Student Handbook or be directed to the online versions.
3. Students receive appropriate handbooks (for the programme, unit/s and dissertation), outlining programme requirements and academic standards, contact details of key staff and their office hours/weekly availability and sources of academic and pastoral help and sources of general and skills training. Students should also be given access to general and discipline specific careers advice. Health and Safety training should be provided by schools as appropriate.
4. Students on professional programmes receive information on any professional requirements, including any compulsory practical, clinical or professional placements and fitness to practice procedures. Additional professional and clinical skills and competency requirements will be specified in full in programme specifications.
5. Teaching staff have expertise in the subject area and that students can interact with a range of appropriate teaching staff on their programme of study.
6. The learning environment is suitable for a diverse student body, including disabled students, international students or students working in professional employment who do not often visit the University campus, whether they are studying full-time, part-time or on a part-time variable basis.
7. Students are made aware of the facilities available to them during their studies (e.g. library, office/laboratory/workshop space), and of any requirements for their use. Students working remotely, including those 'writing up' their dissertation (or equivalent), should be given access to appropriate facilities and resources to support their study, including those available electronically**.**
8. If a student is required to participate in a professional or industrial placement, the School will ensure that the student has access to appropriate facilities, information and support while on the placement.

***Student Entitlements***

* 1. Taught postgraduate students can expect*:*

1. Information on tuition fees, registration, induction, the timetable and staff office hours/availability.
2. Information on programme and unit content and requirements and how academic progress towards the award is monitored.
3. Adequate opportunities to meet their personal tutor and/or programme director (as applicable), unit directors and dissertation supervisor(s) for informal and formal discussions about academic progress or pastoral matters.
4. Information on the return of required written work, with formative feedback, within an agreed time scale (typically three weeks for full-time students, unless exceptional circumstances arise, in which case students will be informed of the deadline).
5. Access to a learning infrastructure that supports their academic progress and their ability to complete the degree successfully within the required time period. Where relevant, details of appropriate language courses should be provided, bearing in mind the challenge of taking a language course while committed to a full-time programme of study
6. Access to an appropriate learning environment, including a wider research environment, (in the University or collaborating institutions) within which there is relevant and sufficient expertise and appropriate facilities available to support the programme of study.
7. Information about the support and guidance available at School/Faculty/University level (e.g. student handbooks, student web pages), including information on complaints and appeals procedures and information on student representation procedures at School/ Faculty/University level and on student feedback opportunities

***Student Responsibilities***

* 1. Taught postgraduate students are expected to:

1. Register with the University at the start of the academic year, ensuring that they are registered on the correct units with sufficient credit points for the programme.
2. Pay the required tuition fee and ensure that they have the necessary financial support to enable completion of the programme
3. Take responsibility for their own personal and professional development and academic progress, making the most of those learning opportunities that will enhance their capacity for independent and ‘self-directed’ learning.
4. Meet the University’s requirements for good academic conduct, including timely submission of assessed work by the set deadline, attending at meetings with unit directors and dissertation supervisor(s) as required, attend lectures, seminars and practical sessions regularly and take an active part in the programme of study.
5. Maintain effective working relationships with teaching staff (programme director, personal tutor, unit directors, dissertation supervisor) and other students, treating all with respect and consideration. Students on professionally-recognised vocational programmes are additionally expected to maintain standards of conduct commensurate with professional practice standards.
6. Maintain academic integrity, acknowledging fully the work of others in their coursework and assessed work, and be familiar with the referencing conventions of the discipline or programme, so that their work is free from plagiarism.
7. Notify the University of any disability, exceptional circumstance or support needs that may affect their study or performance in assessments, in line with these Regulations and Code of Practice.
8. Notify the University of changes in their personal information (teaching time/home addresses, telephone numbers) by updating their personal details.
9. Notify their programme director of any potential change in circumstance (requests for a change in mode of attendance, suspension of study, resumption of study, extension of study, programme transfer or withdrawal) in good time.
10. Be familiar with, and comply with, University Regulations and Guidelines including: these Regulations and Code of Practice, relevant programme regulations, the Rules and Regulations for Students (including the [University’s Intellectual Property Policy for Students](http://www.bristol.ac.uk/secretary/student-rules-regs/)) and the [Assessment Regulations](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/university-assessment-regulations.pdf).
11. Be familiar with relevant University rules on health and safety, data protection, research ethics and confidentiality and the norms of good research practice applicable to their disciplinary area.
12. **International students with visa / immigration queries must only discuss these with the specially trained staff based in the** Student Visa Team**.**

***Monitoring of the progress of taught postgraduate students***

* 1. Faculties should monitor the progress of taught postgraduate students at boards of examiners meetings and as part of its internal review processes.
  2. The failure of any student to show satisfactory progress on the programme, including failure in summative assessment, failure to obtain credit points or to attend regularly any prescribed part of a programme (including such lectures, discussion periods, tutorial and practical classes, fieldwork, design classes and vacation courses as may be required) or to undertake prescribed written or other work or to present themselves for any examination or to reach a satisfactory standard in any assessment or any part or parts of an assessment, will be reported to the relevant Faculty Board of Examiners (or other approved Board of Examiners) which may at any time, if it thinks fit, require the student concerned to repeat part of a programme or to retake an assessment or to withdraw from a unit or units or the whole programme in accordance with University regulation. Any student who has been required to withdraw shall be informed of the decision and of the University procedures for making representations against the decision.

***The Dissertation / Research project***

* 1. For most postgraduate Masters awards, an extended piece of work, such as a dissertation or project, is required. Postgraduate Masters awards with an enhanced research component normally require dissertation/s worth 90 to 120 credit points.

*The format and submission of the dissertation*

* 1. The submission deadline for dissertation / research projects is the last working day in August or the first Friday of September, whichever is 51 weeks from the start of Welcome Week. Faculties may alter this deadline date for programmes that are studied part-time or Masters programmes with an approved non-standard start/end date, in which case the relevant school will inform the student of the submission procedure and deadline.
  2. The dissertation must be a student’s own work. A student may not include in any dissertation (or equivalent), material previously submitted and approved for an award of a degree at this or any other university. A student must have gained ethical approval prior to undertaking their research, where applicable.
  3. Schools will set the maximum word length for the dissertation of between 10,000–15,000 words, except for a dissertation based on laboratory work which will have a maximum word count of between 6,000–10,000 words. References and lists of contents pages may be additional to the word limit, as can appendices if allowed (although these should be reasonable in length).
  4. A school may set a maximum word count that is different from 34.18, where:
* It enables students to meet the learning outcomes for the programme and demonstrate the characteristics of a Master’s graduate (ref QAA Characteristics Statement)
* Consistent with the student input and workload for a 60 credit point unit
* Students are supported to write in a way that is consistent with the maximum word count
* It meets any PSRB requirements and the relevant QAA subject benchmark statement.

Any proposed different word count must be approved by the relevant Faculty Education Director and the exact requirements specified in the relevant unit specification for the dissertation and communicated to students.

* 1. Students will submit their dissertation in the provided format. Schools will provide students with information to enable them to prepare and submit the dissertation in the correct format and advise them of any specific requirements.
  2. If the school mandates the use of Turnitin for submissions, the student or supervisor may initiate a request for an exemption from the Turnitin requirement on the appropriate form. The supervisor is responsible for submitting all requests to the relevant Faculty Education Director (or nominee). Where the student initiates the request, the supervisor must provide a recommendation on the form. If the Faculty Education Director (or nominee) approves the request, the dissertation supervisor will undertake a manual check on the dissertation in relation to academic integrity and plagiarism and will inform the school when the check has been completed.

*Supervision arrangements for the dissertation*

* 1. Each student will be assigned a dissertation supervisor by their school.
  2. The dissertation supervisor will:
* provide guidance on the nature of the dissertation and the standard of work expected;
* advise students on the planning of the dissertation;
* discuss the timetable and dates for completion of different stages advise students on training necessary for completion of the dissertation, e.g. statistical or software courses which may include referral to other sources of help and advice.

The dissertation supervisor will not proof-read or edit the work. In programmes where a specified proportion of the draft dissertation may be read by the dissertation supervisor, they may comment on the following as applicable: dissertation or report structure, content of sections, research sources and methodology, referencing and style.

* 1. Where re-assessment of the dissertation is permitted by the Board of Examiners, the dissertation supervisor will ensure that the student understands the feedback given by the examiners and knows what is required for re-submission.

1. **Programme Structure and Design**
   1. Taught postgraduate programmes may sit wholly within a discipline, school or faculty, or may cross discipline, school or faculty boundaries.

**Master of Research (MRes)**

* 1. The MRes is a classified taught postgraduate degree.  Its main aim is to provide a structured research training programme which can act as a foundation for doctoral study or for a research career outside academia.  It may also be used to provide an exit award from a doctoral programme which includes a taught component.
  2. An MRes will comprise 180 credit points, and include a research component of between 60 and 120 credit points at level 7.
  3. All MRes programmes will provide an exit award of a Postgraduate Certificate (with the attainment of 60 credit points).  If the structure of the taught component permits, MRes programmes will also provide an exit award of a Postgraduate Diploma (with the attainment of 120 credit points).

1. **Processing and Recording Marks**
   1. The mark for each individual taught unit is calculated as the weighted average of the marks for each of its constituent assessments (see 15.13), rounded to the nearest integer.
   2. The research unit mark is calculated by averaging the constituent assessment marks, where applicable, and rounding the result to the nearest integer.
   3. The final programme mark is calculated by averaging the unit marks (taught and research), and rounding the result to the nearest integer.
   4. Units that solely contain assessments marked on a pass/fail marking scheme will not contribute towards the calculation of the final programme mark, but are deemed to be ‘must-pass’ for the purposes of completion. In such cases, the weighting of other units will increase accordingly.
   5. The weighting given to the unit mark will correspond to the credit point value of the unit, e.g. the mark for a 20-credit point unit would be 1/9 of the final programme mark, if the student must achieve 180 credit points.

See [guidance](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/calculating-marks.pdf) for an example of this calculation.

1. **Award of Credit and Reassessment**

A [flow diagram](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/flow-diagram-progression-and-completion.pdf) for progress within taught postgraduate programmes is available.

**In taught units**

* 1. Students must achieve the pass mark for a unit (normally a mark of 40 out of 100 at levels 4-6 and 50 out of 100 at level 7) and meet any designated criteria (see section 11), if applicable, to be awarded the associated credit, as set out in the relevant unit specification.
  2. A student who is not awarded the credit for a taught unit at the first attempt will be permitted to undertake reassessment either as:
  + A second attempt (a ‘re-sit’) where the unit has been failed. The unit mark will be capped at the pass mark. A re-sit should test those assessments in the unit that have been failed and need not be in the same form as the original assessment, as long as it: tests the same learning outcomes and does not compromise any competence standards.
  + A supplementary assessment where the student has not met the criteria for the award of credit in a unit but is permitted to take outstanding assessment again because of validated exceptional circumstances, a deferral or self-certified absence from the original assessment for the full range of marks. A supplementary assessment should test those assessments in the unit that have not been passed, normally in the same format.
  1. As an exception to 37.2, credit will be awarded for a narrowly failed taught unit where specified conditions are met (i.e. ‘compensation’). Compensation may be applied by **any** exam board following completion of the taught units on the programme by a student. Students will be awarded the actual unit mark they achieved at the point of applying compensation.

Compensation will be awarded:

1. For a single unit, up to a maximum of:

* 30 credit points in a Masters degree or Postgraduate Diploma (no compensation is permitted in a Postgraduate Certificate), except where the credit value of each and every taught unit exceeds 30 credit points
* 20 credit points in a Masters degree or Postgraduate Diploma in programmes in Engineering

1. Where all other taught units in the programme have been passed; and
2. Where the student has a mark of between 45-49 in the failed unit and an overall weighted average of 50 or more in the taught units; and
3. The failed unit is not must-pass (i.e. the student will be able to meet the relevant programme learning outcomes) nor a pre-requisite onto the research unit.
   1. A student is permitted no more than two attempts to meet the criteria for the award of credit for a taught unit.

*Reassessment*

* 1. A student who is permitted to undertake reassessment by the Summer Assessment exam board in units that exceed 80 credit points (e.g. where assessment has been affected by exceptional circumstances) will be required to take all reassessment as part of a supplementary year in the next academic year. i.e. the amount of credit that can be assessed in the reassessment period should not exceed 80 credit points.
  2. Otherwise where a student has not passed a unit at the first attempt, they will be permitted to take the reassessment in the reassessment period.
  3. Reassessment should only test those assessments in the unit that have not been passed.
  4. For any unit which is passed by reassessment (i.e. at the second attempt), the student will receive the awarded mark for the assessment, however the unit mark will be capped at the minimum pass mark (40 out of 100 for units at levels 4, 5 and 6, and 50 out of 100 for units at level 7).

*Reassessment in a Supplementary Year*

* 1. Students who have not achieved the credit points for a taught unit following the reassessment period but have not exceeded two attempts will be permitted to take any outstanding units in a Supplementary Year (subject to the requirements for a Supplementary Year in section 8), and an extension to the submission deadline and an extension to studies enacted (see section 38).
  2. Reassessment should only test those assessments in the unit that have not been passed except where the assessment or unit has changed or is no longer running in the Supplementary Year, in which case the Examination Board may permit the student to take an alternative assessment or unit.

*Conditions for entry onto the research unit*

* 1. A student will be permitted to continue on a programme including onto the research unit, even if they have failed a taught unit twice, except where a programme has designated a single taught unit as a pre-requisite for entry onto the research unit (i.e. a student cannot start the research unit until they have achieved the credit points for the designated taught unit).
  2. A programme may only designate a single taught unit as a pre-requisite to the research unit, subject to approval by the relevant Faculty Education Director, where:

1. The taught unit provides essential health and safety training for students to practically conduct their research safely (i.e. it would be deemed unsafe for a student to undertake the research without having demonstrated the necessary skills),

OR

1. The taught unit teaches critical knowledge and skills that is essential for a student to demonstrate in order to undertake research in the discipline as required by a PSRB,

AND

1. Given the nature of research in the discipline of the programme, it is not possible for a student to pivot to an alternative project by amending the form of research or the means of collecting and analysing data/information.
   1. If a student does not achieve the credit points for the designated taught unit after two attempts, they will be required to withdraw from the programme with an exit award, if appropriate.
   2. Schools, with faculty oversight, will have in place a process for reviewing the academic progress of student outside of exam boards. Schools should arrange a discussion with a student about their academic prospects where they have failed a taught unit that is not a pre-requisite to the research unit twice (i.e. they are permitted to continue on the programme but will not be able to achieve a Master’s degree).

**In the research unit**

* 1. Students must achieve the pass mark for the research unit to be awarded the associated credit.
  2. Where a student has achieved a near-pass mark (between 45-49 out of 100) for the research unit, the relevant Board of Examiners will permit the student to re-submit the dissertation, or equivalent. In these cases, the research unit mark will be capped at the minimum pass mark (50 out of 100).
  3. Re-submission of the dissertation for a full range of marks may also be permitted where failure is due to validated exceptional circumstances.

1. **Extension of Study**
   1. An extension of study is used where circumstances necessitate an extension to the normal period of study in order for a student to complete the programme. An extension of study may be applied where:
      * A student is required to undertake assessment in the reassessment period that necessitates an extension to the submission of the dissertation or equivalent;
      * A student is required to undertake and complete assessment in a supplementary year;
      * A student is required to resubmit their dissertation or equivalent due to academic failure (see 37.16)
      * A student has exceptional circumstances that has impacted their ability to complete the programme by the normal timeline.
   2. An agreed extension may involve the payment of additional fees.
   3. Students who hold a Student Visa and who need to extend their period of study should seek advice from the Student Visa Team on obtaining a Visa extension.
   4. Students who receive an extension should be advised by their school of the latest date by which the dissertation or equivalent must be submitted in order for the student to be considered for award at the finalist exam board.

#### *Students who are required to undertake reassessment in taught units*

* 1. The relevant exam board will provide an extension to a student’s dissertation (or equivalent) deadline **and/or** period of study where that student is required to undertake reassessment within the reassessment period or supplementary year, as set out below. This action may be undertaken prior to or post the exam board under chair’s action.

*In the reassessment period*

* 1. Students receiving an outcome at the early Summer Assessment Exam Board[[6]](#footnote-7) to undertake assessment in the reassessment period will be granted an extension as follows:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Amount of Assessment to be completed in the Reassessment Period** | **Length of extension to the dissertation deadline** | **Extension of Studies (extended end date) required?** |
| 20 credit points | One week | No |
| 30-40 credit points | Two weeks | Yes\*\* |
| 50-60 credit points | Three weeks | Yes\*\* |
| 70-80 credit points\* | Four weeks | Yes\*\* |

\**Students will be permitted to complete no more than 80 credit points of assessment in the reassessment period. Students who have over 80 credit points outstanding must complete the assessment in the subsequent academic year as part of a supplementary year*

\*\**As the extension will go beyond the programme end date (set 12 months from the programme start date), the student’s period of study will also be extended.*

* 1. Students with reassessment outcomes should be advised by their School to consider the balance between working on their dissertation or equivalent compared to preparation for and to undertake reassessment. The extension is a mitigation for the additional work required of a student to prepare for and complete reassessment.
  2. An extension to the deadline for the submission of a group project report where it is part of the research unit is not permitted for an individual student so as not to impact other students in the group. In this case, the relevant student may be permitted to pivot their group work into an individual piece of research with a new deadline for submission to accommodate for this change.
  3. Students who are intercalating on a taught postgraduate programme and are granted an extension should discuss with their home school the consequences of not submitting by the original submission deadline to returning to their home programme.

*In the supplementary year*

* 1. Students receiving an outcome at the early Summer Assessment or Reassessment Exam Board to undertake assessment in a Supplementary Year will be granted an extension to the deadline for submission of their dissertation or equivalent AND an extension to their period of study as follows:
* If a student is scheduled to take all outstanding taught units in Teaching Block 1, then an extension to the submission deadline of their dissertation or equivalent and to the period of study should be given that allows the student’s academic outcome to be considered at the mid-year exam board.
* If a student is scheduled to take any outstanding taught units in Teaching Block 2, then an extension to the submission deadline of their dissertation or equivalent and to the period of study should be given that allows the student’s academic outcome to be considered at the early Summer Assessment exam board.

#### *Students required to resubmit their dissertation or equivalent due to academic failure*

* 1. Students who are permitted to resubmit their dissertation or equivalent under 37.16 will be provided a new submission deadline and, where that deadline goes beyond the normal period of study, an extension of study by the School. Re-submission of the dissertation is normally required within 3 months of the student being notified by the relevant Board of Examiners of its decision (and within 6 months for part-time students and 12 months for part-time variable students).

#### *Students with exceptional circumstances*

* 1. A student may also request an extension to the submission of the dissertation or equivalent and/or their period of study to account for the impact of exceptional circumstances upon their ability to complete the programme in the normal timeline.
  2. Students who do not request an extension prior to the deadline and do not submit their dissertation by the submission deadline may submit exceptional circumstances if they have circumstances they would like considered by the next Exam Board. An extension to studies may be considered mitigation for the impact of a student’s exceptional circumstances, notwithstanding any further exceptional circumstances which may affect the extended period of studies.
  3. Students who are permitted to resubmit their dissertation or equivalent as mitigation for the impact of exceptional circumstances under 37.17 will be provided a new submission deadline and, where that deadline goes beyond the normal period of study, an extension of study by the School. Re-submission of the dissertation is normally required within 3 months of the student being notified by the relevant Board of Examiners of its decision (and within 6 months for part-time students and 12 months for part-time variable students).

#### *Maximum length of extension*

* 1. One or more extensions of study totalling not more than 12 months may be requested by a student and authorised by the relevant Faculty Education Director, on the recommendation of the relevant Programme Director. The request should be made at least two weeks prior to the end of the period of study.
  2. An extension of study for any period that takes the accumulative total over 12 months will also require the approval of the Pro Vice-Chancellor (Education and Students). The request should be made at least four weeks prior to the end date of the original period of extension.
  3. There may be additional rules on extensions from a funding body. It is the responsibility of the student to confirm that arrangements and approval for the extension have been secured with any funding body that is involved.

1. **Awards and Classification: Taught Postgraduate Programmes**

**Awards**

* 1. A student will be eligible for their intended award where they achieve the necessary credit points as set out in the University’s credit framework (see section 4) and fulfil any other requirements for award as set out in the programme specification.

**Exit Awards**

* 1. If a student does not obtain the necessary credit points for progression or award following application of the academic regulations or chooses to withdraw from the University, the student may receive a lower exit award according to the credit points they have obtained, as set out below.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Credit points achieved** | | |
| **Award** | **Taught units** | **Research unit** | **Overall** |
| *PG Diploma (in the programme)* | 120 | 0 | 120 |
| *PG Certificate (in the programme)* | 60-100 | 0 | 60-100 |
| *PG Diploma in [discipline] (research)* | 60-100 | 60\* | 120-160 |
| *PG Certificate in [discipline] (research)* | 0-50 | 60\* | 60-110 |

\* Or higher credit point value in MRes programmes

**Classification**

* 1. A classification will be awarded in the following qualifications:
* Taught postgraduate Masters degree, including a Master of Research (MRes)
* Postgraduate Diplomas and Certificates where these are specifically named entry-level qualifications
* Exit awards of Postgraduate Diplomas and Certificates in designated programmes (as described in the programme specification) where students choose to withdraw from the intended programme but otherwise achieve the necessary credit points for the exit award.
  1. A classification is not awarded for exit awards where students are required to withdraw from the programme on academic grounds.
  2. The overall final programme mark is calculated as the average of all unit marks in the programme, weighted by credit point value.
  3. The classification of the award in relation to the overall programme mark is as follows:
* Distinction = final programme mark of 70+
* Merit = final programme mark of 60-69
* Pass = final programme mark of 50-59
* Fail = final programme mark of 49 or less

Note: the classification for a student who registered on a programme of study before 2024/25 will be calculated using the classification set out above **and** the methodology set out in the [2023/24 regulations](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/taught-code-23-24.pdf), with the student receiving the higher classification, if any.

***Exceptions***

1. Where applicable, the classification of the award for programmes using the 5-point (A-E) scale should be reached by using a fixed mid-point for each grade where A = 75, B = 65 and C = 55. The same boundaries as in 39.6 will apply.
2. See the [specific regulations](https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/academic-quality/documents/taught-code/annexes/regulations-for-specific-programmes.pdf) for the classification of degrees in the MA in Law

**Amendments for 2024-25: approved by University Education Committee, May and June 2024**

1. The protected characteristics for higher education are: age; disability; gender reassignment; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; and sexual orientation. More information on the [protected characteristics](https://www.bris.ac.uk/equalityanddiversity/secure/act/). [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. These being the [University assessment periods](http://www.bris.ac.uk/university/dates/), unless a programme has alternative assessment periods in which case the relevant school should advise students appropriately. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. Set as by a specified date ahead of the summer assessment exam board, the summer reassessment exam board and PGT finalist exam board. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. The previous Faculty policy applies to practical sessions, pre- and post-lab assessments in the biomedical Schools in the Faculty of Life Sciences in the 2023/24 academic year (Biochemistry, Cellular and Molecular Medicine, and Physiology, Pharmacology and Neuroscience). [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. As set out in section 10. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. Or equivalent exam board for programmes with non-standard dates [↑](#footnote-ref-7)