CompTest 2004

Measurement of meso-scale deformations for modelling textile composites

P Potluri, D A Perez Ciurezu, R Ramgulam

Textile Composites Group University of Manchester Institute of Science & Technology PO Box 88, Manchester M60 1QD, UK

Advantages of Textile Composites

Textile Preforms:

- Easy to handle in the dry form
- Ability to conform to double curvature surfaces
- Suitable for RTM, thermoforming
- Through thickness reinforcement in case of 3D textiles

Textile Composites:

- Damage tolerance
- Superior fracture toughness
- Lower in-plane moduli
- Prediction of thermo-mechanical properties an order of magnitude more complex than unidirectional laminates.
- Mechanical properties sensitive to processing

Textile Composites Unidirectional laminates: micro- and macro- scales Textile Composites: additional level: meso-scale D

Meso-scale representation: weave repeat & RUC

Meso-scale deformations during processing



In-plane shear: change in inter-tow angles, tow cross-section, tow amplitude, fabric thickness



Uniaxial tension: crimp interchange

Biaxial tension: tow-compaction, slight reduction in crimp amplitude



Transverse compression: tow compaction, tow flattening, reduction in crimp amplitude



Biaxial shear: KES tester $\pm 8^{\circ}$, onset of wrinkles before shear-lock

Picture frame: fabric tension not controlled, thread distortion due to normal boundaries at the clamping area, onset of wrinkles

Bias extension: convenient, non-uniform shear zones, dominated by tension near the lock-limit, closely represents draping forces



Importance of in-plane shear on composite properties

- •Significant changes to fibre orientations, fibre-volume-fractions, laminate thickness
- •Non-orthogonal Repeating unit cell (RUC)
- •RUC geometry varies at each position in a component.

Why bias-extension test?

- •Closely represents the draping process
- •Non-uniform shear near the clamps (in a bias extension test) is similar to the effect of blank holders in a forming process
- •Wide-strip bias-extension is closer to the reality

Main objectives:

•To measure constitutive shear properties of textiles to assist mechanics-based drape modelling

•To measure the tow geometry changes due to shear in order to construct a more realistic RUC





Shear load-deformation



Half included angle, $\alpha = \cos^{-1}((1 + \varepsilon_{ax})\cos \alpha_0)$

Shear angle: $\theta = 90 - 2\alpha$



Inexpensive full-field imaging at high magnification





Comparison of flat-bed scanner set-up and Zwick tensile tester





Computation of shear angle based on fixed & variable zone B



Bias extension tests on wide samples: grab tensile test



Tow deformation analysis















Measurement of tow width:

- •Scanned image gives a better measurement
- •Tow width in each unit cell can be measured



Discussion

- Wide strip bias extension test represents boundary conditions closer to draping.
- By accounting for undeformed zone, shear angles can be computed from global strain values
- Tow slippage occurs in case of a standard width sample. This can be minimised in case of a wide-strip test.
- An inexpensive full-field shear strain measurement technique has been developed. This can potentially be extended to shear strain mapping on a draped surface.
- Geometric parameters of a deformed unit cell have been measured using microscopic techniques. While tow width can be measured from the scanned image, tow thickness can be obtained from a section. Further work is being carried-out using a stereo-imaging technique.
- RUC for each unit cell can be constructed based on the shear angle.





