Guidance on calculating marks for the purposes of progression and classification in taught programmes



Summary

The document provides guidance and worked examples on how the unit mark, year mark, taught component mark and the final programme mark is calculated for the purposes of considering the progression of a taught student and the classification of a taught degree.

Scope - This document applies to:

Marks obtained by students on taught programmes when being considered for progression or award by a board of examiners.

Applies to academic year	2024/25

Document Control							
Owning team	Academic Quality and Policy Office						
Division	Education and Student Success						
Lead contact	Deputy Director of Education Qu	ality and Policy					
Туре	Guidance	Status	Approved				
Asset number	As listed on asset register	Version	4.0				
Approved by	University Education Committee	Date current version approved	25.05.2024				
Date current version published	05.08.2024 Date first published 01.09.2016						
Revision schedule	Annually Next review date 01.06.2026						
Superseded documents	N/A						
Related documents	Regulations and Code of Practice for Taught Programmes						
Keywords	unit mark; year mark; taught component mark; final programme mark; progression; degree classification						



Guidance on Calculating the Unit Mark, Year Mark, Taught Component Mark, Final Programme Mark for the Purposes of Progression and Degree Classification in Taught Programmes

The following examples are intended to assist by applying the rules to a set of hypothetical run of marks based upon the regulations for calculating and recording marks as set out in the Taught Code.

EXAMPLE 1 is a student on an integrated MSci undergraduate programme

1. Calculation of unit mark

The summative assessment for a notional unit 1 consists of:

- Essay (40%),
- Unseen written exam (40%),
- Oral presentation (20%).

The final unit mark is calculated from the assessment marks (all marked on the 0-100 scale) thus:

Level 4-6 unit (pass mark of 40/100)	Essay (40%)	Unseen written exam (40%)	Oral exam (20%)	Final unit mark
Score (out of 100)	68	59	72	
Weighted mark	68 x 40 = 2720	59 x 40 = 2360	72 x 20 = 1440	2720 + 2360 + 1440 = 6520 6520/100=
				65.2 (65)

(If some assessments are marked on the 0-20 point scale section 15 of the Code explains how to calculate the unit mark).

So credit is awarded for unit 1 (assuming the student has fulfilled any other criteria) with a mark of 65.

2. Calculating the Year Mark for the Purposes of Progression

The 'year mark' needs to be calculated for the purposes of applying the progression rules in section 30 of the Code. This is done by averaging the unit marks following weighting (corresponding to the credit point value) where the notional unit marks in year 2 are:

Level 5 units (pass mark 40/100)	UNIT 1	UNIT 2	UNIT 3	UNIT 4	UNIT 5	Total
	(20cp)	(20cp)	(40cp)	(30cp)	(10cp)	(120cp)
Unit mark	65	52	52	55	48	
Award of credit	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	120ср
Weighted unit mark - by credit value of each unit	65 x 20 = 1300	52 x 20 = 1040	52 x 40 = 2080	55 x 30 = 1650	48 x 10 = 480	1300 + 1040 + 2080 + 1650 + 480 = 6550/120 = 54.583 (55)

In order to determine progression to the next year of the programme on the basis of the student achieving the pass mark in each unit and achieving the programme requirement of a year mark of 55 or more out of 100 (see section 30 of the Code) - the year mark is rounded to the nearest integer i.e. **55** and progression is permitted.

3. Calculating the Final Programme Mark and Degree Classification

For this Integrated Masters programme, with study abroad the year of study weighting is 0:15:10:75 (see annex 13 for the agreed weightings by programme).

The final programme mark and degree classification is reached by calculating all the year marks (with credit point weighting – as in section 2 previously) and then applying the primary and secondary rules, as follows:

Year 2		Year 3		Year 4	
Unit Mark	Credit Points	Unit Mark	Credit Points	Unit Mark	Credit Points
65	20	68	120	64	20
52	20			70	20
52	40			78	20
55	30			71	20
48	10			66	20
				72	20
55	120	68	120	70	120

Apply the weighting (0:15:10:75) to the year marks to give a weighted year mark.

```
Year 2 = 15 x 55 = 825

Year 3 = 10 x 68 = 680

Year 4 = 75 x 70 = 5250

All years = 825 + 680 + 5250 = 6755 / 100 = 67.55
```

Final programme mark is rounded to the nearest integer = **68**

As the final programme mark of **68** is within the classification boundary range for a first class degree (see section 32 of the Code) the secondary rule is applied.

Application of the Secondary Rule for Degree Classification

"If the final summative programme mark falls within the range of one of the classification boundaries, the higher degree classification will only be awarded if 50% or more of the rounded individual unit marks, weighted by credit point value and year of study, which contribute to the degree classification are achieved at the higher class or classes, otherwise the lower class will be awarded."

Year 2

- 0 of 120 credit points in higher class
- Apply 15% weighting for the year of study = $0 \times 15 = 0$ weighted credit points

Year 3

- 0 of 120 credit points in higher class
- Apply 10% weighting for the year of study = $0 \times 10 = 0$ weighted credit points

Year 4

- 80 of 120 credit points in higher class
- Apply 75% weighting = 80 x 75 = 6000 weighted credit points

Add weighted credits - 0 + 0 + 6000 = 6000 / 100 = 60 out of 120 total possible credit points. Thus 50% of the credits are in the higher classification and so the higher class (I) may be awarded.

EXAMPLE 2 – A student on a Bachelor of Arts undergraduate programme

1. Calculating the Unit Mark

The summative assessment for notional unit 1 consists of:

- Essay (40%),
- Unseen written exam (60%).

The final unit mark is calculated from the assessment marks (all marked on the 0-100 scale):

Level 4-6 unit (pass mark 40/100)	Essay (40%)	Unseen written exam (60%)	Total unit mark
Score (out of 100)	44	37	
Weighted mark	44 x 40 = 1760	37 x 60 = 2220	1760 + 2220 = 3980 3980/100 = 39.8* (40)

(If some assessments are marked on the 0-20 point scale section 15 of the Code explains how to calculate the unit mark).

So credit is awarded for unit 1 (assuming the student has fulfilled any other criteria) with a mark of 40.

2. Calculating the Second Year Mark for the Purposes of Progression

The 'year mark' is calculated by averaging the unit marks following weighting (corresponding to the credit point value) where the notional unit marks for the second year of study are:

Level 5 units (unit pass mark of 40 out	UNIT 1	UNIT 2	UNIT 3	UNIT 4	UNIT 5	Total
of 100)	(20cp)	(20cp)	(20cp)	(30cp)	(30cp)	(120cp)
Unit mark	40	46	39	42	41	
Award of credit	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	
Weighted mark (corresponding to credit point value)	40 x 20 = 800	46 x 20 = 920	39 x 20 = 780	42 x 30 = 1260	41 x 30 = 1230	800 + 920 + 780 + 1260 + 1230 =
						4990/120 = 41.583
						(42)

This student has failed UNIT 3 (20 credit points) so the rule in section 30.15 of the Code may be considered:

✓ The volume of credit points failed (20) is within the specified amount permitted
(20).

- ✓ The failed unit mark (39) is within the specified range of the pass mark (35-39).
- ✓ The rounded overall weighted average year mark (42) is at or higher than the weighted average pass mark of all the taught units taken in the year (40).
- ✓ Student meets all other criteria in 30.15 of the Code.

Therefore the board of examiners may permit the student to progress to the next year of study notwithstanding a failed unit mark.

3. Calculating the Final Programme Mark and Degree Classification

For the purposes of calculating the final programme mark and degree classification the year of study weighting for a Bachelors of Arts programme is 0:40:60 (see annex 13 for the agreed weightings by programme).

The final programme mark and degree classification is determined by calculating all the year marks (with credit point weighting) as in example 1 section 3 and then applying the primary and secondary rules:

Yea	ar 2	Year 3		
Unit Mark	Credit Points	Unit Mark	Credit Points	
40	20	56	20	
46	20	62	20	
39*	20	58	20	
42	30	57	60	
41	30			
42	120	58	120	

^{*} the unit mark is carried forward even though progression is permitted notwithstanding the failed unit mark.

Application of Primary Rule

Apply the weighting (0:40:60) to the year marks:

Year 2 40 x 42 = 1680 Year 3 60 x 58 = 3480

All years 1680 + 3480 = 5160 / 100 = 51.6

Final programme mark is rounded to the nearest integer = 52

The final programme mark of **52** is <u>not</u> within the classification boundary range so the secondary rule is not applied and a 2.2 is awarded.

EXAMPLE 3 – A student on taught postgraduate MSc programme

1. Calculating the Unit Mark

The summative assessment for notional unit 1 consists of:

- Essay (30%),
- Unseen written exam (40%),
- Practical (30%).

The final unit mark is calculated from the assessment marks (all marked on the 0-100 scale):

Level 7 unit (pass mark 50/100)	Short essay (30%)	Unseen written exam (40%)	Practical (30%)	Total unit mark
Actual score	60	49	59	
Weighted mark	60 x 30 = 1800	49 x 40 = 1960	59 x 30 = 1770	1800+1960+ 1770 = 5530
				5530/100 =
				55.3 (55)

Credit may be awarded for the unit (assuming the student has fulfilled any other criteria), with a mark of 55.

(If some assessments are marked on the 0-20 point scale section 15 of the Code explains how to calculate the unit mark).

2. Calculating the Degree Classification (under the 2024/25 regulations)

Assuming that a student has achieved the necessary credit points for a Masters degree, the classification of the degree under the academic regulations on classification from 2024/25 is based on the final programme mark. The 'final programme mark' is calculated by averaging the unit marks (both taught units: 1-5 below; and research unit: 6 below) weighted by credit point value:

	UNIT T1	UNIT T2	UNIT T3	UNIT T4	UNIT T5	UNIT R6	Total
	(20cp)	(20cp)	(40cp)	(20cp)	(20cp)	(60cp)	(180ср)
Level of unit (and associated pass mark/100)	7 (50)	6 (40)	7 (50)	7 (50)	7 (50)	7 (50)	
Unit mark	55	49	50	48	54	60	
Award of credit	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	
Weighted mark (corresponding to credit point value)	55 x 20 = 1100	49 x 20 = 980	50 x 40 = 2000	48 x 20 = 960	54 x 20 = 1080	60 x 60 = 3600	1100+ 980+ 2000 + 960+ 1080+3600 = 9720 9720/180= 54

Note: the student has failed Unit 4 (20 credit points) with a mark of 48; however 'compensation' is applied and credit awarded for the unit per section 38 of the Code.

The student has achieved a final programme mark of 54 and so the degree can be awarded, although they have not reached the thresholds for the degree to be awarded with merit or distinction.

3. Calculating the Degree Classification (under the regulations prior to 2024/25)

The classification of the degree is reached on the basis of the taught component mark and the dissertation mark:

Taught component mark = 51

Dissertation mark = 60

The student has achieved the pass mark of 50 in both components, and so the degree can be awarded; although they have not reached the thresholds for the degree to be awarded with merit or distinction.

Disregarding unit marks

Where a unit mark is disregarded, the weighting of the relevant year mark will be retained for the purposes of calculating the year mark for classification or progression.

Where a unit mark is disregarded, the remaining unit marks in the year of study will be scaled up whilst retaining their relative weighting (according to credit point value) to each other when calculating a year mark for the purposes of progression. For example: a 20cp unit in a year containing 120cp is worth 16.67% (one-sixth) of the year mark; if one 20cp unit is disregarded, the remaining 20cp units are scaled up accordingly and worth 20% (one-fifth) of the year mark.

Where an assessment mark is disregarded within a unit, the remaining assessment marks will be scaled up whilst retaining their relative weighting to each other for the purposes of calculating the unit mark. For example: a unit has assessments worth 20%, 20% and 60%; if one 20% assessment is disregarded, the remaining assessments are scaled up accordingly and worth 25% and 75% respectively.